THE ENGLISH

Nonconformity,

As under King Charles II and King James IL.

TRULY STATED and ARGUED,

By RICHARD BAXTER.

Who earnestly beseecheth Rulers, and Clergy, not to Divide. and Destroy the Land, and cast their own Souls on the dreadful Guilt and Punishment of National PERJURY, Lying, deliberate Covenanting to Sin against God, corrupt his Church, and not Amend, nor by Laws or blind Malignity, to reproach faithful Ministers of Christ, and Judge them to Scorn and Beggery, and to Lie and Die in Jails as Rogues, and so to strengthen Profaneness, Popery and Schism, and all for want of WILLING-NESS and PATIENCE to READ and Hear their just Defence; while they can spend much more time in Sin and Vanity. The Author humbly begs that he and his Books of unconstutable Desence of a Mistaken persecuted Cause may not be Witnesses against them for such great and wilful Sin to their Gondemnation.

Mat. 12. 25. Every Kingdom divided against it self is brought to Desolation.

Luk. 13. 3 5. Except ye Repent, ye fhall all likewise Perifi.

LONDON, Printed for The Parkhurst at the Bible and Three Crowns, at the lower End of Cheapside. 1689.

It is agreed on by all real Christians, that Man being made an Intelligent Free Agent, not under bruitish necessitating Determination by Objects, is governed by God by the Moral way of Law; that is by the Signification of his Ruler's Will, making his Duty, and not by meer natural or forcible Motion: And it is agreed that GOD himself is his only absolute Universal Ruler, and his Laws given in Nature and by Revelation are the only Universal Laws, which no Humane Power can abrogate or dispense with: And that Kings and Magistrates are his Ministers for Mens good, and have no Power but from him, and none against him or his Laws; and that it is not Man but God, by whom we must all be judged to everlasting Reward or Punishment: And therefore that all men must obey God before Men, and must not fear them that can but kill the Body, but him who is able to cast both Soul and Body into Hell.

And it is agreed on by all Sober Christians, that therefore as Subjects must use their own Reason as discerning Self-Governours, to Judge who is their King, and who is a Usurper, and what Actions are commanded or forbidden by Man's Laws; so must they first and chiefly use their own reason, to judge discerningly what Actions are commanded or forbidden by God, and must do accordingly whoever is against it. This Judgment is commonly called Conscience; which is it err not must be followed, but is it err, it must be rectified: for then it is not God indeed that is obeyed: for God's Law changeth not as Conscience doth: yet to go against such a Conscience is Sin, because it is interpretatively to go against God, while the Manthought this had been God's Will.

On Supposition of this certain truth, all that ever I yet read that Condemn the Nonconformists , and Preach for their Reproach and Ruine, do confess, that If any one thing required of us as necessary to our Ministry or Communion be Sin. our Nonconformity is but our Duty; and all the whole Ministry of England, on whom this was imposed by the Act of Uniformity on Barthelomew-Day, 1662. were bound in Conscience to have been Nonconformists: (Whether also to bave all ceased to Preach the Gospel, I leave to their Consideration.) This being the Confession of all that Silence us, and fend us to Gaels, and call out for our utter Extirpation. I know no Shorter or likelier way, to ftop all this burning Wrath, and end our Mischievous Dissentions, than to try whether no one thing required of us be Sin. Forty of the things required of Ministers, and Twelve of those required of the Laity, in all Fifty two, I have proposed to Examination, not as accusing the Laws or the Conformists, whatever I think of them; but only rendering briefly the Reasons of our own refufals. And Forty three Points in which many falfly suppose me Conform not (and some may perhaps be found that do not Conform to them all) I have first instanced in, as being such as we oppose not, nor are any Parts of our Nonconformity.

If all the Justices and Ministers of England, who censure us, and prosecute us as intelerable Sinners, for our searing these as Sin, have impartially tryed all these Points, and
Reasons, or yet will do, and can find no Sin in any one of the
Fifty two, and it prove so indeed, I must say that all the Two
Thousand Ministers that in 1662. were Silenced, were as unbappy and strangely blinded Men, as most in the World that
are true Christians; that after all their Study and Prayers,
they should affright themselves into so calamitous a State,
against all the reason of their Worldly Interests, as well as
against the welfare of the Church, and their Duty to God:
2. But I must say, past doubt, that the accusing Clergy are
deeply guilty of it, who these Twenty one years have no better

charity for our just Conviction. For our Consciences are of such a temper, as will not be convinced with a Scorn or a Jail, nor take all Writings or Words as satisfactory, that are poured out with supercilious Considence, and called Satisfactory

by the Self-efteeming Authors.

I am told by divers, That I have written enough already on these Subjects, were it only my first and second Plea for Peace, my Treatise of Episcopacy, and that of Concord, and my Apology for our Preaching. And they fay, You must expett to do no good, nor fo much as to be read by Adverfaries, much less with Diligence and impartial Willingness to know the Truth; but contrarily to be hated, and accufed of some odious Crime, and laid in Jail, among Malefactors till you die , and a Prison will be more grievous to one in your pain and languishing than to another. On the other fide, I have been long importanted to give an account of the Reasons of our Nonconformity: I have by Bishops been reproved for not doing it : Lords and Perfons of great Quality have been persuaded, that we keep up a dangerous Schism in the Land, to the cherishing of Discontents and Sedition, only for things which we confess to be indifferent, and no Sin : the Laws accuse us : The numerous Addresses of. Counties, Cities and Corporations, revile us as the Nurses of Rebellion: No small number of Preachers plead for our Ruine on the same Supposition, and tell the People that it is no Sin that we flick at , but Humour , Pride and Faction make us disobedient Schismaticks without any reason for what we do. The Jails are fill'd with Nonconformifts : Nine Ministers are now in Newgate, and many more in other Places. And almost all of them Mulet and Fined in far more than ever they were worth. Their Goods and Books taken by Diffress: They are fain to fly or abscord that are not in Prison: Their Wives and Children in Distress and Want: They are judged by the Justices unworthy so much as to be summoned to Answer

Answer for themselves before they are judged, or to be heard Plead their own Cause, or to know and question their Accusers and Witnesses, But as I my self was Distressed of all my Goods and Books on five Convictions before ever I heard of any Accusation, or saw a Judge, so is it with many others, and more. In a word, Lords, Knights and Clergymen take us for unsufferable Persons in the Land, unsit for Humane Society, Enemies to Monarchy, Obedience and Peace, and Corporations promise to choose such Parliament Men as are for our Extirpation. And all this is for our Nonconformity, which they all confess to be our Duty if it be any Sin that by the Impositions is required of us.

And if so small and easie a task as proving one or many such Sins required, would recover the Charity and Justice of all these Men, and save themselves and the Land from the guilt of Prosecuting and Oppressing the Innocent, and Condemning Men for Obedience to God, and driving consciouable and loyal Persons out of the Land, or overwhelming them with salse Accusations, because of other Mens Treasons or Sedition, is not be that will forbear his Part and Duty in so dreadful a Case, a greater Sinner than be that when the City is on sire, will not do his best to quench it; or that will not put out his hand to save a Friend or Child in sire or Water, for sear of some trouble to himself?

I did in my first Plea for Peace, only name the Matters which we dare not Conform to, and durst not give the Reasons of our Fear and Nonconformity: Whereupon many since have importuned me for those Reasons, as without which I could not expect that Men should understand our Case. Why should I deny this? Is it through despair that Rulers and clergymen will not regard Reason, or will not bear it, but answer it with Contempt or Prisons? That is to accuse them of such Injustice, Uncharitableness, and Inhumanity as I must not accuse any of that

do not by open I ractice accuse themselves.

Is it lest I should suffer by them? My Life and Labours have been long Vowed to God: He bath preferoed my Life, and succeeded my Labours above forty Years, by a continued course of remarkable Providence, beyond my own and other Mens expectations. What he bath thus given me, is doubly due to his Service; which buth been still so good to me, that it hath made even a painful life, a continual pleasure. He never failed or for fook me: I dare not ask any longer life of him, but for more and longer Service. And if my Service be at an end, why not my Life also? If I refuse bis Service, I invite God to cut off my Life: And what Service else can I now do? I have neither leave nor strength to Preach. I have these fourteen Months been disabled so much as to go to any Publick or Private Church, or hear a Sermon. My Body with pain and languid feebleness is a daily heavy load to me. I suffer more by it every day, than from all my Enemies in the World. And Shall I be guilty of the beinous Sin of the Omission of my Duty in a time of such urgent and crying Necessity, to save so calamitous a Life, which I am still looking when it endeth? Is not a Prison as near a Way to Heaven as my own House? I will not do as those Christians that Cyprian writes to Comfort, who were greatly troubled at Death, because they died not by Martyrdom. But I take a Death for so publick and pressing a Cause of Truth, Love, Innocency and Peace, to be a more comfortable fort of Martyrdom, than theirs that were Burnt in Smithfield for denying the Real Presence, and such like; and if God will so end such a painful Life when Sickness and Natural decay is ready to end it, I hope be will teach me neither to repine, nor to be utterly unthankful.

And as to the uncertainty of success, He that observeth the Wind shall not sow; God must be trusted to bless our Work while we Plant and Water; It's my part to do my Duty, and God's part to give success: I commend my self living and dying into the hands of my Creator and Redeemer, and end this Preface in the words of St. Paul, Ast. 20. 23, 24. Bonds and afflictions abide me: But none of these things

The Proface

move me, neither count I my life dear unto my felf, fo that I might finish my course with Joy, and the Ministry which I have received of the Lord Jesus, to testifie the Gospel of the Grace of God.

Richard Baxter.

London, Sept. 28. 1683.

Ans

An Instance of the Accus ations which call for our Defence; (besides those in the Act for Banishment from Corporations, &cc.)

Devon ss. Ad General. Quarterial. Session. Pacis Dom. Regis tent. apud Castr. Exon. in & pro Comitat. pred. Secundo die Octobris, Anno Regni Dom. nostri Caroli Secundi Dei gratia Anglia, Scotia, Francia, & Hibernia Regis, Fidei Desensor.&c. Tricessimo quinto, Annoque Dom. 1683.

The have been so abundantly convinced of the Seditious and Rebellious Practices of the Sectaries and Phanaticks, who through the Course of above One hundred years since we were first insested with em, have scarce afforded this unhappy kingdom any interval of rest from their Horrid Treasons, as that we must esteem em, not only the open Enemies of our Established Government, but to all the common Principles of Society and Humanity it self. Wherefore, that we may prevent their Horrid Conspiracies for the time to come, and secure (as much as in as lies) our most Gracious king and the Government from the Fury and Malice of em, we resolve to put the Severest of the Laws (which we find too Easie and Gentle, unless enlivened by a vigorous Execution) in force against em.

1. We Agree and Resolve, in every Division of this County, to require sufficient Sureties for the good Abearing and Peaceable Behaviour of all such as we may justly suspect, or that we can receive any credible Information against that they have been at any Conventicles and Unlawful Meetings, or at any Pacinous

or Seditious Clubs; or that have by any Discourses discovered themselves to be disaffected to the present Established Government, either in Church or State; of that have been the Authors or Publishers of any Seditious Libels; or that shall not in all things duely conform themselves to the present Established.

Government.

2. Because we have a fort of False Men, and more perfidious than professed Phanatiques, who either wanting Courage to appear in their own shape, or the better to bring about their Treasonable Designs, privately Associate with, and encourage the Seditious Clubs of the Sectaries, and with them Plot heartily against the Government; and yet, that they may pass unsuspected, sometime appear in the Church with a false shew of Conformity, only to fave their Money, and the better to ferve their Faction: that we may (if possible) distinguish and know all fuch dangerous Enemies, we will strictly require all Church-wardens and Constables, at all our Monthly Meetings, to give as a full account of all fuch as do not every Sunday refort to their own Parish-Churches, and are not at the beginning of Divine Service, and do not behave themselves Orderly and Soberly there, observing all such decent Ceremonies as the Laws enjoyn: And that they likewife Prefere waso us the Names of all fuch as have not received the How Sacrament of the Lord's Supper in their own Parish-Charthes Thrice in the Year.

3. Being fully faissied, as well by the clear Evidence of the late Horrid PLOT, as by our own long and fad Experience, That the Nonconformit Preschers are the Authors and Pomenners of this Postilent Faction, and the implacable Enomies of the Established Government, and to whom the late Execuble Treasons, which have had such distinguished in this Kingdom, are principally to be imputed, and who by their present oblinate refusing to Take and Subscribe an Oath and Declaration, That they do not held in Language to cake up a RIMS against the KING, and then they will not make your any alternation of Government wither in Charelow States do not configure the unit of the control of

vert his GOVERNMENT: wherefore we resolve in every Parish of this County, to leave strict Warrants in the hands of all Constables, for the Seizing of such Persons. And as an encouragement to all Officers and others, that shall be instrumental in the apprehending of any of them, so as they may be brought to Justice, we will give and allow Forty shillings, as a Reward, for every Nonconformist Preacher that shall be so secured. And we Resolve to Prosecute them, and all other such Dangerous Enemies of the Government, and common Absenters from Church, and Frequences of CONVENTICLES, according to the Directions of a Law made in the Five and Thirtieth Year of the Reign of Ogicen ELIZABETH, Entituled, An Ast for the heeping Her

Majesijes Subjetts in due OBEDIENCE.

Lasely, That we may never forget the infinite Mercies of Almighty God, in the late Wonderful Deliverance of our Gracious KING, and his Dearest BROTHER, and all His Loyal Subjects, (who were defigned for a Maffacre) from the Horrid Conspiracy of the Phanatiques, and their Accomplices; and that we may perpetuate as well our own Thankfulness, as their Infamy, that the Generations to come may know their Treachery, and avoid and never trust men of fuch Principles more, and also, that we our selves may perform our publick Duty to Almighty God, before we enter upon the Publick Service of our Countrey: We Order, Resolve, and Agree, with the Advice and Concur-rence of the Right Reverend Father in God, our much Honoured and Worthy Lord BISHOP, to give and bestow for the Beautifying of the Chappel in the Castle of EXON. and for the erecting of decent Seats there, Ten Pounds: And we will likewife give and continue Six Pounds to be paid yearly to any one of the Church of Exon, whom the faid Lord BISHOP shall appoint, to read the DIVINE SERVICE, with the Prayers lately appointed for the day of Thanksgiving on the Ninth of September last, and to Preach a Sermon exhorting to OBEDIENCE, in the faid Chappel, on the first day of every general Quarter-Sessions of the Peace held in the faid Castle, to begin precisely at Eight of the Clock in the Morning.

(a s)

And

And may the Mercies of Heaven (which are infinite) always protect our Religious and Gracious KING, his Dearest BROTHER, and every Branch of that ROYAL FAMILY; and may all the Treasonable Conspiracies of those Rebellious Schismaticks be always thus happily prevented.

Hugo Vaughan, Cler. Pacis Com. prad.

That the continued Gare of His Majesties Justices of the Peace for the County of DEVON, for the Safety of His Majesties Sacred Person, the Preservation of the Publick Peace, and advancement of true Religion, may be fuller known, and have a better Effect; I do hereby Order and Require all the GLERGY of my Diocess within the County of Devon, deliberately to publish this Order, the next Sunday after it shall be tendred to them:

THO. EXON.

(Now Archbishop of York)

THE

THE

CONTENTS.

CHap. 1. The Introductory Conference. Ch. 2. The things presupposed as agreed on.

Ch. 3. What our Nonconformity is not, in 50 Infrances,

Ch. 4. A brief Enumeration of the things imposed on us, which are the Matter of our Nonconformity.

Ch. 5. I. Of Reordination.

Ch. 39.

Ch. 6. II. Of the Oath and Covenant of Canonical Obedience to Bi-Shops and Ordinaries.

Ch. 7. III. Ordained Ministers forbidden to Preach, or Expound any

Scripture, or Matter or Doctrine, Can. 49.

Ch. 8. IV. and V. Of Subscribing that there is nothing in three Books contrary to the Word of God, and Declaring Affent and Confent to all in the Liturgy, &cc.

Ch. 9. VI. Of Affenting that it is CERTAIN by the Word of God, that Infants bastized dying before actual Sin, are undoubtedly faved (qua tales) not exceeping the feed of Asheifts, Jews, or any,

Ch. 10. VII. Of the English fort of Godfathers at Baptism and their Vows.

Ch. 11. VIII. Of refusing to Baptize such as have not such Godfasbers.

Ch. 12. IX. Of the Dedicating symbol of Crossing at Baptism.

Ch. 13. X. Of denying Baptism where Croffing is refused,

Ch. 14. XI. Of Rejecting from Communion all that dare not receive Kneeling.

Ch. 15. XII. Of confenting to the falle Rule, as true, for finding Falter Day always: Whether [mall byes be Sin?

Ch. 16. XIII Of Pronouncing all Saved that are Burled, except the Excommunicate, Unbapiszed, and Self-Murderers.

Ch. 17. XIV. Of Consenting to read to much of the Apocrypha.

Ch. 18. XV. Of Affenting to Mif-translations of God's Word, and subscribing that they are not contrary to it.

Ch. 19. XVI. Of Consenting to reject all from Christian Communion, who desire not the English manner of Episcopal Confirmation.

C. 20. XVII. Of Confenting to all the Ornaments of Church and Mimist ore which were in use in the Second year of King Edw. 6.

Ch. 21. XVIII Of giving account to the Ordinary of all that we keep from the Sucrament, that he may proceed against them according to the Canons : which teads us to confider those Canons.

Ch. 22. XIX. Of Publishing Lay-Chancellors Excommunications and

Absolutions, according to the Canons.

Ch. 23. XX. Of Publishing Bacommunito arions according to the fourth Canon.

Ch. 24. XXI. Of Publishing Excommunications according to the fifth Canon.

Ch. 25. XXII. Of Publishing Excommunications by the fixth Canon.

Ch. 26. XXIII. Of Publishing Excommunications by the seventh Canon.

Ch. 27. XXIV. Of Publishing Excommunications by the eighth Canon. Ch. 28. XXV. Of Excommunicating all that call Diffenters a Church. according to the 9th, torb, and 11th Canons.

Ch. 29. XXVI. Of executing Canon 27, rejecting Noveonformilis

from Communion.

Ch. 30. XXVII. Of refasing others Parishioners from Communion according to Can. 28

Ch. 21. XXVIII. Of Can. 38. Encommunicating Ministers for Re-

petting of their Subscribing.

Ch. 32. XXIX. Of Can. 37. Excommunicating Men for going for Baptifm and Communion from Ministers that never Preach to those that do.

Ch. 33. XXX. Of Can. 58. making the Surplice necessary to Minifiration,

Ch. 34. XXXI. Of foreing Ministers by Can. 68. to Baptize all Children without exception of Arbeifes or Infidels.

Ch. 35. XXXII. Of Can. 72. against Fasts and Prayer unlicensed. Ch. 36. XXXIII. Of Excommunication by the three last Canons.

Ch. 37. XXXIV. Of renouncing all Obligation by the Covenant, at on me or Any Other, to Endeavour any atternation of Church Govern-

Ch. 38. XXXV. Of the Oatord Date, never to Endeavor Jack AL Ch. 39.

Ch. 20. XXXVI. Of Subferibing and Swearing that the Politica is Traiserous of eaking Arms by the King's stinberty signisfe shole Commissioned by bim in Parfalant of facts Commission & mithout Exfeize the King's Fores, Magazine and Buards may fo depofe bim.

Ch. 40. XXXVII, Of Affenting and Conferring to the damning Class

fer in Athanafins & Creed.

Ch. 41. XXXVIII. Of Saying Common-Prayer twice a day, every day in the year or dinarily.

Ch. 42. XXXIX. Of forcing survilling Men co the Encrament and Ac-

culing and Excommunicating the refufers.

Ch. 43. XL. Of forfaking our Ministry and confing to Preach the Gofpel; Whether it be not Sacriledge and Cruelty in us : and of Bamissione 9 miles from all Corporations, Bec.

Ch. 44. Of Lay-Conforming. I. Wherber el wen auf sruft their Souls on the Paftoral Conduct of all fuch, as our Parrows will choose.

and the Biftops inflience.

Ch. 45. II. Wheeher Parents have not more right than our Patronal to choose Pafters and Church-Communion for their Children.

Ch. 46. IN. Of forcing Mon to Schiffe, by renouncing Communion with true Christians and true Churches.

Chap. 47. IV. Of binding all the Lairy to live mirbout any more be nesit of Church-Discipline, than is used by the Bishops and their Courts.

Ch. 48. V. Of discountenancing the fear of Sin, and the serious pra-Sice of Godliness: divers instances.

Ch. 49. VI. The Laity denied Baptism, who refuse the foresaid fort of Godfathers excluding the Parents.

Ch. 50. VII. Baptism denied to them that dare not submit to the dedicating Symbol of Crossing.

Ch. 51. VIII. Of Rejecting not-kneelers from Church Communion.

Ch. 52. IX. Of denying Lay-men Communion in a Neighbour Parille Church, when they dare not Communicate with their own Parifhes : For the Reasons aforesaid.

Ch. 53. X. The Latty must Swear never to endeavour any Alteration

of Government in the Church, without Exception.

Ch. 54. XI. The Lasty must swear an Abborrence of taking Arms against any Commissioned by the King, without Exception: when they understand not whether every one be the King's Commission that is signed by the Lord Chancellor or Privy Seal, though it be against Law, and tend to overthrow King and Kingdom,

Ch. 55.

The Contents.

Ch. 55. XII. Whether all trufted in Corporations may declare that there is no Obligation on them or any other, from she Oash call'd. The Solemn League and Covenant, met so much as to rejent of Sin, or oppose Profunences, Popery or Schism, and defend the King: and so that G. Monk's Army, and all the rest of the Three Nacions that restored the King as obliged to is by that Vow, were all deceived, and not so obliged: and whether all the Subjects must be sure of thick's a solution.

Ch. 56. Of Thirty tremendom Circumstances and Principles which all

agrae in the affright Men from Conformity. Ch. 57. The Reasons for Conformity considered.

Ch. 58. Whether Communion with fo faulty a Church be lawful. Se-

paration confuted.

Ch. 59. A Draught (in ten Articles) of that which the Reconciling Nonconformifts defire for bealing our Church Divisions: In the words which they judge meetest to that use.

Ch. 60. The Reasons of those ten Articles distinctly render'd.

Ch. 61. Whesher the Extrapation of the Nonconformists be not rather to be attempted, than a Union with them by these means:

Handled with reference to a late Treasonable Plot against the King.

Ch. 62. Fifty Questions proposed to unjust Silencers.

derence Communicate with their own Parifles :

ERRATA.

PAg. 100. l. 31. for [1] read [1s] p. 103. l. 22. for [Corners]
r. [Courss] p. 107. l. 38. for [is] r. [is not] p. 113; l. 13. for
[our] r. [one] l. 14. for [Clergy] r. [Churches] p. 115. l. Penule.
r. [Stoughton] p. 124. l. 13. for [our] r. [one] p. 129. l. 15. for
[is is] r. [is is] p. 131. l. 25. r. [well as] p. 134. l. 13. r. [if is]
p. 144. l. 23. dele [revoked.]

without Execution.

Let up from an Abborrence of taking Arms
and the Lacet of taking Arms
and the Lacet of taking Arms
and the Lacet of taking the Arms
to the King's Countifien that is
free for the King's about the new against

THE

True CASE

OF THE

English Ponconformity.

In a DIALOGUE between

A Silenced MINISTER and a LAWYER.

CHAP. L.

The Introductory Conference.

Lawyer. IR, the danger of the Kings Dominions by our Irreligious Contentions about Religion, possesset the observers with just indignation; but all know not on whom to lay the blame; fome lay it on the Bishops, and some on the Nanconformists, and some on both : I am unwilling to wrong any; but when I think of our danger, and hear that it is but Ceremonies, and things indifferent for which you break the Law, and make a Schism in the Church, and weaken us by divisions; I cannot but think you deeply guilty.

Minister. How long have you so judged?

L. These twenty years, ever since you were put out.

M. Did you ever by Reading and Conserence with those that

you censure, acquaint your self truly with their Case.

L. I have seen some of your Books, but I have not talks much of these matters with any of you, but I read and hear from the Doctors of the Church what you are, and what you hold.

M. Will you take us to be the just reporters what they are

and hold?

L. No, you are Adversaries and partial,

M. And are not they as much to us? Is not every man fitter to profess his own Faith than his Adversary is? And have you done well to judge before you heard and tryed? Shall Judges do so on the Bench? Have you not all these years, continued guilty of false judging and uncharitableness, and that against a great number of the innocent? And if you every day prayed for forgiveness but as you forgive, even an enemy and real injury, what have you done all this while in condemning the guiltless?

L. Why have you not in Writing given the World just satisfaction if you are guildess t What sin have you proved to be in the Con-

formity required of you? I fee no such proof.

M. Youknow what penalties the Law leyeth on any that deprave the Common-Prayer Books, and that all are Excommunicate iplo falto, that do but affirm any thing to be against Gods Word, in any Office of your Church Government, in any Word or Ceremony in your Livurgy, &c. Can. 5. 6, 7, 8. And you know what follows Excommunication here. And you know that till of late years the Press was thur up to us : But have you ferioully read and studyed what is written by us? I my self have told you; 1. In a Book called A Plea for Peace, what things they be which Nonconformists take to be finful in Conformicy, and how great and hainous the fin is which they fear a mid what feparation is unlawful and what is necessary. 2. In a fall Treatife of Epilopacy I have thewed what Epilopacy we are for, and what we are against, and why, and what Autiquity held hereabout, and what we have to fay to most of the Loarned Men that have written for that Diocefan form, which we cannot approve. 3. In an Apology, I have proved it our duty to Preach, though forbidden, as far as we are able, and many necessities require it. 4. In a fecond Plea for Print, I have fully given the World an account of our Doctrine; of Magistrates Power and Subjects Duties in matters Civil and Ecclefiaftical, Oc. 3. In a Treatife

of Church Concoid, I have fully proved that the Primitive simplicity in things divine, few, phin and sure is the only possible matter of Mniversal Christian Unity and Concord. I know not of any one of these that are Answered, or any thing like an Answer to them written, save that to some part of the first, some meer importment noish was made by some one that is consuted.

many sadious Writings: Tell us your Cafe in a few words if you

would have is underfrand you.

M. Did you get your skill in Law by so easie and so short a study? Or is any kind of Knowledge so easily got, where Controversie hath drowned the matter in contradicting words. You know that it is mukitudes of Volumes that are written on the other side manufactudes of Volumes that are written on the other side manufactudes of volumes that are written on the other side manufactudes of they be unanswered, they will say, we have done nothing. But had you as seriously studyed but one or two of these Books, (c. g. my first Plea for Reace, and Treatise of Episcopacy) as you do Law Books, I scarce think you would have been long untarisfied. But if indeed you have not time to hear, read and study, say also you have not more or judge; And no more cenfure what you know not

L. How comes your Cafe to be fo listle understood if you have done

so much to open and justifie it?

M. You may know by your felf. 1. Men fludy their own matters in which they feel themselves concerned ; and as for ours they think they are not much concerned to know them. 2. At least not at the rate of any hard and diligent study, which neither love nor necessity leads them to. 3. Most are strangers to us, even they that dwell near us, and converse not with us. The rather because that as we are out of the rising way, and are under publick discountenance, and banished from Corporations, and much from converie with men of publick place and interest, so our familiarity is become fearful, lest it brings those that are familiar with us into fuspicion, 5. And they converse with thold that through Ignorance or Malice do describe us and our Cause and Books, as they would have all men think of us; and it is not good manners or fate to contradict them. And fo the notice of our Mind and Cale must be received, not from us, but from our accusers. Do you observe this method in Westminfter

minster-Hall. 6. And how much interest can byas mens judgments, common experience too easily tells us: They that are uppermost seldom want applauders, nor dejected men accusers. Every School-boy can tell you out of Ovid. de Triss. Dum sucris salica multos numerabis amicos: Nullus ad amissas ibit amicus opes. Aspicis ut venium ad candida testa Columba: Accipiet nullus sordida turris aves. A sew serious Believers that look for a more righteous and important judgment after death, do not lose the treasfure of Truth and Innocency in Shipwrack, it being as near them as themselves: But catera fortuna non mea turba fuir.

L. But if there be no cause, bow come you to be so odious to

others ?

M. If you that dwell in England cannot answer that your felf, when you have taken a survey of the quality and lifes of them that hate us, and them that they hate, and of their distinct interests and motives, I will not answer it to you?

L. But whence is it that Clergy-men of the same Profession so

much difagree ? . a sent how wo want to say of . saloo

M. The former answer shall serve to this: Whence is it that the Clergy by disagreement about Opinions and Superiority have broken the whole Christian World into that state of doleful division, in which in Asia, Africa and Europe, it lyeth to this day? If you know not read the History of the Church.

L. Which part of you soever is guilty, the guilt must needs be very hainous, when the Preachers of the Gospel of Love and Peace, so have each other, and persuade all to do the like, and will not let

the World be quiet.

M. No doubt but Love and Concord are so great Duries and Blessings, and there is so much of Satan in the contrary, that you can hardly aggravate the guilt too much. If it were better for that man that offendeth or stumbleth one of the least, that he had never been born, or he had been cast with a millstone about his neck into the Sea; what a case are these Church Pastors in that tear the Church, and Preach down Love, and harden thousands in Ungodliness and Cruelty, and endanger the loss of Religion to the Land.

L. If I knew which of you had done most for Love and Peace, and least against them, I should know to whom to impute our troubles.

M. We justifie not our selves, and we leave others to their judge. We have deferved worfe from God, than we have fulfered. But we must fay; It. That we impose not our words, our books, our forms, our different rites on any, nor would do, by violence, had we power : We put no Oaths, Subscriptions, Covenants, Professions or Practices doubtful upon any: To them that tell us we did to in former times, we still fay, let them use no other fo but those that used them for and we are satisfied : 1; know not fix in England of all the present Nonconformists that did fo: We are not for Silencing or Imprisoning them, nor forbidding them to worthip God: In 1660 we motioned no change of Church Government, which should take down any of their Lordships Maintenance or Episcopal Power, but only Arch-Bishop Ulbers Draught of the antient Episcopacy, and thankfully accepted what the King then granted in his Declaration of Ecclefiastical Affairs. 2. We never craved Preferment of them, but leave to ferve Christ and his Church in the Office which we were Vowed to. We certainly knew what impediments hindered the defired Unity, and what divisions must needs follow were they not removed, which by others they might eafily have been, without coft or danger. We Pleaded, we Wrote, we Petitioned, and Beg'd for Peace, even for that which the King had granted: And what could we do more? Since then above twenty years we have laboured as we could, fometime to few, and fometime to more; and have patiently lived upon Charity, and fuffered - I need not tell you what.

L. But why could not you Conform to the Law as well as they?

M. 1. Can men believe what others lift because they bid us? Is there nothing that you or they would refuse if it be but commanded you? What use have we for a Law of God then? Is we must disobey it as oft as we are bid, that were to renounce God, and all Religion and Salvation. And we have not our own understandings at command; we have offered them our Oaths these twenty years, that we would obey them in all, except at the rate of siming and damnation.

Afferr and Confent to all things contained in and prescribed by a Book which we never faw . For io did we suppose above seven thousandmen, the Book not coming out of the Press till about the

day that they were so to Assent rout; Aug. 14. So that he doubt they did it on an implicite trust in others; except the few that were in or near London: This fully shews that, though almost all the nine thousand or more Ministers that were in possession when the King came in, did before conform to the way of the Directory, and not to the Common-Prayer Book, wet there was a great laters difference between the seven thousand that conformed, and the two shouland that did not made bell had a seven the common to the conformed.

L. But feeing all the firest tyeth upon the question, Whether it be only things Lawful, indifferent or good, which you resuse, or any thing which God forbiddeth: I pray tell me plainty, what it is that you take to be sinful in the Conformity required? And what is is that you would have as necoffere in the statement of the statement.

M. I will tell you on these Conditions 3 of That you pardon me for repeating here what I have already written. 2. That you bring not your felf a Conscience so lake as will take nothing for sin, which men use to make light of, though God forbid it, and then think that our Consciences should be as wide as yours.

3. That we may premise the things projuposed as agreed on.

CHAP. II. The things prosupposed as agreed on.

L. W Has are the Agreements which you presuppose ?

I. That God is the Absolute Soveraign Ruler; and thath made in Nature, and in the Sacred Scripture, Universal Laws for the whole Church and World: And that Kings are His Subjects and Officers, and have no Power but what He giveth them directly or indirectly, and therefore none against blim; no more than a Constable against the Sovereign Power; and that he and all men are bound to obey Gods Laws, whoever are against it or for bid it.

L. Peannet deny this, without denying God to be God, and the

M. II. That next to his Government, God in order of Nature and Time made Self-Government and Family-Government before the Government of Republicles. Hingdoms or Gines: And that publicle Policy bath no Authority to abrogate Self-Government on Family Government; but only to over rule and tile them fat the common good and fafety.

L. This

L. This is undersimble, if you frate the Governments presupposed

M. III. That it belongs to Self-Government to differe by reafon, whether the Commands of Men be against the Commands of God or not, which we call Judiciam diffresions, by which all men must guide their actions.

Lie Shall every man be a judge of the Lam, whether it be just

and good ?! How unfu we the vulgar to judge of Lames. | 100 9211

M. They are no publick judges to decide the case for other men, por dorh their judgment restrain or bind the Magistrate; nor if they judge amils will it justifie themselves, or suspend the execution of the Law against them, Bur if they must not have the foresaid discerning judgment to guide their actions, vit will follow: 1. That they are not governed, nor must obey as Men by Reason and Free-will, but as Brutes. 2. That Kings have Absolute Power against God, and must be obeyed in all that they command, e. g. if it be to curie or blaipheme, or renounce God or Christ, to command the Subject to live in Murder, Adultery, Perjury, &c. and fo to abrogate the Law of Nature. 3, It followeth, that there is no God (that is, a Supream Ruler) but the Kings 4. And I pray you tell me what you will have the Subjects do in case of Usurpation or Competition for the Government, as between the Houses of York and Lancaster, Jane and Queen Mary, &o. when one faith fight for me, and the other fight for me. If the Subject have not a judgment of differention to know which is his rightful Sovereign, the King must be forsaken? He that will fland to the command of another, must judge who his Commander is

4. And will you have Infants and Idiots judge of their Parents

comminds ? Or Children in their minority.

It is Infants and Idiots have not the tife of Reafon, and fo far are to be ruled by force as Brutes: And Children in that measure as they are fhort of reafon. But 2. If they come to reafon, and the King command them one thing, (e. g. what Church to go to.) and their Parents the confrary, would you not have them judge which they must obey. 3. Much more if Parents should command them to fin against God, to Steal, Lye, Murder, Blassburg, and Curse the King, Go. Surely they must judge as far as they are able.

Land cannot deny it, proceed in your presuppositions.

M. IV. That no men have power to command us to darm our Souls, or to do any thing that rendeth to it.

L. None will derry you that ; but perhaps fome things may cease

to be fin, and dangerous if commanded.

M. None can dispense with the Laws of God, but we grant that some things that are unlawful by some accident or circumfrance may become a duty when commanded, when the good of Obedience, Order and Concord therein, weighs down against the accident: It may be a fin to go on Warfare before one is commanded, and a duty when he is commanded. It is a fault in a Servant to go before he is sent, and a duty after.

V. We presuppose that deliberate Lying is a fin.

1. L. Isthere day one doubes of that it ste Verland

M. If they do not, our Case will soon be decided. But indeed many deny it. The Jansenists name you many Jesuit Cafuists: And Grotine de Jure Belli, and Bishop Jer. Taylor, deny that Lying is any sin when it is profitable, and wrongeth none; as in a Physician to tice down a Medicine.

L. And what have you to fay to the contrary?

M. I must not stay to dispute all such matters with you: I have fully answered it in my Catechisme on the Ninth Commandment. Briefly this may satisfie you: No ones private good must be sought by a means that would destroy all Humane Trust and Converse: But if you give men leave to lye when they think it needful or harmless, it will destroy all Humane Trust and Converse: For almost all will think their lyes are profitable. And we have had Learned, Moderate Conformists that have trusted to this Argument, and openly desended it: If Knaves would take my Purse, I may desend my self with my Hands: Ergo, If they would deprive me of my Maintenance and Ministry, I may desend my self with my Tongue.

L. And bow do you answer them?

M. That no man must defend himself by means which will do more hurt than his Ministry or Life is worth: But to let men loose so to lying is such; and more forbidden of God than band-defence: And sin ever doth more hurt than good.

VI. We may suppose also that Perjury is unlawful, and would much more destroy all Mutual Trust, and consequently Humane Converse.

VII. And

VII. And we may suppose that he that either commandeth or persuadeth others to be persured, or that openly justifiesh their persury, by telling them that it is no persury, or no sin, is guilty

of their perjury.

VIII. And we suppose that to draw whole Churches and Kingdoms into perjury, by force, periwasion, example or justification of it, and telling them that they need not repent of it, is one of the hainoulest sins that man can commit, except making it the very Mark or Stigma without which none may be Magistrates, Ministers or Freemen.

L. No one I hope will deny any of this.

M. IX. We presuppose that all Yows, Oaths, Covenants, Professions, imposed by Superiours, must be taken in that sence in which they any way expound them, without forcing them either by a laxe or an over-rigid interpretation: But if they do not otherwise expound them, they must be taken in the sence as those words are commonly used and understood, by such as treat of the subject which they belong to.

L. You have so cantelously express it, that I cannot contradict

you.

M. I must not be tedious in writing the same things oft. If any doubt whether our expositions of Oaths and Subscriptions be not over-strict or rigid, I pray you read the words of Dr. Sanderson, cited by me in the end of my first Plea for Peace, and know that

we stand to his rules of exposition.

X. We may suppose that, seeing repenting and amending is the condition of forgiveness, to make a Covenant in any sin that we will never repent and amend, is so beinous a crime, as is next to the renouncing of Pardon and Salvation: And in National guilt and danger deliberately to Covenant that we will never endeavour any amendment of the Nations sin, is next to begging Gods Curse on the Land; e.g. If a man were a Fornicator and Perjured, and the Land commonly guilty of the same, he that would make a Bargain or Covenant, and that deliberately, that he will never amend, nor ever endeavour to amend the Land or any other—
What would you think of that mans case?

L. What should I think but that he is a Monster and miserable Wretch? But what sthat to me? I hope there are none such in

England, that worfe than Witches, would fell themfelves will the

M. I pray over-run me not in the application: I do but rell you what I suppose we are agreed in: I shall tell you after why

I fpeak it.

XI. I also suppose that bare Possession proveth not a Bishop or Pastors right to the place and power which he claimeth: Nor is any differed of his right by being differed of separable accidents.

1. That's true: But what afe you't make of it I know not.

M. XII. Lastly, I must desire you to remember, that as we prosess to stick at nothing but sin against God (and not things indifferent as we are standard), so if but one of all the imposed Acts of Conformity be certainly finful, and if but one of all the Arguments which I shall use do prove it so, not only the two thousand that were ejected were bound to be Nonconformists, but also all the English Ministry, and the Act of Uniformity (if Conformity be sin) did vertually, though not actually, ruin out all the Clergy at once, because all were bound rather to resign than sin.

L. The truer and more dreadful the consequence is, the hardlyer

will I believe the antecedem, till I needs muft.

M. You cannot expect that we affirm it: For 1. We know how cautelous we must be in meddling with the case of other men: Let them judge themselves who are called to it. 2. And I told you before what the Law threatens, and the Canons, against them that affirm any of the impositions to be smill; much more that thall so deeply accuse the Laws. 3. Burstone no Law or Reason for biddeth men to fear siming against God themselves, nor to tell the World what it is that they tear, and why they dare not do it, without accusing any other.

CHAP. II. What our Nonconformity is not?

M. Defore I rell you wherein our Nonconforming doth countil,
I must tell you wherein it doth for countil, to avoid the
falle reports that commonly go abroad against us.

And therefore I must premise that I pretend not to tell you the opinion of every odd person that Conforment not; no more than you justifie all that Conform in all their opinions. I think few doubt

doubt not but that form Atheifts, Sadduces, Infidels, Hobbits, Steinieus, if not Papilla, outwardly Conform: Yes we charge not their errours on the Church; and fo on the other fide. But those that were called by the King, and one another, 1660 and 1661, to treat of Concord, and that Assembled at Sion Colledge, and else hereabout it, did openly make known their minds: And I thinkthey maddled not against any of these things following, by any acceptation of themse similar.

In They never denyed theil awfulness of a form of Prayer or

a Liturgy: Though fome fallly fo accule them.

It They derived not the foundness of the matter of Prayer, contained in the form of the English Liturgy, in the main: They, thought is a good Books, and the making of it a great Reformation, and honoured the excellent men that made it; but they thought it not such as could not or should not in any thing be amended, or that all might say was without fault.

III. They thought not the Lapolition of it a reason sufficient

to prove it unlawful for them to use it weneithere no more.

IV. They offered to tufe it when amended, and if that could not be had, they told you in their Reply, their purpose rather to Communicate in the use of it than not stall, and to have used all the lawful part themselves if they might be suffered in their publick places and Ministry on such terms.

V. They never accused the use of Holy dayes, as dayes of Thanksgiving to God, for giving such Holy aposities to the Church, and whose memory we hope wably commemorate.

VI. They never accused our Knieting at the Lord' Supply as unlawful, but only the casting Godly persons from Communion for not using it, when they take it to be fin. About the Knieting the old Nonconformists were not of one mind; some thought that every objection maximum of Adoration was forbidden that was a Creature a But others said that every Creature in the World may be such an object: Our Meat is objection motivated when we pray for a Blessing on it. If I see the Relicits or Picture of a Friend that I wronged while he was alive. I may well be moved by it to beg parties of God. All his works must move me to adore and parties him with the way not make any image objection terminantivistic or at his dy to which we direct our Divine Worship, as a Medium of our sending is to God. The only great difficulty about

about this is from the argument of scandalous hardening the Papifts that live among us : Though indeed our Doctrine avoideth the a drund of the Church ; and the that (candal.

VII. They never accused the Ceremony of laying the hand on

the Book, and kiffing it in taking an Oath.

VIII. They never tpake against the Ring in Marriage.

1X. They meddled not with the Surplice, Tippet, Hood, Rocher, Cope, but only the casting men out of the Ministry that dare not use them, thinking them unlawful . Though we justifie them not.

X. They accused not all fignificant use of the Cross, but only that in Baptism it seemed to have all or most of the nature of a Humane Secrement of the Covenant of Grace, as it is expounded in the Liturgy and Canonata incleane edi larsonca bas ,

XI. They toake not against Episcopacy, as it is a presidency among and over Presbyters differing in Degree, and not in Office. called ORDER, and that in a Church of the lowest Species.

XII. They opposed not Arch-Bishops as over many such Churches and Bilhops, nor Diocefans, as Arch-Bilhops ruling but by ever rold you in their Reply, their p

Gods Word

XIII. They faid nothing against Metropolitans, Patriarchs, Lay-Chancellors, Commissaries, Officials, Surrogares, Archdeacons, &c. as Officers of the King, appointed to do nothing (befides the Sacred Ministry, if they be Clergy-men, but what belongs to Magistracy I doub graving role to co

XIV. They faid nothing against any promise of Obedience to them only in the capacities, and in the exercise of the power fore-

mentioned.

XV. Much less did they ever oppose or question Swearing to the King, according to the Oaths of Allegiance and Supremacy: And I with divers others also being (for some ends) entered as his Chaplains is Ordinary, took also that Oath of Fidelity which the

Kings Houshold Servants take.

XVI. We never were for any dishonouring of Kings by publick excommunications, much lefs by Subjects or Forreigners, whom Kingsmeuer choose to be their Pastors; but only in case of necessiry, doi: friche desiyal of Sacramental Communion: to them; as Billion Mideewill Formers Torey and Billion Billion plead for a which is but to forbear our felves a finful active two lo inches!

XVH. We

XVII. We never pleaded for any Elders (or Chancellors) pow-

er of the Keys, who are but Lay-men.

OXVIII. We never held that Magistrates are bound to add their force by the Sword, to the centures of the Church as such, and to punish men more because the Church hath by Excommunication cast them out, or because they are not reconciled.

XIX: We never thought that things indifferent do become un-

lawful to us, because the Magistrase commandeth them. il signed

XX. We never held that the Scripture is a particular Rule, commanding every accident and circumstance about Gods Worship, but only a general Rule (requiring all to be done in Love and Peace, and to edification, and decently, &c.) in those circumstances which must be some way determined, and God hath left to variable Humane determination. Such as are Time, Place, Utenfils, Translations, Sections, Metres, Tunes, Methods and Words in Preaching and Prayer, Habit, Gesture, and many such.

XXI. We never held it unlawful to do one of these actions. though it were by miltake unlawfully commanded; e. g. If the Rulers prescribe a Time, Place, Metre, Tune, &c. unfit, if it be not fo bad as to overthrow the ends and use of the Worthip, the fault of the Commander will not disoblige us from the dury of obeying. And whereas some argue, that no man hath authority to fin, ergo, we are not bound to obey that which is no act of anthority: I answer, Rulers have authority to command that which is good, though not in a faulty manner; and when we cannot do the good without the faulty manner, it is their fault and not ours: et g. If an inconvenient Time, Place, Text, Tune, &c. be chofen, the Union and Concord which is held by agreeing in those Modes is necessary: He that will not joyn in them cannot joyn. in the Worlhip. So that we obey the Ruler or Guide as a determiner of the means of Concord, which is necessary, and not sub ratione erroris, as misdetermining, though in that which is misdetermined. If a Master bid his Servant go at an unseasonable: time about his work, it's his duty to go at that time. We never pray without fome fault in the manner, and yet must rather do it fo than not ar all. The millaken Ruler bids an not fin tolk's box fin to choose a mis-circumstance; and it is not his own action that he bids us do, but ours: And its to us a lawful circumstance. because

because necessary to Concord , and commanded though mile-

Mills We move held it unlawful to joyn with a Church or Minister that both fidne faults, both Personal and in their acts of Worships, as if all that joyned were guilty of all the faults there committed: No not though we knew before hand that some false. Doctrine would be arrested, or fault committed: Else we must separate from all the worlds and all from us.

XXIII. We never thought it a duty to separate from every Church, that culpably neglecteth Discipline, and hath open wicked menuthereins if we be not guilty of it, and cannot lawfully live in the Communion of a more obedient reformed Church.

but itilge it lieft in lawful things for Concord fake to Conform to the cufform of the Churches where we live or come.

XXV. Though we think not that men may command us to deftroy our Neighbours Souls by fcandal, yet when disobedience to a Rulers Law is like to do more hurt than the fcandal taken at it comes to, we are for avoiding the greater hurt.

AXVI. We never separated from any tolerable Parish Miniflers or Churches, as if they were no true Ministers or Churches, nor perswaded any so to do, nor to take the Communion of such Churches for unlawful to us, either occasionally or constantly, when we can have no better without more hurt share benefit to our selves and others.

ExXVII. We hold it unlawful to reproach all Churches that we fee to be faulty; but it is our duty to keep peace with all.

XXVIII. Vve hold mental diffant Communion in Faith and Love, with many Churches that by imposing fin do deny us local Communion.

XXIX. Though I here tell you once for all, that Eightific not all that I can thus bear with, yet we can submit by peaceable so lence to many abuses in a Church, which we dare not subscribe to and approve, and use also passive Obedience where active is unlawful.

"XXX Ve are not against God Fathers and God-Mothers, as used of old; that is, when the Parents are the Covenanters for their Child, and their Death or Apollatie is feared; for others to promife if they dive or apollatize to take care of the Child; or

for any Adopter any Owners to do it that take the Child as theirs.

XXXI. We are four from being against true confirmation, ds
it is the taking persons that own their Baptismal Coverant, solemnly into the number of adult Members and Communicants,
that we defire it (and have written for it) as a whief means of the
true Reformation of all our Chusches in the Land.

XXXII. VVe differ not in Faith or meer Doctrine from the Church of England, as it's in the Thirty Nine Articles, but only in One new Article, purdute the new Livings, of the Kidvation of Baptized Infants, as undenbredly certain by the Word of God,

without any exception, if they then dve.

XXXIII. We are not against reading the profitable part of the Appropriate, as other flumane Wirtings may be read, fusiciently distinguished from the word of God.

XXXIV. VVe are for Corporal VVorship, as a due expression of Spiritual: And we are against all undecent expressions in Praying or Preaching, and all undecent Habits, Gestures or Actions.

XXXV. VVe blame northe Liturgy for extending the words of Charity and Hope as far as there is any reasonable ground, in 6a-craments, Absolution and Buryal.

XXXVI. VVe are not for mens invading the Ministry unordained, but believe that Senior Pattors or Bishops are ordinarily the regular Judges of the fitness of Candidates for the Ministry.

Rulers justly diffinguish in Law and License; it. The approved, whom they must own and maintain. 2. The tolerable, whom they must re-

ftrain from doing hurt.

EXXXVIII. VVe are for making true Religion as National and extensive as may be; and for a National Church; it As the affociated Community of Churches in a Nation is for castled and And. as they are all accidentally united under one Christian Severaign: Though we ablieve the casting out all that be not of our opinion and measure, and that cannot submit to all that I here enumerate, which I and others of my mind can submit to.

XXXIX. VVe are so far from desiring to draw people from the Parish Churches into Conventicles, that we would keep up the honour of them to the utmost of our power, as knowing how greatly the countenance and maintenance of Rulers conduceth to

the furtherance of Religion; and that the publick Religion will be the common and National Religion; and most will be there: And if the Protestant Religion were reduced to Tolerated Conventicles, Popery would posses its place, and become National. and foon withdraw even private Toleration, as we fee in France.

XL. VVe are not for Preaching when we are forbidden, where

there is not a real and evident need of our Labours.

XLI. VVe believe not that the Scors Covenant, or any other doth oblige us to Sedition, Rebellion, Schifm, or any fin; nor

doth disoblige us from any Obedience due to any Superior.

XLII. VVe refule not the Oxford Oath, or any fuch, because it is an obligation to obey our Rulers in Lawful things, nor because it restraineth us from resisting Authority; for we give as much to Humane Soveraignry, and confess as much obedience due to them from Subjects, 1. As any Text of Scripture speakes: 2. Or any General Council, fave what they give to the Pope and his Vaffals. 3. Or as any Confessions that we know of, of any Christian Churches agree in. 4. Or which Lawyers, Politicians, and Historians, Protestants, Papists or Heathens agree in, as far as we are acquainted.

XLIH. VVe are not against the use of Synods or Councils, nor against Princes using their advice for such Laws circa facra as belong to them to make : VVe believe Councils should be used as far as the common good and Communion of the Catholick Church requireth it; though no Foreigners have Jurisdiction over us. And we hold that if they agree of any thing conducible to the common good, though their agreement be not a Law, but a Contraft, yet the general command of keeping the Unity of the Spirit in the bond of peace, obligeth all to hold fuch concord for the ends fake, that have no special reason against it.

In these Forty three things we oppose not conformity. Ly And if yes after all this Agreement we must be destroyed by divisions, the heavy Curse of God is on w, and will surely fall on them that are the causes of it, who ever they be.

Tax Collect Village to fur from deliging to down reports from the radio Chardes into the venel describit, we would keep to the

work attawent as though the he flow a net ar inner

CHAP. IV. A brief enumeration of the things imposed on we which is the matter of our Nonconformity.

M. DO you know what it is that we are required to conform to?

L. I know it is to use the Liturgies, Ceremonies and

Submit to the Bishops, as your Governours: I know no more.

M. And yet dare you become our Judge? If you are no more exact and just in matters of Law, your Clients must pay for it? Before I come to handle the particulars, I will set together here the things required of us; and how much of them we refuse, I will tell you when I try them, and give you our Reasons against them.

I. Whereas few of the Nonconforming Ministers were at Age, and Ordained till Diocesans were put down in England, and were Ordained by an Assembly of Senior Pastors, which were then in possession of the Power, and had many years the Approbation of the whole National Assembly of Divines at Westminster, before they were admitted to any Incumbency; none of these may now exercise their Ministry unless they be Re-ordained by Diocesans.

II. No man can be Ordained by them, and admitted to any Cure, that will not take the Outh of Canonical Obedience (as they call it) and in his Ordination Covenant to obey his Ordi-

nary.

W. LIV

III. No man must Preach the Gospel by the authority of his Ordination and Office, till moreover he have got a Licence from the Bishop to Preach: and till he have got that Licence to Preach, he may not take upon him to Expound in his own Cure, OR ELSEWHERE ANY SCRIPTURE OR MATTER OR DOCTRINE, but shall only study to read plainly and aptly without glossing or adding, the Homilies already set forth, or hereaster to be published by lawful Authority: Can. 49.

IV. No man may be Ordained, or be a Licenced Preacher, or Catechize who doth not subscribe these words, Ex animo, That the Book of Common-Prayer, and of Ordaining of Bischops, Priests and Deacons, containeth in it nothing contrary to the Word of God, and that it may lawfully be used, and that he himself will use the Form in the faid Books prescribed in publick Prayer and administration of the Sacraments, and no other.

V. No man is to be Ordained a Minister, nor have any place or Benefice or Cure, that doth not openly and publickly before the Congregation declare his unseigned Assent and Consent to the use of all things in the said Book contained and prescribed in these words and no other. "[I A.B.Do here declare my unseigned Assent and Consent to all and every thing contained and prescribed in, and by the Book entitled, The Book of Common-Prayer, and Ordaining.] And every Lecturer also; the first Lecture and every Month must publickly and openly declare his Assent to, and Approbation of the said Book, and to the use of all the Prayers, Rites and Ceremonies, Forms and Orders therein contained and prescribed.

VI. By this all must Affent and Consent to this Article of Faith or Doctine. [It is certain by the Word of God that Children which are Baptized, dying before they commit actual Sin, are undoubtedly [aved.] Not excepting any, though the Children of Atheists, In-

fidels or Sadducees.

VII. We must Assent and Consent, that at publick Baptism, persons called Godfathers and Godmothers, who take not the Child for their own, do in the name of the Child Covenant with God, without the Parents, who are forbidden to be Godfathers or Godmothers, or to speak one word, nor must be urged to be present; nor may the Godfathers, &c. speak one word but what is written in the book. And they are there not only to promile for the future, but to profess in the Name of the Child at present [I renounce them all] (the Devil, World and Flesh) and [All this I Stedfastly believe] and (to be baptized) [This is my defire] and for obedience [I will.] And these Godfathers also engage as their parts and Duty, to see that [this Infant be taught To foon as he fhall be able to learn what a folemn Vow, Promife and Profession be there made by them: And that they call on him to hear Sermons, and chiefly that they provide that he may learn the Crede, the Lord's Prayer, and the Ten Commandments in the vulgar Tongue, and all other things that a Christian ought to know and believe to his Soul's health, and that the Child may be vertuonfly brought up to lead a godly, and Christian life] . All this these three perions must promise as before God and the Church, but the Parent is not only excused from any such promise, but forbid it by the Canon,

VIII. We

VIII. We must Assent and Consent to refuse to baptize the Child of any godly Christian, who bringeth not his Child to be baptized with such undertaking Godfathers; either because he can get none that will seriously promise him to do what they must yow to do, and so dare not draw them into sacrilegious perisdiousness, or because he thinks it his own part to enter his Child into God's Covenant, and thus to promise for its Education.

IX. We must Assent and Consent to sign the Insant with the transient Image of the Cross [In token that hereaster be shall not be ashamed to confess the Faith of Christ crucified, and manfully to sight under his Banner, against Sin, the World, the Devil, and to continue Christs faithful Souldier and Servant to his lives end. Which the Canon farther expounds thus [To dedicate them by that Badge to his Service, whose benefits bestowed on them in Baptism the name of the Cross doth represent [an honourable Badge whereby the Insant is dedicated to the Service of him who died on the Cross.]

X. VVe must Affent and Consent to Baptize none publickly without this Sign, but to deny Christendom to all that dare not

receive it, and their Children.

XI. VVe must Affent and Consent to reject all that dare not receive it Kneeling from the Sacramental Communion of the Church.

XII. VVe must Assent and Consent to a salse Rule to find out Easter-day for ever, in these words; [Easter-day (on which the rest depends) is always the first Sunday after the first full Moon, which happens next after the one and twentieth day of March. The

common Almanacks tell you it is often false.

XIII. VVe must Assent and Consent to use words at the Burial of all, except the Unbaptized, Excommunicate and self-murtherers which plainly pronounce them saved, viz. [Forasmuch as it hath pleased Almighty God to take to himself the Soul of our dear Brother here departed.] And [we give thee thanks, for that it hath pleased thee to deliver this our Brother out of the miseries of this sinful world.] and [that we may rest in him, as our hope is this our Brother doth.]

XIV. VVe must Affent and Consent to read publick Lessons out of Judith, Bell and the Dragon, Tobit, and other Apocryphal books, from Sept. 28. till Nov. 24. every day, except some pro-

per Lessons interposed.

D 2 XV. VVe

XV. VVe must Assent and Consent to all the mistranslations of the Pfalms, &c. and not only use them (which we refuse not) but subscribe that none of them are contrary to the word of God.

XVI. We must Affent and Consent to admit none to the holy Communion till [such time as be be Confirmed, or be ready and defirous to be Confirmed] that is, by Bishops in the English method.

XVII, We must Affent and Consent that [fuch ornaments of the Church, and of the Ministers thereof, at all times of their ministration shall be retained in use, as were in this Church of England by that authority of Parliament in the Second year of Edward VI.

XVIII. We must Assent and Consent to give an account within fourteen days of every one that we keep from the Sacrament, to the Ordinary. And that the Ordinary proceed against the offending person according to the Canons.

XIX. We must publish all fuch Excommunications and Absolutions as are according to the Canons decreed by LayChancellors.

XX. This binds us to confent and publish the Excommunication of all that affirm that the Liturgy containeth any thing in it that is repugnant to the Scriptures] And the Oath of canonical Obedience binds us to fuch publication, if it be commanded us.

XXI. And we are both these ways bound to publish all Excommunicate, ipfo facto, (if commanded) who affirm any of the Rites and Ceremonies such as may not be approved and used lawfully.

XXII. And all that fay any of the Thirty Nine Articles in any part may not be subscribed, though it be but about Traditions or Ceremonies.

XXIII. And we must, if required, publish all, ipso facto, Excommunicate who fay the Church Government by Arch-Bishops, Bishops, Deans, Archdeacons, and the rest that bear Office, is

against God's word.

XXIV. We must, if commanded, publish all Excommunicate who affirm that the Form and Manner of Making and Confecrating Bishops, Priests or Deacons containeth any thing in it that is repugnant to the word of God. (Though it affert that the Bishops and Priests are distinct Orders, which even in K. Elfrick's days the Ghurch of England denved.)

XXV. We must publish them Excommunicate who affirm that the Nonconformists may truly take the name of another Church, &c.

and that any affertiblies of Subjects not allowed by Law, are true

XXVI. No Minister must wittingly administer the Sacrament to any but such as Kneel, nor to any of the foresaid depravers of the Liturgy, Ceremonies, Orders of the Church, &c. Can. 27.

XXVII. None of other Parishes are often to be admitted to

Communion.

XXVIII. All Ministers that repent of Conforming must be suf-

pended, excommunicate and deposed at last, Can. 38.

XXIX. We must give the Sacrament to none that go for it from unpreaching Ministers, but must send them home, Can. 57. Nor must Baptize their Children.

XXX. Ministers must not be suffered that wear not the Sur-

plice, Can. 58.

XXXI. No Minister must refuse or delay to Christen any Child that's brought to the Church to him on Sundays or Holydays to be Christened, without exception of Atheists or Insidels Children. Can. 68.

XXXII. No Minister may keep any Fast in publick or at private Houses, or be present at any (on what necessary soever) without the Bishops License for it under Hand and Seal, or the Laws appointment.

XXXIII. We must if commanded publish all Excommunicate that affirm that the Sacred Synod is not the true Church of England by representation; or that deprave it as a faction, &c.

XXXIV. No man was to be ordained or suffered in the Ministry for twenty years that did not subscribe thus [I do declare that I do hold that there lies no obligation on me, or on any other person from the Oath commonly called the Solemn League and Covenant, to endeavour any change or alteration of Government in Church or State.]

XXXV. All Nonconformifts must swear that they will never endeavour any alteration of the Church Government, or else be banished five miles from Corporations and all places where ever

they preached fince the Act of Oblivion.

XXXVI. All Ministers must subscribe and Nonconformists swear that they abhor the Trayterous position of taking Arms by the Kings Authority against those that are Commissioned by him.

XXXVII. We must affent and consent to the damnatory sentence in the Creed called Ashanasius. XXXVIII.

Evening Service every day in the year, not being lett by fickness or other urgent cause.

XXXIX. Ministers must concur to force the unwilling Parishioners to the Sacrament, or else to Excommunicate and ruine them.

XL. If we dare not Conform for fear of fin we must forsake our Ministry to which we are Ordained and Vowed, and give over Preaching the Gospel what ever need there be, and must also remove our dwelling from all places aforesaid.

These are the parts of Ministers Conformity.

Lay Mens Conformity is as followeth;

1. They must trust their souls with the Pastoral oversight of those, and only those as their fixed Pastors, whom Patrons will choose for them, and Bishopsinstitute: Though the Conformists accuse many Patrons of such hainous sins, as speaketh them unsit for so strange a trust, besides those that are Papists, and Bishops say they have not power to keep out the unsit.

II. They are not only hereby deprived of the exercise of Self-Government for the saving of their own Souls, but of due Family Government for the safety of Wife and Children, and Servants,

and must not bid them choose better Pastors.

III. They are forced to forbear Communion with all Nonconformifts, and to feparate from all others besides Conformifts.

though they account this Schismatical separation.

IV. When God commandeth them [If thy Brother trespass against thee tell him his fault between thee and him; if he hear not tell the Church, &c. And with Drunkards, Railers, Fornicators, &c. not to eat.] They are forced to have Communion with Parishes where no such Discipline is exercised, nor can they perform any such duty, and to lose all the benefit of this Christian Order and Discipline, none being so much cast out as Conscionable Diffenters.

V. Confcionable care to obey Gods Law is greatly discouraged and made a dangerous thing, while it must be mens utter ruine to deny Conformity, even in a Ceremony, to men, when it's done for fear of finning against God.

VI. They are to be deprived of Baptism and Christendom for their Children, if they dare not use the foresaid way of God-Fathers, as described. VII. They VII. They are also to be unchristened if thinking our Crossing is used as an unlawful Humane Sacrament, they dare not receive it as a dedicating Badge of Christianity.

VIII. If they think Kneeling at receiving the Sacrament an unlawful hardening the Papifts, they are denyed Communion.

IX. If any differe but from Confirmation, Organs, Kneeling at the Kails, taking a Reader or unfir man for their Paftor, they must not be received to Communion by a Conformist in another Parish.

X. All the Land is engaged (Ministers, Vestries, Corporations and Militia) by Oaths or Covenant never to endeavour any altera-

tion of Government in the Church

XI. They are all engaged to abhor the polition as trayterous, of taking Armes by the kings Authority against any Commission-

ed by him in pursuit of fuch Commission.

XII. All Corporation Government and Trust is confined to them that declare that there is no obligation on them or any other from the solemn Covenant or Vow, not excepting so much as to oppose Schism, Popery or Prophaneness, to desend the King, or repent of sin, though these be Vowed.

I have now told you what Conformity is, in Ministers and

People.

CHAP. V. I. Of Re-Ordination.

L. YOU have stamed a great number: But I doubt whether all thefe are imposed, and in many of them I see no harm.

M. I told you that if any one of them (imposed) be finful, Nonconformity is a duty, which all the Ministers in England were bound to.

L. What sin can you find in Re-Ordination?

M. I must first state the Controversie before I argue it.

1. The word [Ordination] may fignific either the first Dedication and Ordination to the Ministerial Office as such, by which a
man is separated from the Laity to Gods Ministry: Or else, a
Mission on some particular Ministerial work, as Paul and Barnabas were sent abroad, Acts 11. Or a Minister may be sent to
America, &c. Or else a fixed appointment to some one particular Church or Flock, which is done here by Presentation, Institution

tion and Inductions It is neither of the two last that we speak

2. The word [Ordination] may fightife; 1. That Moral action by which a man is made a Minister of Christ, which is, his solemn Contract with Christ, exprest by his Consent, and by the Ordainers investing action: This is it which we mean in the Controversie, which may not be done twice. 2. Or it may fignisse the meer words of the Ordainers and Ordained, which make up the said Moral action. We deny not but the same words repeated may make up one Moral Ordination: If the Bishop by tautology repeat them trace or thrice: Or if they should to satisfie men of divers Languages that are present, be first spoken in English, and after in French, or when some that doubted require it, should go over them again; all this is but one Ordination.

L. How prove you that our Bishops intend any more, when they say, it is only to satisfic the Law, that you may be capable in Eng-

land:

M. 1. That it is not a meer relation to some particular cure that they mean, is underlyable: 1. Because they call that by the name of Institution and Induction, and not of Ordination. 2. Because they never ordain any over and over upon removals. 3. Because the words of Ordination in the Book tell it us.

2. That they do it not as a Repetition of the same valid Ordination is past doubt.

1. Because the same repeated by the same men will not serve.

2. It is to be done, again ten or twenty years after the first.

3. He is to be fined in an hundred pound that administreth the Sacrament without it.

4. He is taken for no true Minister without it, which cannot be true of a bare repetition of

words: No reasonable men would lay so much on that.

3. It is undeniable that they take men for unordained, and no Ministers till they ordain them: r. Because they all disown reordaining; they know that the Canons called the Apostles, and the whole antient and later Church condenn it as like Anabaptistry; and no one Bishop in England will not renounce it? Therefore its certain that they rake the first Ordination for null; 2. And they have so declared their judgment in many words and writings, and in the Act of Ministernity, it is plainly intimated in the penalty.

L. And what harm is there in being roice Ordnined?

M. I. Ad beminem I need not dispute to All the Bishops dis-

claim it as unlawfull, so that we have their confession.

2. It is the same fault as Anabaptistry. If they be blameless why make you such a noise against the Anabaptists. To be twice made a Christian, and twice made a Minister is of the like kind.

3. It is formething causelessy to cast our selves under the Censure of all the Church that hath been against it, and to

be condemned by them.

4. It is a plain prophanation of God's holy name, and of a great and holy Ordinance, by Lying and taking God's name in vain. For they are said to be now admitted to the Office, and this day to receive it, and God is told that they are now called to it. And all their Examinations and Answers imply that they were no Ministers before, and the Bishop saith [Receive the Holy Ghost, for the Office and work of a Priest now committed to thee by the imposition of our bands] which all imply it not done before. And in so sacred a contract with God, to lie to him, and prophanely abuse his name, and the holy Ghosts, and the Duty of Prayer and Praise, is tremendous. Be not deceived God is not mocked.

5. It is a confederacy with Corrupters and Usurpers, that arrogate and appropriate valid Ordination to themselves, and a confirming all their injury to posterity, that all that shall hereafter imitate them may be encouraged, by alledging our

Re ordination.

6. It is a hainous injury to all the other Reformed Churches as if we degraded their Ministers and separated from them all as no Churches. For one part of them have no Diocesans, and the rest have Bishops, that at the Reformation were Or-

dained by Presbyters.

7. It is contrary to one of the Articles of our Religion, 23. These we engle to judge them lawfully called and sent, which be chosen and called to this work, by men, who have publick authority given to them in the Congregation to call and send Ministers, &c. But in other Countries, Presbyters have publick authority, given them. And Art. 36. The book of Consecration doth contain all things necessary to such consecration and Ordaining. But it hath nothing for Re-ordaining those before Ordained.

F

8. It is a plain condemnation of the Church of England, which hath professed Communion with the Reformed abroad, as with true Ministers and Churches of Christ: And we are now told that to communicate with Schismaticks induceth the

guilt of Schism.

9. It introduceth Anabaptistry, or utter confusion into the Nation, leaving men in doubt whether for fourteen years the people had any true Baptism (while it's a controverse whether Lay-mens Baptizing be valid, and Mr. Dodows maketh all men to be out of any Covenant-title to Salvation that have not the Sacraments from a Minister that hath successive Episcopal Ordination) And all Christians must question whether they have not so long here lived out of the Church of Christ, without Ministry and Communion.

Do you think that none of these nine Reasons prove Re-

ordination finful.

L. But because the Bishops deny it, let me bear your proof that

the former Ordination were by Presbyters is not a mility.

M. I. Ad benisten, the Church of England hath, as I faid, judged the like valid in the Reformed Churches, by holding Communion with them. I cited a great number of Bishops and Doctors in my Christian Concord.

L. But they fay that necessity differeth their case from ours here; And even Doctour Sherlock tells you shat if God make necessity; necessity will make Ministers: But ours Schismatically pull d

down the Biftogs and now difewn the very Order.

M. There is a fatisfactory concession in these words, but

the accusations are made up of falsehood and deceit.

t. Archbishop Ofer and others that thought the Ejectors of Episcopacy were guilty of Schism, yet maintained that their Ordination was valid: He told me how he pleaded it to the King.

2. Do they think that Salmafine, Blundel and all others that have written more against our Prelacy than the English, were de-

prived of it against their wills by necessity.

3. What necessity can they pretend to the Hollanders, Heluerians, Geneva, Embden, Bremen, the Palatinase, and Scotlandheretofore? might they not have had Prelates when they would. a. Was not the necessity far more notorious to those that I now plead for. They lived in a Land where Episcopacy was cast out, and kept out by a potent Army. I think there were

but four or five Bishops alive when it was restored.

g. It is false that they cast out the Bishops. Those Ministers that joyned with the Parliament to cast them out, were Ordained by Bishops, and therefore are none of the men that we are speaking of these that were Ordained by Presbyters were then young men at School, or in the Universities. And what are other mens actions to them?

L. But they are of the fame mind and party.

M. Are you a Lawyer, and do you accuse men in the Temple without naming them, and bringing proof of their guilt. Nexa caput sequitur, should all the Clergy be called guilty if Schebers, or Manuaring, or Hoylin were proved so? what error you accuse them of, prove and punish them for no other.

6. But I prove that the Bishops themselves made other Ordination necessary. Because they would Ordain none without sinful subscriptions and conditions, which must not be yielded to: If you can prove the terms lawful on which they

Ordain, I shall trie your skil anon.

II. I farther prove the Ordination in question valid, thus, Where there is a true notification of God's will that this perfen shall be a Minister of the Gospel, there is no man of validity in his Ordination. But those here ordained by Presbyters might have such a true notification of God's will—Ergo, The major is plain; Because God's will and Man's consent are the fundamentum of the Relation; therefore nothing can be wanting to it's being and

validity.

The Minor is proved. Those men that have landable ability, and willingness, and the consent of a people in true necessity, and the approbation of a National Assembly of Learned Divines (of which many Bishops were called to be members) and the investing Ordination of the gravest Senior Pastors, that were then to be had, had a true notification of God's will that they should be his Ministers. But such were these in question. Erro.

E 2

Ill. The way of ordination, which was valid in the Primitive Church is now valid.—But fach is that in question. Ergo.

As to the Minor; The Ordination of such Pastors as were but the Rectors of single Congregations, was it that was valid in the Primitive Church. But such is that in question.

Doctor Hammond labours to prove that in Scripture time there were no other Bishops or Presbyters but the single Paftors of fingle Assemblies: Mr. Gerkson hath fully proved, and I more fully in my Treatife of Episcopacy, that for a hundred and fifty years, if not much more, there were no particular Churches bigger than our Parishes. A Bishop then was but the chief Parish, or Congregational Pastor, who guided it with his Affiftance. And fuch are all our Incumbents (efpecially in great Towns) who have Chapels and Curates and Lecturers to affift them. And Grains de Imper. fum. Por. sheweth that really the chief Pastor of a Church is a Bishop, whatever they call him. But I have so largely proved in my Treatise of Episcopacy, pag. 231, 232, &c. that our questioned Ordainers were scripture Bishops, and that those now called Presbyters Ordained long after, that I must not repeat the fame things here again.

IV. Those that are in Orders may confer Orders: Ordinis est Ordinare, as Osher was wont to say; As Physicians make Physicians, and Philosophers make Philosophers, and Genefation propagateth the Species: And our Church confenteth to this. I. In that Presbyters must concur in Ordination by Imposition of hands, which is an act of authority and collation. 2. In that the Convocation hath a greater power, even Canon making; and that Convocation consisteth half and more of Presbyters, and the Canons Excommunicateth all that deny it to be the represensative Church of Eng-

land.

But Presbyters have the power of Order (as Bishop Carlton de Jurisdiet, proveth it commonly acknowledged) equal with Bishops. pag 7. And the Church of England in King Elfric's time (ad Wolf. in Spelman, pag. 575. 1. 17.) Affirm that Bishops and Presbyters are but one Order.

V. Those may ordain validly whose Ordination is more warrant-able than that of Roman Bishops (for our Bishops own theirs

as valid and ordain them not again when they turn Protofrants): But the Presbyters that Ordained here fourteen years, did it more warrantably than the Roman Riftops. Ergo,

1. The Papilts Ordain men to a falle Office; to be Mass-Priefts: But the said Pastors ordained none but to the same

office that Christ instituted.

2. The Papifts have their power of Ordination from the Pope, whose own power and office in Specie is a false Usurpation: But it is not so here, where the ordaining Pastors were lawfully called

3. Papilts Ordination enters them into a falle Church in Specie (a pretended catholick Church headed by the Pope); but our Paffors entered them into no Church but Christs.

4. Papists make them take sinful Oaths and Conditions before they Ordain them. But these Pastors (at least that imposed.

not the Covenant) did not ...

If yet any will nullifie the Reformed Churches and Ministry and their Ordination, and not the Papists, we may understand what their Mind and Communion is.

VI. That Ordination is valid which is loss sulpable, than many

Diocefans : But fuch is that in question. Ergo,

To the proof of the Minor (which only needs proof here).

1. Some Diocesans here have been Papists (as Godfrey Goodman of Gloncester) and divers have pleaded for and owned a Forreign Jurisdiction, which the Oath of Supremacy abjuseth.

2. I have fully proved in the faid Treatife of Episcopacy, that the Office of Pastors of single Churches is more warrantable than our Diocesans who are the sole Bishops of many.

score or hundred Churches.

3. The faid Presbyters (at least who medled not with the Covenant) imposed no unlawful condition on the Ordained, as too many Bishops have done.

4. Many Bishops plead the derivation of their power from

Rome: And what theirs is I shewed before.

But because I must not write a Treatise on this one question, you may read it done copiously and unanswerably by Voetien against Comel. Jansenius de desperata Causa Paparus.

Yet I add one difference more. The Ordainers and Ordained

in queltion, had the confest of the Flocks and neighbour Ministers a but the faid Bilhops come in by the Magistrate without the confent or knowledge of the Flocks, and fo do the Ministers usually whom they Ordain. And what the ancient Church thought of this, abundance of Canons flew. l'le now cite but one, Concil. Nic. 2. Can. 3. Omnem Electionem qua fit a Magistratibu, Episcopi Presbyteri vel Diaconi irritam manere en camme dicemes, figuis Epifcopus fecularibus Magifratibus Min Der eas Etclefiam obeinnerit, deponatur & fegregetur, & omnes qui cum eo Communicant. Oportet enim eum qui eft promovendes ad Episcopatum ab Episcopis eligi, quemadmodum a fanteis patribus Nicanis decretum oft in Can. qui dicit [Epifospum oportet maxime quidem ab omnibus qui fam in provincia confficui. And many Councils mullifie their Episcopecy that come not in by the election or confent of Glorgy and People, which ad beminem is fomewhat to them that urge fuch Councils against us.

1. I confess your reasons seem unanswerable, at least at to the case of necessity, which I am convinced was the case of those that were ordained when there were no Bishops to whom they could have access, or no place in the Ministry without Presbyters Ordination, and who then durst not be twice Ordained: And for Churchmen that must be strikly Religious to suffer on such terms I cannot speak against. But we secular mentions, these too little things to suffer

for.

M. If your consciences can call such prophanation of Gods Name, such condemnation of Protestant Churches, such strengthening the hands of _____ a little thing, they shall be no measure for our consciences: For we believe that we must die, and that there is a God and a righteons final Judgment.

G HA P. VI. II. Of the Covenant and Oath of Canonical Obedience to our Ordinary or Bishop.

L. W Hat harm is there in your promising or swearing obedience to your Ordinary, in things Lawful and Homest. What a manifested die by finald not result to five ar or promise.

M. I will first tell you the words imposed, and then I will tate the Controverse, and then I will tell you our Reasons.

The Words at Ordination are thefe. t. On Deacons and Priefts. [Will you reverently aboy your Ordinary and other chief Ministers to whom is committed the Charge and Government over you; following with a glad Mind and Will their godly Administrations and submitting your solves to their godly Judgmans? Answ. I will so do, the Lord being my belp.

The Form of the Oath which they use to impose is this

Ego A.B. Jure and prefiche them & Commission Obedientian Episcope Londinensi sinsq, successions in annibus ticiris & bonestis, (And little know we of what Religion their Successors will be, or who will have the choosing of them? I'le not swear

to I know not who.)

The Bishops themselves also must take this Oath of due Obedience to the Arch-bishop. In the Name of God Amen: I N. chosen Bishop of the Church and See of N. do profess and promise all due reverence and obedience to the Arch-Bishop and to the Metropolitan Church of N. and to their Successors. So help me God through Jessu Christ.

L. What is your Comroverfie against any of this?

M. 1. We do not question the duty of ebeying the King and all his Officers, governing as Magistrates by the power of the Swords which the King may commit to them. If Bishops or Lay Chancellors be made Magistrates, we will obey them as such. And therefore when they summon us, we appear and answer, because the King authorizeth them. And many Non-conformists have desended the taking the Promise as supposing that the Word [Ordinary] significath only the Judge of a Court set up by the King as Supream Governor by the Sword in matters and over persons Ecclesiastical as well as Civil, according to the true sence of the Oath of Supremacy.

2. We do not refuse to promise and swear due Obedience to such as are our Lawful Pastors, ruling the Church by the power of the Keys according to the Word of God: Though we think that requiring such Oaths is an irregularity in them, against the ancient Canons, and a farr higher presumption

than the Independents Covenant.

3. We do not deny a patient and quiet fibriilion to un-

lawful persons and acts of Government, not owning their sin our selves, and doing no evil at their command.

But these are the things which we are not satisfied in.

I. Obedience hath essential Relation to the Laws and Mandans of those that we obey: And the Canons of England are the Laws by which they openly profess to Rule the Church: And therefore they call it the Oath of Canonical Obedience, that is, of obeying the Church Government according to the Canons; And when we know the Canons before-hand, we know what Government and Obedience is meant. And we swear fraudulently if we take not the Oath in the sence of the Imposers: And they commonly tell us, that this is the meaning of [Due Obedience], and if Godly Admonisions, or [in ligitin & honessin] be put in, that doth but suppose that Obedience according to the Canons is Godly and ligitum & honessum, and not that we are left to thoose which Canons we will obey. All Bishops I doubt not will stand to this Exposition of the sence.

Now there are abundance of things in the Canons which we think to be greater has than we think meet to call

them.

11. We know that the Rule of the Bishops is by Chancellors Course and other such, where Lay-men exercise the Church Keys, by Decretive Excommunications and Absolutions: which wise men think to be sacrilegious Hsurpation, and a Prophenation of a dreadful part of Christs Government: And Lawyers and Civilians tell us, that the word [Ordinary] significant the appointed Ordinary Judge of the Cours, and so that we swear or Covenant to obey Lay Civilians using the Keys. And sector shief Ministers] can mean no less than all the Archdeacons, Officials, Commissaries, Surrogates, &c. whom we covenant to obey; not in civil things or the sires Sucra belonging to Magistrates, which we resuse not, but in the exercise of the Church Keyes.

III. They that think they have fully proved that Diocesans Ruling many bundred Churches without any Bishops under them, are an Office in Specia contrary to Gods Word, and the practice of the Primitive Church, and that it corrupteth or excludeth true Church Discipline, do think it a sin to con-

form by as Oath of Allegiance or Obedience to them, though

IV. They that think that by Scripture, and Reason, and Universid Church Customs and Canous, they are no Bishops or Pastors that come in by Magistrates without the Election or consent of the Flocks and Clergy, think that to swear Obedience to them is to be guilty of their Userpation.

These four be the things refused in this Outh and Covenant

of Obedichoe 31A 10 aural acquired

L. And what have you against obeying according to the Canon?

M. I. You may gather it from the foregoing enumeration of the Canonical Impolitions: Many things of a heinouser nature than Liturgies, Ceremonies or things Indifferent

cording to the 4th. Canon, against any man that affirmeth that the Book of Common-Prayer containeth any thing in it that is repugnant to the Scriptures. Judge that by the proof that I shall apon give

7, 8. and many others, which are after to be particularly

mentioned.

dare not preffice, and therefore dare not swear Canonical Obedience and an actual and a state of the canonical conditions.

L. Thus Outh dath not oblige you to approve of all that is in the Canons, no more than a Justices Outh to enecute the Lang dath hind

him to approve of, w execute every Law. a institut the sel

M. We would not be guilty of an over rigorous Exposition: But had it been in the days of Queen Mary, when the
Six Articles, and other Lawes for Murdering Innocents were
on foot, and were actually expounded by Execution, I would
not have been one of the justices that should have sworn to
execute them. Though a Justice be not bound to approve
every Law, he is bound in the main to execute them in his
place; And if he know that the imposers of his Oath did
mean, that he should in a special manner execute. I the
Laws against Protestants, he should not take that Oath contrary to their sences. Our Canons make these things formentioned their principal part, as you may see by putting

them first with that strange penalty of Excommunication ipso falls. And indeed it is no small part of the whole Book

that we diffent from.

Il. But moreover we dare not promife or swear Obedience to our Ordinaries, till we know that Lay-men governing by the Keys are not those Ordinaries. I have consulted Lawyers, and some say that only the Bishop is meant by our [Ordinary], But I think they are but sew that say so. And indeed we are bound to believe the contrary, because terms of Art or Science are to be understood according to the use of the men of that Art or Science. But men of that profession commonly call other Judges of their Courts our Ordinaries, besides the Bishops: So doth R. Consider in his Tables and others.

2. And other Gountning Ministers whom we must obey, are mentioned in the Ordination Covenant also besides our Or-

dinaries.

Our Resions against this are these.

1. It is unlawful to confederate with Sacrilegious Propha-

Criting that Sacrilegious Prophanation.

But to Covenant or Swear Obedience to Lay-men in usurping the power of the Keys of Decretive Excommunication
and Absolution, we fear is such; and as to the Minor the
reason of our fear is, if it be Sacrilegious prophanation for
a Lay-man to usurp the other parts of the Pastoral Office,
then it is so for him to usurp the power of the Keyes. But
the Antecedent is confest, as to the Sacraments and the charge
of ordinary Teaching and Guidance of the Flocks, obe.

2. Ad hominem. If the Bishops take it for Usurpation in Presbyters to exercise this power, supposing it stroper to themselves, they must judge it much more so in Lay-mon.

or L. The Lay-men do is by the Bifteps diabonity and in his Name; and fo he doth it by them : His Name is to the Excommunications.

M. 1. The Chancellors have their Commissions from the King, which the Bishops cannot alter-

2. If it be so it is the worse.

when he never heard or anyed the Gaule. If this be against the Bishops Will, it is a forgery; last he saysim, it scenes he trusteth

truffeth his Conscience in the Chancellors hands, and Exconimunicateth all at a venture that the Chancellor Excommanicatethis though he know not whom nor why, which is against the Light of Nature, and the common fuffice of the World.

2. And it is contrary to the nature of the Pastoral Office to execute it by men of another Calling: Either it is proper to Bishops or not; if not, Presbyters or Lay-men may use it; if yea, then none, may be deputed to ale it, that are not Bishops. If the Keys and not the Sacraments may be wied by Lay-men, then the use of the Keys is not proper to Pastors, but only Sacraments. But no man can give a just reason why Lay-men may not give the Sacraments as well as use the Keys.

Yea indeed the Satramental administration cannot be proper to the Pastoral Office if the Keys be not : For the alcof. the Keys is to Judge who shall be admitted to Sacramental communion: and if only Delivering and not Judging to whom, be proper to the Paftor, then he is but a carrier or creer and Executioner of Lay-mens Judgment, perhaps lower than the Deacon. Barely to fav over the words and do the action. is but an outward Ministration, and no act of Power at all

L. But it is not the Chancellor but the Surrogate that Excammunicateth.

M. 1. Ask those that have been much among them, how oft they have heard a Lay-Civilian fay at once, [I admentify you, I

admonth you, I admons h you, I excommunicate you].

2. Hypocrific is but an aggravation of Sin: The Lay-man decreeth the Excommunication, which is the judicial act; when they use a Surrogate Prieft, it is but as a hireling Servant to pronounce the Decree, to mock the Church with a Formality do.

3: If indeed it be the Prieft that Excommunicateth and Abfolveth, when no Bishop is there, then they confess that the power of the Keys is not proper to a Bishop, but may be

validly used by a Priest.

L. But what have you against futuring Obedience to the Bishops theoretically Lawful.

M. III. We have nothing against a peaceable submission to them, if they were proved all Usurpers. For my part, when I think think how the High Priests were made (out of a wrong line, by Roman power and purchase, &c.) in Christs time, and how much he was for submission to them, and a use of all that was good and lawful, done by those bad unlawful intruders, it resolveth me to regard bare Possession, so far as our own edification and the common peace requireth. But as Christ was a Nonconformist to the Pharisees vain Traditions, so he was so far from swearing Obedience to these Usurpers, that he oft plainly and vehemently reproveth them. Many, for the bonum publicum, which is Suprema Lex and sinu regiminis, did live in quiet submission to the Usurpers of civil power here, who yet would never have swerne obedience to them or justified their Usurpation.

That the frame of Diocesans as the only Bishops is unlawful tota specie, I have so largely proved in my Treatise of Epistopacy, that I must not here repeat it, as long as the Diocesan party.

by not answering it seem to grant it. I have proved,

3. That this Dioceian Species destroyeth the old Species of particular Churches, turning the Parishes into no Churches, but parts of a Dioceian Church while they make a Bishop essential to a Church.

2. That they set up a false Species instead of it, viz. A Church insime species, which hath many score Parishes, if not many hundred in it, without any under-Bishes to them.

3. That it deposeth the add species of Bishops and Presbyters both, which were [to every Church of the lowest species] a Bishop with his Presbyters ejustem ordinis (if they could be had) so that many score or hundred Bishops are put down, on pretense of setting up Episcopacy.

4. And they fet up both Bishop and Presbyters of a humane unlawful fort instead of those deposed, viz. Arch-bishop infimi ordinis, over a thousand or hundred Carcasses of Churches: and half Presbyters that have not the power of the Keys, nor

are of the same Order with the Bishops.

5. That they deposed Christs true Church Discipline, and made it as impossible as for one School-master alone to govern all the Schools in a Diocess, or one Physician many hundred Hospitals, or one Mayor many Hundred Corporations, without any School-master (but an Usher or Monitor) or any, Physician, or any Mayor or Justice under him.

6. That

6. That they have fet up a false humane Discipline (before described) instead of Christ's, which they have taken down. And all this we dare not justifie by a consederacy

by Oath.

IV. And we think that the fourth thing which we stick at needs no other reason; suppose the species of Diocesans were of God's appointment, and only the numerical Bishops usurpers, we can submit and live peaceably, but we cannot swear obedience to them. They plead more than we for the power of ancient Councils, and Canons. I have elsewhere fully proved (as Paul of Venice hath done, and Mr. Clarkson, and Dr. Burnet, and many others) that many great Councils. nullified the Episcopacy of all that came in without the election, or consent of the Clergy, and Flocks: And we our selves cannot conceive how any man can be the Pastor of those that confent not; though we can easily conceive that Dissenters. may oft be obliged to confent when they do not; fo may a Son or Daughter be obliged to obey their Parents in confenting to Marry, fuch as Parents choose for them, when yet it is no marriage till that confent. How few in a Diocese ever know of the Bishops Election till it's past, and how few confent, I need not tell. We can submit to these, but not swear Allegiance to them.

V. And in all the forefaid cases, we have another disswasive.

1. It is so much of the King's Prerogative that all Subjects mult swear Allegiance and Fidelity to him, that in almost all Nations it hath been thought dangerous, to make the Subjects also swear obedience to every Justice or inferior Officer, lest it should make them too like Kings. 2. Lest the Subjects should be entangled between their Oath to the King, and their Oaths to all these Officers, in case of the Officers contradiction to the King's. 3. Lest so many Oaths should make that Government a snare to the conscientious, which should be for their ease and safety. 4. Lest so much swearing make Oaths contemptible, and bring in perjury, and endanger the King, who should by our Oaths be secured.

2. And I have elsewhere named many Councils, and Canons which prohibit Bishops this practice of making the Clergy swear fidelity to them, and have condemned it, as of dange-

rons consequence. And they that are for Councils should not

engage us caufelelly againft them.

3. The present Impolitions greatly frop us, till we better know what it is that we must do. We have cause to make a frand, when we are all fworn [never to endeavour any alteration of the Government of the State] which we readily obey. and yet feem to be called to do that which we are told by fome is an alteration of it: That is, the making of our present species of Archbishops, Bishops, Deans, Archdeacons, year Chancellors, Officials, Commissaries, &c. as unchangeable a part of the Government as Monarchy it felf is, and fo dif-

abling the King to make any alteration in them.

For fet all this together and confider, . 1. All the Clergy is bound or fworn to obey both Bishops and every Ordinary. 2. The Canon ipfo fatto, Excommunicates every man that affirmeth that the Church Government under his Majefty by Archbishops, Bishops, Deans, Archdeacons and THE REST THAT BEAR OFFICE in the fame, is repugnant to the word of God; fo that all the Lords and Gentlemen in England that have aftermed that the Government by the Keys as used by Diocefans over hundreds of Churches, or by Archdeacons, Lay Chancellors, o'r. is repugnant to God's word, being already info facto Excommunicate, how far they are capable of being Parliament-men I know not: but I suppose if in Parliament they shall affirm any such repugnancy they are Excommunicate; and without the Act of King and Parliament no alteration can be made. 4. And now to fix them all, the Kingdom is fworn never to endeavour any alteration in the Church Government : viz. In the Corporation All, the Militia All, the Veftry Act, the Oxford favouring Act, after the Att of Uniformity. And is not every Chancellor, or Archdeacon, or Bishop now made as immutable necessary a part of the Kingdom as the King?

L. You speak ignorantly for want of acquaintance with the Law: De you think King and Parliament oblige themselves. It is only

particular subjects out of Parliament that they oblige.

M. I. But when the Parliament is diffolved, are they not all particular subjects save the King. And are they not all then hereby bound? And do you think that it was the meaning of the Act that they who swear never to endeavour alteration, may yet endeavour it, if they be chosen Parliament men? I will manifestly disprove it. All these Oaths do joyn the Government of Church and State together: Yea, and put the Church-Government suffit, as if it had the preeminence. But it was never the meaning of the Oath, that the Parliament may endeavour to alter Monarchy, which is the State-Government: Ergo, it meant not that they may endeavour to alter Prolacy or Church-Government.

II. But suppose it be as you say, They that know the present thing called the Church of England know that their Writers openly maintain that the Obligation of the Canons depends not on the Parliament, save only as to the forceable execution of them, but on the authority of the Church as a Society empowred by Christ: And therefore that King or Parliaments at least may be Excommunicated by them as well as others. All are Excommunicate men that do but call their Govern-

ment finful.

CHAP. VII. II. Of the restraint of Ordained Ministers from Preaching, and expounding any Scripture, or Matter or Destrine, Can. 49.

L. What is it that you have against Conformity in this?

M. I. That men are at once made Christ's Ministers, and forbid to exercise that which they are Ordained
to.

H. That we are laid under the hainous guilt of breaking our Vow, when they have engaged us to make it; and of

betraying mens Souls, by omitting a vowed duty.

2. That we are forbidden that which is the duty of every Lay Christian that is able, as if they would suppress Religion and Charity it self.

L. But you do not fivear or subscribe to this Canon,

M. 1. But we are bound by them to obey this Canon; for

it is the Law of the whole Church of England.

2. I have shewed you that swearing obedience to them must mean, obeying their Laws which are far more of weight than particular mandates.

L. But as long as you may have Licenses, how doth this put you

on any fin of omission or commission?

M Both their words and their deeds tell us that they Ordain more than they Licence to Preach or Expound any Doctrine. And is it no finful omission think you for all the rest to forbear all this?

2. And many were Ordained heretofore, who by the new Act of Uniformity, are denyed Licenses without new Professions and Covenants and terms which they undertake to prove sinful.

3. And our Ministry is by this made arbitrary to the Bishops will. He may bind us to the Office, and when he hath

done keep us from it.

L. If they deny you Licenses they bind you not to preach.

M.Ordination is a Vow and Dedication to the sacred Office: Mark the Covenant which they impose on us [Are you determined out of the Scriptures to instruct the people committed to your Charge, &c. Answ. I have so determined by God's Grace. Q. Will you give your faithful diligence always so to minister the Dostrine and Sacraments, and the Dissipline of Christ as the Lord bath commanded, &c. that you may teach the people committed to your Care and Charge, with all diligence to keep and observe the same. Answ. I will so do by the help of the Lord. Q. Will you be ready with all faithful diligence to banish and drive away all erroneous and strange dostrines contrary to God's word, and to use both publick and private monstions and exhortaions, as well to the sick as to the whole within your Cure, as need shall require, and occasion shall be given. Answ. I will the Lord being my helper.]

Is it not treachery to draw men into all these Vows, and then to command them never to Preach nor Expound any Do-Elrine or matter any where? Doth it not come near to an Atheistical prohibition of Religion, may they not tell their people the meaning of Baptism, or the Greed, or Lord's-Prayer, or Commandments? May they not teach their own Children and Servants these. If a Priest may not do it, much lesse the Laity

and Vulgar.

L. The meaning is not, against expounding to their children or

wives at home, but in the Church?

M. Can the Church of England tell fuch a meaning no plainer than by faying [either in his own Cure or elsewhere.] Is

not his House or his Neighbour's House elsewhere: If they can speak no plainer, I would they would make us no Laws till God Licence them.

2. But suppose that [elsewhere] speak only of Churches, you know that other Canons forbid them Preaching in private

houses.

3. And what a Priest is that who must be forbidden to teach the people in the Church: or there to tell Children the meaning of the Catechism? How like is this to the Moscovy State?

L. You know that many men are unable to Preach, Expound

or speak sense about Divinity if they should attempt it.

M. And are such fit to be made the Teachers and Guides of the Flock, shall the same men make a man Physician to an Hospital, and when they have done forbid him to do any more than read to them a Physick Book of their making? Is it not an ability to teach men, at least the Essentials of Christianity, Essential to a Minister? Do they not then make No-ministers and call them Priess, if they ordain men that cannot Teach the essentials.

L. Reading the Scripture, and Homilies is Teaching.

M. It is so: but if that only will qualifie one for the Priest-hood, one may be a Priest that knows no more than an Insidel or Atheist: and if Reading will qualifie men for a Benefice, many will study for no more: and the people will value them accordingly.

L. But you know that when the Land came out of Popery, we

must have meer Readers in most places, or worse.

M. And you know that these Canons were made in K. James's days long after that, and that they are now continued as the Church Laws: Did our last Convocation alter them? It is such excellent men as the world is not worthy of (Ames, Bayn, Parter, Hildersham, Dod, &c.) that have been forbidden to Preach, whilest these that could not preach were Ordained and inducted. It is only the present things that I am speaking of.

2. And though men of mean abilities, if tolerable, may be tolerated, yet men that want effential qualifications should

not be made Ministers; but if bare Reading be necessary,

they may Read as Lay men.

I conclude therefore that as making such Priests and Canons is a sin in them, so to obey them by omission of Ministerial teaching, for want of a Licence from the Bishops would be sinful Conformity in any true Minister of Christ if he live where his Ministerial work is necessary. And to forbear all Expounding any matter, or doctrine, save by Reading our Homilies, is sacriledge and perfidiousness, and uncharitable inhumanity.

CHAP. VIII. IV, and V. Of Subscribing and declaring Affect and Consent.

L. What is your next exception to Conformity.

M. Subscribing according to the Canon, that there is nothing in the book of Common-Prayer contrary to the word of

is nothing in the book of Common-Prayer contrary to the word of God. and that we will use no other form. 2. And publickly declaring our Assent and Consent to all things contained and prescribed in and by it, and our Approbation of all the Forms, Orders, &c, as aforesaid.

L. What have you against this Approbation of the Liturgy?

M. Negatively, i. We blame nothing in it that is good: And I take it for a good Book, in the main, but not faultless. As you said of the Canon of allowing Readers, so say I of the Liturgy; it was better immediately after Popery than it is now, that is, it was more congruous, and was a great Reformation, and we honour the Book and their Memory that made it.

2. We do not think that there is any such saultiness in it, as maketh it unlawful to joyn in the publick Worship, and ordinary Communion, with the Church where it is used, We

honour them as true Churches of Christ.

3. I do not think it unlawful to read the ordinary Lords day Service, when the publick good requireth it, and we can have no better without greater hurt than benefit: Our objections being most against the by-offices, aspecially of Baptizing and Burial.

But,

Forms in publick Prayer. II. And unlawful to subscribe and

declare that it is faultlefs.

I. For the first we have these Reasons. 1. The Bishops themselves by the King's order, do upon special occasions of Fasts and Thanksgivings, prescribe, impose and use other Forms? and we must not covenant to disobey them. 2. The publick Ministers have and do in the Pulpits before Sermon use other Forms: And so break this Covenant themselves.

3. It belongs to the office of a Pastor, as well to word his own Prayers as his own Sermons: And it is sinful to renounce so much of the work of the Office which we are yourd and

ordained to.

L. These instances are a clear exposition of the Canon, and show that by [no other Form] they intended not to exclude other Pulpit-

prayers, or other Forms, prescribed by the Rishops.

M. 1. If the whole Church of England here also can speak no more intelligibly, than by [no other Forms] to mean only [till the Bishop prescribe it, and except your own daily Form in the Pulpie] I would they would leave us to God's own Laws, and not take on them to be necessary interpreters of its great difficulties. If such men forbear that expounding, which they forbid others till they will do it better, the loss will be the less;

Scripture speaketh plainlier than this.

2. But who giveth this exposition? To expound the Law by a common obligatory Exposition, is proper to the Lawmakers: He that maketh the words maketh not a Law if he make not the fense; Judges make not the sense but decide. particular Cases by it as they understand it. The Canons are made by the Convocation, which he that denyeth to be the Representative Church of England, is Excommunicate. The Pulpit Preachers, nor the particular Bishops are not the Convocation, and therefore have not power to expound their Canons, by any Common obligatory exposition; much less contrary to the express words. Which way most of the Clergy went under Bishop Parker, Grindal and Abbot, is well known: And yet now they are so far from being taken for the Expositors of the Churches sense, that they are openly scorned, as popular fautorer of Puritans, and those of their mind called Grindalizers.

II. But it is the fecond, that is the Trojan horse whose name is Legion, I mean that hath many more evils in the belly of it, viz. that we must profess that the three Books, Articles, Liturgy and Ordination are so utterly faultless that there is nothing in them Contrary to the word of God, and that we Assent and Consent to all commained and prescribed in and by them.

L. What have you against this? what is there in it that is con-

trary to God's word?

M. God's word is perfect and forbids the least faulty errour, or defect. If we had never seen the Book, we know that men made it, and that every one that made it had ignorance, error and sin, and can a perfect faultless volumn be made by such faulty men? Operari sequitur esse. They renounce all pretensions to Infallibility in the Articles of Religion.

L. Ton interpret the words rigorously: By [nothing contrary] they mean nothing so contrary, as that one may not use the

Books.

M. If by [mething] they mean not [nothing] and if by [contrary] they mean not [contrary] we will better know what they mean before we subscribe, else you may make it lawful to subscribe to any thing in the world, and say that the Imposers mean better than they speak.

L. And Affent and Confent is expressy confined to the ufo.

M. 1. I shall prove that that is not true. 2. That if it were true it no whit amends the matter, nor maketh it lawful to us.

I. It is not true: For. 1. The words of the declaration are as expressly universal as man can speak: And the foregoing words to the use of a law expresses and all Lawyers agree that when the title of a Law expresses the End, that limiteth not the sense of the words of the Law, because the Means may be larger than the End. As the Oxford Act of Confinement is in the title to keep Nonconformists from Corporations; And yet Lawyers resolve that it extendeth also to Conformists, if one of them should but once preach in a Conventicle. The Parliament and Presented:

1. Therefore they ty all to declare in that same form of words,

and to add no wher, least they should make any exposition that limits them. 2. And the word Assem signifieth an Act of the understanding, which must have Truth for its object.

3. And it is after exprest by the word [Approbation] whereas a man may use that which he doth not approve of.

2. Oaths, Covenants and Professions must be taken in the mest usual proper sense, unless another be express to be the Imposers meaning; which is not here done, and the words are

most universal and without exception.

3. And to put all out of doubt, the Parliament expounded themselves. 1. At the making of the Act, this was debated, and reasons given against the limited expressions, which prevailed. 2. Since then a new Act against Conventicles being made, it was moved in the Lords House, that seeing Nonconformists were thus far forbidden private worship, they should be so far invited to Conformity, as that a Proviso might be added to this Act, that the Declaration in the Act. of Uniformity should be understood to oblige men but to the Use of the things required: and the Commons rejecting that Proviso, it came to a debate or conference between the two Houses, where the Commons gave their reasons against that fense and proviso: In which the Lords acquiesc't. Though I was not present, the Parliament-men that were, reported this, and I never met with man that contradicted it, or questioned the truth of it.

II. But if it were otherwise, it were tous never the better. For, 1. It were an ignorant reproach of the Church of England, to say that they have put any thing in their Liturgy which is of no use. This will include every syllable. They themselves tell you in their Prefaces, what use the very Calender, and every other part are of. The use of the Articles of Faith and Doctrines is our understanding, assent and belief of them in order to Love and Practice. The use of the orders in the Rubrick is to oblige us to obedient practice, and so of all the rest. And to Assent, approve and Consent to every thing comained and prescribed practically, even to the use of them, is more than a bare speculative assent.

L. Wherein lyeth the fin of such a Declaration?

M. 1. In general it is incredible, as I faid before, in confideration

fideration of the matter, and the Authors together: The Book of Articles, Liturgie and Ordination are a big Volumn, and contain great variety of matter, and that high and mysterious; The Authors were every one of them men of impersection, that had ignorance, error and sin: And operation exceedeth not power. Who dare say that any Sermon, or Prayer that ever he maketh, hath nothing in it but what he may as-

fent and confent to: Much less fo great a Book.

2. The Articles which must be subscribed as faultless, say Art. 15. [Christ alone is without sin—But all we the rest (though baptized and born again in Christ) yet offend in many things, and if we say that we have no sin, we deceive our selves, and the truth is not in m.] And Art. 21. [General Councils. For as much they be an Assembly of men whereof all be not governed with the Spirit and Word of God, they may err, and sometimes have erred, even in things pertaining to God]. And are our Convocation more infallible than General Councils? The Church must be exemplary in humility; and is it humility to say, we Bishops and Priests having written three Books; he shall not preach Christ's Gospel that will not declare that there is not a word in them that is faulty, or repugnant to God's word, and will not assent and consent to all therein.

3. The Papists hereby scandalized, do scorn us, and say, The question is not now of an Infallibility, or a Judge that all must assent to. It is but who this Infaliable Judge is: whether it be the Pope and a General Council, or the English Convocation, which is liker to be of greater authority and infallibility; we require no greater assent and consent of you to the Canons of the Universal Church, than the State and

Church of England require to their Books.

4. This feemeth to us to fet the Articles, Liturgie and Ordination Book above the Bible. 1. God himself hath not made the assent and consent to every thing contained and prescribed in the Bible necessary to Salvation, or to the Ministry.

1. There are divers Books in our Bibles whose Divine authority, many have questioned, who yet were not for that degraded. The Apochryphal books are yet controverted by men tolerated on both sides. There are hundreds of various Readings, where no one is necessitated to determine for this or that.

Translations are all faulty, being the work of faulty men:
And no wife men will declare that this or that or any Translation bath nothing in it contrary to the Original Word of God. And are our Bishops Books more faultless?

5. It is a fin to Confederate with and Encourage such audacious Lording it over the Faith and Souls of men, and such ill

Examples.

L. Tour instances show that you expound them too strictly: Can you imagine them so insolent and impious as to impose their own Books more strictly than the Bible, and require more Assent and Consent.

M. Call it what you will: I must suppose that matter of Fact which is undeniably evident to our senses: It's an ill argument [This is unreasonable and angodly or inhumane. Ergo, It was not done] What is so false, absurd or impious that man may not do?

L. Some fay, They are Articles of Peace only, and not of Faith.

M. Some Brains will be cheated with a meer noise of words, as Birds with a whistle: We deny not but Peace is one of the ends of the Impositions: but the question is what are the Means: Or whether they will take it for Conformity to promise [I will live peaceably] or [I Assem that I should live in Peace]. Are you not bound in order to peace, to Assemt and Consent to all things in the Books? Say, [I Assem that some things are true and good, and some things false and bad, which yet for Peace I will use] and try how it will betaken?

L. Well: What is there in thefe Books contrary to Gods Word, or

which you may not Affent and Confent to ?

M. The number is greater than we would have them. I will come to the chief of them, which I before named to you.

L. I forgat to tell you, that it is not all contained, that is Af-

fented to, but all that is both contained and prescribed.

M. 1. A meer quibble to cheat Conscience: Ask the Bishop Morley and Bishop Gunning yet living, whether this was the sence, and I will take their answer.

2. Then [Affent and Comained] had been put in in vain and to deceive, if [Confent and Preferibed] fignific as much with-

out them.

3. The word [approbation] in this Act, and [nothing contrary to Gods Word] in the Canon, confute this quibble.

4. B

4. I told you were it so it's never the better. All in the Book is prescribed to some use. They are outside men that think Use reacheth but the Body: Are Articles of Faith Assertions of no use?

CHAP. IX. Point VI. Of the Article of Baptized Infants Salvation.

M. THE fixth Point of our Non-conformity is a new Article of Faith in these words, in a Rubrick which we must Profess, Assent and Consent to [It is certain by the Word of God, that Children which are baptized and dying before they commit altual sin are undoubtedly soved].

L. And what have you against Allenting to this?

M. 1. That it is a New Article of Faith. 2. That it is arrogant and divisive, making a grand Controversie one Article of Faith. 3. It is certainly false in most if not every one that declareth such assent. 4. It is a dangerous adding to the Word of God.

L. Why call you a Rubrick an Article of Faith?

M. It is most expressly made such: What is an Article of Faith but that which must be Assented to as certain by the Word of God? Will you deny the Name where there is this Desinition?

L. But how do you prove it to be new?

M. Because it was never made for us before; you have the affirmative: If you say it was ever before, prove it. It's not in the Bible, it's not in our 39 Articles, nor Creed.

L. Are not the old words of the former Book to the same sense?

M. Not at all: If they were why did the New Convocation alter them? The old words plainly signifie no more than this that Infants baptized have all exparte ministri, and may be faved without Confirmation, Exorcism, Chrysme, Spittle, Salt, Milk and Honey, and such other additions, supposing him exparte sui under the promise of Salvation, that is, to be the seed of the Faithful: Though I verily believe that after the making of the Common-prayer Book, our Canon-Makers in Bancrosts dayes began to warp towards a worser sence. But our Desenders of the Liturgy expound it as I say; and

the

the tenor of the words may tell the Reader that they meant no more.

L. Tell me first where it is that your Controversie lyeth?

M. I. Negatively, 1. It is not whether the Infant Seed of one believing Parent should be Baptized: This is agreed on.

2. It is not whether those may be dedicated to God as our Children and baptized, who are Adopted, or any way made our own Children, as Abrahams bought and born to him in his house as his propriety were. Though we cannot say me are certain of this, yet we will not contradic them that say they are.

3. It is not whether Hypocrites Children have not fo far a right to Baptiline Coran Ecclesia as that the Minister

ought to baptize them if it be justly demanded.

4. It is not whether there be a certainty of the Salvation of all the baptized Infants of true faithful Christians, that die before actual sin: Though all good Christians are not certain of this: yet with the Synod of Deri we hold that Christians have no just cause to doubt of it.

5. It is not whether they may not be good men that think all baptized ones abfolutely in a state of Salvation. None of

these are the Controversie.

II. But it is 1. Whether all Infants without exception that be baptized, are faved if they then die.

2. Whether this be certain by the Word of God."

3. Whether all that be not undoubtedly certain of it, should be no Ministers?

L. But it is not faid [All Infants], but [Infants] indefi-

nitely.

M. 1. There is no place of doubting of their universal fence. For an Indefinite term in re necessaria is equal to an V-niversal. And they except the unbaptized from Christian Burial.

2. It is Baptized Infants as fuch that they speak of, and that under no other Character, nor with the least exception.

And a quaterns ad owner valet argumentum.

3. The Canon commandeth Ministers to baptize all Infants without exception that are brought to them on any Sundays or Holydays to be baptized after the Manner of the Church of England.

Н

4. I

4. I have spoken with the Bishops that brought in and promoted this Article, and they own the universal sence, supposing the true form of Baptisme; and say, that as any man bath right to take up an exposed Infant in the streets and take it in, so bath any one to bring the Child of a Heathen, Insidel, Atheist or Saddwice to baptisme.

s. If they had meant it only of fome baptized Infants and not all, they knew the Non-conformists were of the same mind; and then they would have told us, what fort they

mean.

L. I. And why may not an Article of Faith be newly declared? we have not read the Fathers? It may not be unknown to them; And

I have beard that they are for it.

M. The most ancient Churches were so much employed in baptizing the adult Converted from Insidelity, that we read little or nothing expressy and particularly what they did about Insants in Baptisme: They baptized none at age without a serious Prosession of true faith and Repentance and holy Dedication to Christ: They used to keep these as Learning Catechumens long before they baptized them, save in case of necessity near death. Therefore they had their set-times of the year for baptizing (two or three times) as our Bishops have now for ordaining. And after all this strict preparation, they pronounced the baptized in a state of Salvation, but it was only on supposition that he was a sincere penitent covenanting Believer. Even Hildebrand (Pope Greg. 7.) in his time conclude th that baptisme saveth none that dissemble or have not the Faith and Repentance which they profess: which the Papists do ordinarily confess, and Protestants much more.

And as for Infants, the Ancients compelled not Christians themselves to baptize them, but left them to their own choice. Terialism is for long delay till-they understand, saying, On festinat innecess at as: Greg. Necessare would have them stay at least three years: In danger of Death they alway hastened. Another and many others that had Christian Parents were not baptized till at Age. And they took Christians Infants as Pant did, to be not inclean but holy, and would receive others brought by such as adopted or owned them as

Pro-orients. But it was never the judgment of the ancient Charlies that all Heathers of timels Children have right to Baptisme and Salvation if any will but offer them to Baptism. Much less that it is certain by Gods Word that all fact are laved.

2. Articles of Faith are all contained in the Scripture, and that is not new ; therefore nothing that is new can be an Article of Faith; nor can it be laid to be newly declared which was there from its beginning.

L. II. And why call you it arrogant and dividing?

L. What be thefe Opinions about it?

oning faving Covenant that is made to the familial and their Seed, and their Children exprelly called Holy, they are all in a state of partion and falvation before baptisme, and baptisme doth but telebrate and invest them in it before the Church; and folemnly seal their Covenant right. And that this faving right is given only to the feed of the incereity faithful, or at most to those that have such Pro-parents, though the Seed of Hypocrites must be reterved by the Church, that know not ment hearts. And this I take to be the Truth.

2. Some others hold that this right to Salvation belongeth to them that have Grand fathers and Orand-mothers or re-

mote Ancestors that were truly Godfy Christians.

3. Some hold that it belongeth to all the Seed of professed Christians how bad forest the Parents be.

4. Some hold that it belongeth only to the Children of true Bellevers that are baptized, but not to the unbaptized.

3. Some hold that it belongeth to Hypocrites Children that are baptized, but not to the unbaptized.

6. Some hold that it belongeth to all baptized ones if they have God-fathers that profess Christianity.

7. Some hold that it belongeth to all baptized by a true be-

H 2

8. Some

8. Some hold that it belongeth to none that by Baptism are taken into any Congregation guilty of Herefic or Schism.

 Some hold that it belongeth to all that are baptized by a Minister who is ordained by Bishops that have uninterrupted Canonical Succession, and not to others.

10. Some hold that the Baptisme of a Lay-man or a Wo-

man may be effectual to the Salvation of fuch.

11. Some Conformists hold that all Infants in the World

are faved, baptized and unbaptized.

12. The most of the Papists hold that the baptized are faved both from the pain of Single and of Less, but the unbaptized are saved from the pain of sense only, but not of loss; and so have neither loy nor Sorrow.

according to the first Opinion to the Seed of Sincere Believers, but that we have no certain notice at all, what God will do with all the rest (baptized or unbap-

tized).

14. Many think that God hath a certain number of Infants Elect whom he will fave, and will cast away the rest, but that no man can know who they be; though the Faithful may have some uncertain hopes for their Children more than others, but no Promise.

15. Some think that the Common state of Infants in the Life to come is utterly unrevealed and unknown

to as.

I do not say that none of these opinions should be disclaimed: But among all which Learned, godly men of all Countries hold, to say he that is not certain of some one of them as God's word is unmeet to be a Minister is dividing arrogance, of men that overvalue themselves.

L. III. How then can you make good your charge of falfebood?

May it not be true among many falle mes?

M. I make it good two ways; soen maft say they are certain that are not certain. 1. No man can be certain that God's word faith that which it doth not say: But God's word doth not say directly, or by consequence that all baptized dying dying Infants are undoubtedly, faved, He that faith there is

Inch a word, let him produce it.

It is infinitious that thefe fame men that indicribe, that nothing is necellary to Salvation, but what is contained in the Scripture, or may be proved by it, would impose this belief on us, and never tell us so much as by a Marginal citation of any one text of Scripture where it may be found. All that ever by talk I could hear of them is Gal 3. 26, 27, To are all the children of God by faith in Christ Tefin, for at many of you as have been baptized sine Christ, have put on Christ. As if they would have us believe. 1. That all Atheifts and Infidels children have faub in Christ Jefin if they be but baptized. 2. Or that all the Galabians that Paul wrote to were in a flate of certain Salvation, when it is apparent that he spake to the adult, whom elsewhere he faith he feareth left he have bestowed on them labour in vain, and as bewitched men, they had disobeyed the truth, de. 3. Or thatall that put on Christ Sacramentally by Profession and Baptism were in a state of certain falvation, even Simon Mague. How commonly when they confute the Separatiffs, that alledge the titles of Saint, holy, children of God, &c. given to all the Churches by the Apofiles, do they themselves expound it of a fanctity of Profesfor and Relation as diffinct from a faving flate of Grace, as to many of the visible members of the Church. And must we now believe that the wifible and the irvifible membership are of equal extent. If this prove that all the baptized adult have put on Christ favingly, and have right to Heaven, it will confute the universal Church that hath ever believed the contrary, and it will be good news to all worldly hypocrites : But St. Poser faith, It is not the putting away the filth of the fesh, but the austrest of a good Conscience to God. And he told Simon Magm that he had no part in that matter, not for apotacy, but because his heart was not right in the fight of God. And fure it is no freight gate by which men enter into a flate of Salvation, if all the hypocrite flagitious finners are in it, that will but be baptized.

But if this Text fay not that all adult hypocrites benti-zed, fo dying are faved, much less doth it say it of all the

this to give us proof of and infidely that are baptized. Is

They areing allo Marie 6:48. 27 this bettern made bapbaperzed, that neither believe, nor are the feed of believers that be seed This is a new Goffel, and no proof that the anthor of the the derner a spire where is not be the arth of the son and the white

and of the prist, be come that the King don't Out! Doth this prove that all are born again of the Spirit that are

baptized? Is this proving by God's word.

L. What is your other proof, that it's a falls profession of them

the declare Affent to this Doctione,

MC at It is this 'He that faith a thing is andoweredly cor-THE by Con's word which God's word comradities, doch cereainly focak fallely. But so doth he that maketh this profellion.

That God's word contradition it, I have proved for fully in my two Disputations of original fin, and my Treatile of lafant Beptilin, and Meriodis Theologie, that I must not here repeat it, viz. by abandance of plain Texts that appropriate the Covenant-blellings to the faithful and their Seed, and exclude or curse the seed of the wicked, at seast as out of the Covenant of God: I will now repeat but one. 1 Cor. 7. 14. Elfe word your children miclean, but now are they hoty. If the children of infidels are not holy but unclean, they have no right to the promise of Life, or to the Seal and Investiture by Baptilin. But, ce. Ergo.

I know Dr. Hammond will have Holiness here to be nothing but Ethe Charol afech to baphize fuch on presumption that they will be educated so Christianity: I and uncleaning to be but Cehe Charebes up so refuse such, supposing they will not be so aducated. And to that the Seed of the Paithful have no fpecial promile of pardon and life. But, 1. I have as aforecired and to Mr. Tomber proved many foch promifes to the Seed of the Paithful, which Divines should not unthankfully like Anabaptiffs deny:

And Past fuch Doctors what baptifu doth for the Inin

in a prefeat right to Salvesion? If note why are they at prefent be prized, when God inflictment to bestilimburane, which is for fignification and investigate in the preference for fine: And fo faith the Liturgie and if fo thehen whet actount is an Infant faved? Is it meetly because another undertaketh to teach bim hereafter! No men can undertake that he limb bolieve or live. If he could that would but boove that he shall be pardoned when he believeth and not before And if all Infants, whom any Christian will undertake hereafter to teach though they never live to be taught, or will never believe, be by this put into a prefent right to Salvation. a ftranger's undertaking of meer teaching upon utter uncertainty of life and faccels, is supposed to have fach a premise which yet these Doctors deny to be made on the accounts of their own parents interest in Christ and them; and his dedicating them to Christ. And if these promising Gods thers be meer Lyers, the Child is supposed to be baptizable and favable by it.

But if they fay that the right to Salvation comes, ex operoperate, by meer baptizing, whether the Child have undergakers or not, I answer, that a prophanation of God's ordinance is not a faving act: God hath faid no fuch thing, but that the Children of Infidels are melana and makely, and doth not fay except fome body will baptize them. And why should not the Church baptize as many Infidels Children as they can catch up, though none undertake for them, if baptizing

them do certainly fave them if they fo die.

I conclude therefore that feeing no man can be certain that all the baptized Children of Heathens, Infidels, Jews, Atheists or Diabolists are in a state of Salvation. God never proming it, but faying the contrary, whoever professeth this made desired corrains, speaketh fallely, that which he hath not.

L. But they fay not [I am undoubtedly certain of it] but

only that it is undoubtedly certain in it fulf

0 13/10/2

this is to jest with holy things? To be certain is to be a Tombat but but but for raining, swideness if you mean objective certainty; and how can any man profess or affirm that who knoweth it not? He that affirmeth that it is objectively certain, doth therefore affirm that he knoweth it to be so, and is

fulpeliarly extrained if he main that it is evident and certain to above and mounts third, of the exception of for if he know it not to be common and another dath.

Lis But theory you be more cettains of it webs fableribers may.

Man. I have proved that no man's certain of it, 2. Judge by all the self of their wisedom, whether every Youth that comes for Ordination from the Universities, be so much wifer than we, that they are certain of this which we think uncertain or false. Judge by their other qualifications, whether the seven thousand Ministers that declared their assent to the Book before they ever saw it are like to be certainer than the two thousand that were cast out: And are they certain of this that are uncertain of many great and weighty truths through ignorance?

3. Yea many Conformits and Papilts, fay that Infant Experifin cannot be proved by Scripture, but by Tradition. And can Ten thousand Ministers then be certain by Scripture that baptized Infants are faved? How gross a contradiction is

this?

4. And judge farther of the credit and modesty of such men: some Divines say that Faith it self hath not evidence, and that he that doubteth of Christianity and the Life to come may be saved, if his belief of it be but strong enough to make him trust and prefer it before all this world. And I hear their greatest Divines say that sew would be saved if none but they that are undoubtedly certain of the Life to come, and of the truth of the Gospel should be saved. And yet all must be cast or kept out of the Ministry that will not affirm that they are more certain of a bard Controversie if not of an untrumb, than Christ requireth us to be of our very Christianity.

L. IV. What is the fourth part of your reason against this

point ?

M. 1. We dare not father that on God which he never spake. 2. And we dread the curse, Rev. 22. against them that shall add to his word, viz. that he will add his curses upon them. How terribly are false Prophets threatned that say, Thus faith the Lord, when the Lord never said it: This is to belie God and to take his name in vain. And for Nine thousand

thousand or Ten thousand Ministers to affirm that this is certain by God's word that they are undenbeedly saved, and when they have done cannot to this day, shew us one word of God that saith it, is such an adding to his word as we had rather still be called all that's naught, and imprisoned than be guilty of.

We take it for a Fanatick presumption in Papists to pretend to be infallible in Councils, in determining those things which out of the Council through ignorance they understood not: and how it should be but by Enthusiasm, or fanatick pretence of Inspiration, that a multitude of raw ignorant fellows, or lads should come to find that in God's word as undoubtedly certain, which none of us in forty or fifty years search could ever find there, I cannot tell.

L. Iknew not what to fay to this : I would they had left it to

mens free thoughts.

M. And do you think now that it's lawful for us deliberately to Assent and Consent that this is an undoubted corrainty when we are not certain, nor believe it to be true. Should we lie to be conformable, were there but this one thing it obligeth us to Nonconformity whatever we suffer for it.

CHAP. X. Point VII. Of the English fort of God-fathers at Baptifin.

L. W Hat have you against our use of Godsabere in Raptism.

M. I. Negatively, we are not at all against the old fort of Parimi, Susceptors, or Sponsors that were used at Baptism in the ancient Churches; though we think it but a prudential thing, and not of necessity to Baptism.

L. What mean you by she ancient fort? what didthey?

M. At first, the adult were themselves Baptized: for their Children had no right till the Parent was a Christian. And for three hundred years Christians were under Heathen perfecution; in which some forsook Christ for sear by apostasie, and others died while their Children were in Infancy, who were thereby exposed to desertion, or to Insidel Education. Wherefore to secure the Education of these Infants, the Susceptors that joyned with the Parents as their Seconds. 1. Did

testifie their opinions of the Parents, as serious Christians, not like to spostatize. 2. And did promise that if the Parents either die or apostatized, they should undertake the Childrens Education. But if the Parents were dead already, they undertook to Educate the Child themselves as their own. But they were Sponsors for no Insidels Child, unless they first adopted him, or took him for their own.

1. And what doth our Godfathers differ from this, for which you

take them to be finful, or not approvable.

M. The difference is so great, and maketh so great a change in our Christening, as I am loath to name to you. 1. With us godly Christian Parents themselves, are forbidden to be Godfathers with the rest, and to speak one word, much more to profess that they dedicate them in Governant to Christ: Nor must the Minister urge the Parent to be present: less his consent seem necessary.

The Godfathers and Godmothers are neither tyed to bring the Children of Christians only, nor only such as they take for their own; but without difference may bring the la-fasts of any Achelits, Sudducees, Jews, Infidels, or open enemies of Christ and godlines, without taking them for their

own.

3. They personate the Child in promiting and professing

in his name, without authority fo to do the the

4. They do not only promise what the Child shall do hereafter but they at present profess that the Child by them, or they personating it, do Believe, renounce sin, and desire Baptism. As if the Child were bound to do this by himself or by another.

5. Godfathers too commonly covenint for the future Education of the Child, themselves to do it or cause it to be done, which they neither ever parposed to perform, for ever made the Parents believe that they intended; and so make Christ-nening a perfidious Vowing, or Coveninting with God: These are not things indifferent, I think.

L. to The prove you that the Parents into not be prime coveranters, or Species for their was Children't aller not then obliged to for Oodflines and Codinables for their who are supposed to tome by their procurement? Ind doth not the signification come confern, to what these are to undertake.

let their Children be baptized, to avoid their own punishment, and to get others to enter them into the Christian Covenant. But not that they either are Christians, or consent to that Covenant themselves, either for themselves, or Children. For

1. Known Atheists, Insidels, and Sadduces that deny Christianity; are bound by the Law to get Godsathers for their Childrens Baptism, as well as Christians; and such cannot be supposed to covenant for them with Christ themselves. The Sixty eight and Sixty nine Canons command Ministers to refuse no Child that is brought, nor to refuse or delay to baptize in private in case of danger who ever desireth him to do it.

2. The Twenty nine Canon faith [No Parent Shall be wred to be prefent, nor be admitted to answer & Godfather for his own Child: Nor any Godfather or Godmother fall be suffered to make any other answer or Speech Than by the Book of Common Prayer is prescribed in that behalf] The Parent may say what he will to God in fecret. But at the Christening of his Child, if he should but fay [I believe God's pramife to the faithful and their feed : I de devote my Child to Christ, and engage bim in his Covenant : or I promise to educate him to Christianity | he breaketh the Canon, and goeth against the Churches Law. I did before the Bishops at the Savey, 1661. put the case to them, thus without fiction: An Infidel of my Parish that useth openly to talk against the Scripture and Life to come, to avoid inconveniencies, resolveth to send his Child to be baptized; and I must not refuse it by the Law: Hath the Child right to Baptifus, and is it undoubtedly faved? Dr. Sanderson in the Chair answered, nemine contradicente, that if he brought him with Godfathers according to the Church of England, I need not doubt it. But there were but two in the Parish that openly declared themselves to be of his opinion, and those two being his familliers are likest to be the Godfathers. If the Child have not Right for Infidel Parents fake, how can Infidel neighbours, called Godfathers, give him right.

L. Batthe Canen faith that the Godfathers fhall be only fuch as

have received the Sacrament.

M. Alas none are forwarder than these to receive the Sacrament, and laugh at it, and say they will obey the Church. Yet I doubt not but a faithful Parent may be present if he will, and may tell the Godfathers in private, that his presence shall signific his devoting act; and when the Priess speaketh to the Godfathers, he may bow his head whether the Priess will or not, to signific that act of his. But this is nothing to the sense of the Church, nor to our Assent and Consent to their exclusion of the Parent.

L. I confess it founds to me as unnatural. But what is your other

reason against our fort of Godfathers?

M. II. My fecond Reason is, that it is a prophenation of this great and sacred Ordinance, to invest those in the visible state Christianity (and Salvation pretendedly) that have no right to such investiture, so they have but Godfathers they are to baptize the children of any Jews, or Heathens, or open enemies to Christ, as well as of Christians; which is a manifest prophenation.

L. What is the fault of it.

M. 1. It supposeth a false Destrine, that Insidel Children are within the Covenant, and may be baptized as well as Christians which in the Books aforecited, I have fully disproved.

2. It is a deceiving of mens Souls as to childrens flate, to make them believe that their children dying when baptized

are all faved, how bad foever the Parents be

4. It is a dreadful belying of God, and prophanation of his name, if men shall in the name of God pronounce pardon and salvation to those whom he never gave them to.

L. But God will not passift the Children for their Parents fin.

M. Not those that see their fathers sins and forsake them, and live not as their Parents did: and that's all that the Scripture saith for such. But if you will read my two foresaid Disputations for Original sin you will see it fully proved that God punisheth Infants, because they are the guilty, and corrupt seed of guilty and corrupt Parents. Do you believe our Church Articles and yet deny original sin? If Infants have no guilt and sin what need have they of Baptism, or of a Saviour? If they have need of both, sure it is for no actual sin done by them.

them, was not the World loft for Adam's fin? Was not Cain's posserity cursed for his sake? Were not all the Insants of the old World, and all the Insants of the Sodamites burnt with fire from Heaven, and the Insants of the Canamites and Amalakites, &c. killed for their Parents sin. Did not Christ tell the Jews, Mar. 23, that all their Foresathers perfecutions should be punished on that Generation? The Jews knew this that said, His blood be on m and on our Children. Our Liturgy saith, Remember not Lord our effences nor the offences of

our Forefathers, &c.

2. And yet I tell you, that it is for their own fin that the feed of the wicked periff, fin is made their own, when foul and body were for guilty corrupt Parents, made fuch by themselves. I do not fay that God imputeth their Parents infidelity to them. But this infidelity is the reason of their not being delivered from their own original guilt. If Rebels forfeit Life and Estate, and so their Children live in beggery, and the King offer to restore Father and Children, if the Father will thankfully accept his grace. If the Parent refuse this, his Children will be beggars. Not because the King punisheth them for their Fathers fault, but because he first deprived himself of the Estate which he should have left them, and next because he refused to deliver them. If a Father will fet the Pox on his children, and after refuse a Physician that would cure him and them, the Phylician doth not punish the children.

All Scripture and Nature tell the world that it is so deep an interest that Parents have in children, as being causes of their very essence by Communication from their own essence, and it is so natural a power that Parents have over their children, that it should seem no strange thing to Christians, or Insidels, that God maketh a very great difference between the seed of the faithful and of the Insidels and wicked: And its strange that any men should rather lay their title to pardon and salvation upon a meer neighbour or stranger that perhaps is a wicked wretch himself, than on the Parents of the

child.

L. But will God fave children for their Parents Faith?

M. If he deftroy infants for Adam's fin. do you think that Tuffice is fo much more extensive then Mercy, that he will thew no mercy for Parents fake? But the case is this, Christ the Second Adam hath merited pardon and falvation to be given conditionally to all: Not absolutely, for then all would be faved : What the condition is to the adult, we are agreed, viz. Faith and Repentance and Dedication to Christ by coventant confent: And do you think that infants pardon and falvation hath no condition? If none, than all Infants are faved; if any condition, what is it? 1.Is it barely that they be baseized without any right but what all have. This is an injurious fiction, God never faid it : And its an unrealonable imputation on God, as if he would lave thoulands meerly for water and words and comdemn thousands that had not the opportunity of the And it is certain that Christians never dream dof this abfurd opinion, or elfe godly Emperours would have forced Baptism on the children of all their Heathen Sabjects, and would where their conquering Armies came in Charity have catche up their children and baptized them. And Bishops and Doctors would have taught and intreated them so to do. To make meer baptifing alone the condition of Infant falvation, is to lay Heaven on such a ceremony quite out of the Infants power, as would but tempt the rational Infidels to deride Christianity. No fober men lay a childs Effare or Life on fuch a thing.

2. If there must be some condition of Right to Baptism antecedent to it, what is it. 1. It is not actual faith in that Infant, that understands not? 2. It must then be some others act of nothing? If anothers, whose should it be so likely as the parents from whom the Children have their essence? Whom nature bath taught to take them as almost parts of themselves; and so hath the custom of all Nations; and who are obliged above all other to provide for them, and whose will in their Infancy disposeth of them till they come to have a rational will of their own (in act:)

And Scripture from end to end confirmeth this.

But besides this you know not whither to look for a titleconditione, unless to some Pro-parent, whose the child is, upon the Parents Death or Refignation. Set 2: If you say it is the Faich of the Glored (as some) that giveth the Child Title, what Church mean you? The Universals or Mational or Diocesan or Parochial. And how doth the Church give right to Pardon and Life to Infidels Children wiff it be theerly volendo, as if Heaven were at their Wills why do they not fit at home, and make a deed of Gift of Meaven to the Infants at the Assignator and of all the World If it be by haptizing them, I shewed before that Baptish meetly as such, doth it not. And if it be the Will of the Baptizer they must mean the Prieft, Deacon or another: And to fay that thefe are the Terms of Infant Title to Maptifu and Salvation, that

2. But it feems with us it is the God-fathers that give them Title, elfe the Church could fave them when they will without Godfathers: And if fo, where is the proof of it, in Scripture or Reason, that God will accept and live infants because a meer Neighbour will bring them to be baptimed, and prothat thefe Sponfors own not the Children; and how come they then to have the power to be their Representatives.

and to dispose of their Sonls?

1. But any Boggars Child bath rights to be taken inte your boufe, of you are followitable to to at a And fo anchorabe on Bapeific

M. Where is that deed of Gift to be found. Is it not a Forgery ? He hath made a Covenant to the Faithful and their Seed. But where bath he faid I will fave all Infidels Children. if any Priest or Christian will but Baptize them? He that faid. Go to the high-wayes and bedges and compel them to come in the cepted the Refulers, and required none but perswading compullion: And its Parents that have power of their Children. He that can believe to day that God hath made a Gift of Salvation to all Infants that any body will baptize, may eafily believe to morrow that he will not call away the reft meerly because no Carrier will bring them in, or because no body will wash them and fay over them the words of Baptisme. God hath made even in the Second Commandment, and in Exeday 34. when he proclaimed his name and nature, so great a difference between the Seed of the Godly and the Wicked, that we dare not consent to the consounding of them, nor with the Anabaptists unthankfully to deny this Mercy, nor to deny or corrapt Pauls plain affection, Else were your Children unclean but now are they hely.

L. 111. What meanyon by your third Exception.

M. I have told you while! opened the former. They perfonate the Child without Authority: And it is a great doubt
whether the Covenant and Baptisse were not a meer Nullity,
did not Parents belides the Laws of Conformity fome way
signific their own Agency therein. If any Neighbour should
make a Covenant in the name of your Child, binding him at
Age to Marry an Heires, who hath a Lordship to her Portion,
would this either oblige your Son, or give him any present
right to her or her Estate?

L. If the Down or perfor empowered Confent, is giveth a condi-

bers if God confere its fo for willid.

M. God hath given Christ and Life conditionally to all before they believe or are baptized: But all must not therefore be baptized: This is not Allud Right, and so such Infants have no right by this Rule, till they believe in Christ. But Baptisme is an actual Marriage with Christ, and its a Mockery where neither Party doth consent. Christ doth not consent: for he hath made no Promise but to the faithful and their Seed. Let them that affirm more, show it. The Infant doth not consent, having no Will of his own in Act, and the thing being done by one that had no power to personate or oblige him. And he may say, It was no act of mine, personally or Legally.

L. Any one may accept a gift for another, and bind him to grati-

tude, which if he refuse he forfeits it.

M. If the Donor give it on those Terms, it's true. And if you can prove that God hath made his Covenant Gift of Pardon and Salvation to all the Seed of Insidels, Atheists and Wicked Men, on Condition that any body will but Accept it in their names at present, and bind them to accept it at Age, it will then I confess prove a valid act of Charity: And I see

not but why some good man should say [Lord, I accept of Christ and Salvation for all the Infants on Earth, and I bind them to accept it when they come to Age; and I hope the meer want of washing shall not deprive them of that which I have power to accept for them] I never heard of valid contracts made for Infants by any one that will but pretend to personate them.

L. Then you would make rehaptizing necessary, if such Baptism

be a untlity.

M. 1. Not to any whose Parents, though besides the Laws of Conformity own their agency, and dedicating their own child to Christ, which I hope is the case of most, custom through God's mercy teacheth them better than the Canons

and Common-Prayer-Book.

2. And I think not to any other, whose Parents in Infancy, and themselves at Age do own the Covenant, and think that it was valid Baptilm. For to such the end of Baptilm is attained. If it were no Minister, or were one unauthorized that baptized him, it would not be a meer nullity as to the ends, if by mistake it were supposed well done. Fatum vales, was judged by some, when Ashmassim by a Boy was baptized in Sport.

L. When is your fourth Objettion against our way of Baptism?

M. That in personating the Child, they say that they(and so he by them) doth at present Believe, renounce, defire, &c. falsely intimating that Infants are bound at present to do this by another: And yet the same men plead that God doth not accept him for the Faith of his Parents; As if his God-sathers Faith were his, and not his Parents: when as God requireth no Faith, or Repentance of Infants, but only that [They be the Seed of penitem Believers, devoted to Christ.]

And in the Catechilm it is faid that [Repenance and Faith are required of perfons to be Bapized.] (And Repentance and Faith have a promise of Life) and that infants who cannot perform these are Bapized because they promise them by their Sureties, which promise when they come to Agethemselves are bound to perform

Where note that the former Book had [They perform them by their Survives] They perceived that having faid Faith and Repentance are requifice: Infants they faw must have a prefem what is requifice at prefem: And they knew that they had

them not themselves; and so were fain to hold that the Sureties Faith and Repentance was theirs, and a performance of thes. required condition. But our new Bookmakers few that this would not hold, and to they fax. Though Faith and Rependence be required of persons to be bapeized, yet Infants are bapeis ad became they promise them by their Sureties to be becames performed; mending the former errour by a greater, or adouble one. Granting that Faith and Repentance are pre-requisit, and yet confesting that Infants have neither, of their own or Sureties imputed to them; and yet are to be baptized: 2, Or making a Premise of sums Freih and Repentance to be present Fairband.
Repentance. 3. Or like the Animonian that says all that are Elected to believe hereafter are justified before they believe, fo they imitate that; though Faith and Repentance be requithat have it not in infancy, because they promise it bereafter. All plain contradictions: as if they faid, it is requiste in per-

L. How would you have them have univered thefe,
M. Professed Eaith and Repensance are requisite in adult. persons to be baptized : And in Infants, that they be the Seed of the Eaithful, devoted by them to God in Christ, accor-

ding to his offered Covenant of Grace.

L. V. What ment you by your fifth Objection.

M. Ales, the world is yet behind. The common Perfidiousness that is committed under the name of Godfathers Rep. tifmal Vows and Covenants: Baptilm is one of the greatest actions of allour Religion and laves. Our siling from Death to Life; our visible new Birth, our solemn Covenanting with God the Father, Son and Holy Ghost. Our solemn Translation from darkness to light, and from the power of Satan noto God, that we may receive remained of Sin, and inheritance among the Sandrified : What move boly great and venerable action can be done by mortal man, then to enter a folemn Covenant with God and our Redeemer, in which we wholly give up our felves to him, and Company for a boly Life, and are to receive the pardon of all in, and the gift of Grace, and right to Everlating Glory: And if men turn this great Ordinance which is the Summ of all our Religion

ligion into peraltouthes and mockety, how believes fin mil ft this needs being a char expended any in saddison bids

And here let us conflider. I. Who thele Godfathers in England ufully be Il. What they do, III. How they bei form what ther Yow and undertake

La L. They are spainty forces the Pareire Popular 10120 that have no rich Priches de Cometiases idertat a poor neighbour to do. the office, and fometimes hire any man that will do it? Which I never knew rell in Landship begging they made me finder land that men doit as Labourer's work for their wages : About half a Grown is the ordinary payof Beggers, of very poor Folks Godfathers. But the Poor and Middling fort do the to try fome rich Rriend or Neighbours if they have any luch; in hopes of fome fmall gife ; fome give at for the Child & Shilling, fome half a Crown, fome a filver Specin. The Richer fort feek to persons rether above than below their Rank, in expediation of a piece of Plate, or fome fuch bleger gift. And in other Nations Princes are Godfathers to Children whom they never fee, not perhaps the Land of their nativity ! And with us it is very often some that dwel not very near, who off fevre as Proxy to frand in their place, and they only give the expected gift and bear the name.

Il What they are to do, I told you before, 1. To berforite the Childy and cake on them to fay as in his name [1000] I tenemice, I define] when they sweether and the Child but one. and fo three persons by fiction represent int, and fay that He doth that which be doth me, either, per food per miss,

a. They Vow or promise to tennily to do all the things before named. Ch. a. Munday, viz. To fee that the Offild be addite as food as be flatt be able to third while a foleite Pont Profile and Profoffier He made by obenity and the they call on bill to better Sermons, and chiefly that they provide this be may learnithe Credit be Lord's Prayer and the Ten Commandments in the Valle at Tale he sind All other things that a Christian deple to been and believe to bit Souls beakb, and that he be birfiniff brenghe in to least it briffith and Godly life. All this they blenmy undertake to God and the Church.

31 15

III. And so for are they usually from performing it. That I have ver yet knew one Parent that expected any such thing from them, or that ever seriously asked them, Do you understand what you are to promise? and do you resolve to do it.

2. I never in all my life knew one Godfather that made the Parent before hand believe that he intended any fuch thing.

3. If he had, it's not readible that three perfors should all intend to educate one child of another man's, and perform it's

4. Nor did I yet ever know to this 68th, year of my age, one Godfather that before adopted the child, or took him for his own, and took him bome with him, unless he was a Grandfather and did it as finels and not as Godfather; much less could all three do it.

4. Nor did I ever to this day know one man or woman that performed this which all three undertake. A very few I have known that will ask blow deth my Gadjon, and fay, you must be a good Boy and team your Book, and perhaps give him a piece of Silver: But usually they never look after them.

I confess with shame that I have been Godfather to four; to one when I was a Child and knew not what I did; but thought, it was only to be a Witness of Baptism. And to three more when I was twenty three years of age; of all which I agreed beforehand with the Parents to be but a Witness, and that they should stand these themselves as the undertakers and significant. Two of these is never saw since; a third pow dead, I never saw since his infancy till a little before he dyed, and the fourth never since till that lately he came a begging to me.

I confess one Bishop teld me once that he knew one or more that had performed this Vow, so did never L, who have lired in many parts of the Land. Those that perform it not, fure are guilty of heinous perfidiousness; as breaking so folemn a Vow to God. And it this be so common in England that to this Age I could never know of one performer, is not the case doleful and dreadful; that the Nation should by such

perfidiousness be made Christians & 30 34 4.

L. Bir this is the Parents or Godfabers fault : what's this to

M. If it be not nothing to the Canons and to the Littingy, it is not nothing to him that must Affent and Confent to all things in that Littingy, and must fueur Canonial obedience. And a. Do you think that the Nation can so commonly live in this sin, and the Church Governours and Orders be innocent in it. Can those Canons and Orders be blameless that without any more opposition, let such perfidiousness go to our Christening? Can the medicine be laudable that so many miscarry in the use of it?

2. By the Canon all men are constrained to get some God-

fathers, and they can force none that is unwilling.

3. No Conscionable persons will Bromise and undersake that which they never purpose to person. I never in all my life met with one godly man, that if you opened all the undertaking plainly to him, would say seriously, I am resolved to do all this? but would refuse the office when he knows it is expected.

3. If there be hundreds or thousands in a Parish that are grolly ignorant of the nature of Baptism, and what they do, or that ere Atheists, Insidels, wicked men not Excommunicate, the Minister can not deny to take them for Godfathers, if they did but ever once receive the Sacrament. And to this 68th year of my age, I never knew one Godfather, or Godmother questioned or refused by any Minister.

4 If the Parent can get no man to ftand, he shall be rouned for it, as not bringing his Child to be Baptized according to

the order of the Church

5. Rich men will not give up their Children to the Godfather's propriety or education. Poor mens Children none: will take. And is it lawful to Assent and Consent to such orders of Baptism as cherish this?

If Parents were the undertakers we might urge them to per-

formance. But from fuch sebers who can expect is.

C H A P. XI. Point VIII. Of refusing to Baptize without such Godfathers.

the next.

M. It needs not many words it is so gross. We dare not.

Affent and Confest to day Baptifin is all Children of godly Parents that have not fach Godfathers and Godmathers, while the Parent offereth to do his own part, professing his faith, and dedicating his Child to God, and promising a faithful education.

L. How prove you that you must put away al fuch.

M. I. Did you ever know any baptized otherwise in the Church. 2. The words of the Rubrick are [There feat be for every Male Child to be baptized, two Gedfathers, land use Godinether; and for every Female one Godfather and two Godacehors. 4. The Godfathers and Godmothers only are to freak and covenant; without which it is no Baptism: Meer washing without Covenanting is no Christian Beptifm, so that the Church of England doth make Godfathers effential to it. And what it is to said to the Efferials of to great an Ordinance of God as was infliented by Christ's own mouth, for so high an use as our Espousal to himself; judge you. 4. The Canon to which all must subscribe, faith John be himself will use she form in the faid Bank prefcribed in publick prayer and administration of the Sacrament, and as seber : 9. The Act of Conventicles maketh it .201. the first time and, 40 h every time after for above four to meet to worship God otherwise than according to the Liturgy, and practice of the Church of England. And to baptize without Godfabers is otherwife. 6. And then the Oxford Act banisheth them five miles from Corporations Is not here fufficient proof.

L. And why fooded any fermile fo finall a matter?

M. r. Did I not before tell you why? a. Suppose they scrupled it through mistake? shall every mistake or errour of Parents deprive the child of Baptisn? I'll tell you why I dare no more Assum and Confess to this, than I dare consent to cut off shand or footof every such child.

1. Baptifing is Christening, and dere I confelesty deprive

a Soul of vifible Christianity?

2. They themselves make it an Ascertaining means of Salvation, as the forementioned Rubrick steweth. And would they have us shut Infants from Salvation for nothing? yea shey stemate consint Salvation only to the baptized, while they conclude that they are saved as haptized ones, and except the unitspieced from Christian Burials. The best can be but

to leave them so without any promise of life from Christ :
And how can we believe that God will give them that which is
he never promised them. And shall I dama fools for want of

a humane unnecessary, if not corrupt invention.

3. It is against the interest of Christ and the Church? shall I make a Covenant to rob Christ and the Church of visible Members for nothing? Murthering Infants is death by God's Laws and mans? And innocents day is one of the Christmas Holy days. And is it a thing indifferent for me and all the Ministers of the National Church, to make a folemn bargain that we Affent and Consent to keep out all from the Church and from the Covenant (and in their sense the hope) of Salvation on such an account at this.

he. The thing were difinal and anexemple if it were as you make it: But how can you fay that they flat them out when they flore all Parante to bring them in, and it submit to their may? when

did you over know any child refused on this account.

M. Many a hundred in my time. The law refuseth them, and it is in vain for the Parents to fend them to a Minister who they know hath solemnly covenanted to reject them. Hundreds have been baptized by Nonconformists, because

the Church refuseth them

2. And as to their compelling men to get Godfethers I answer, 1. Those that think it a fin will not obey that compulsion, but rather fusier. 2. If any yield against conscience to escape suffering, such compulsion doth but drive them towards damnation. 3. But those that yield to it by compulsion, do but seem to do it, and do it not: For they agree before hand with the Godsethers, to represent them, and speak in their names, and to be themselves no undertakers. Though all this is very hard shifting.

L. I confesshis is a case so distant from things indifferent, that were there but this one reason, I will no more personale any to Assent and Confess to all things contained and prescribed, even to the

mfe.

M. And what think you if the same men that made these Canons and subscribe to all this, are the sharp condemners of Schism and Anatoptistry. 1. What is Schism, if this be not, cantilessly to keep out so many from the Church? And have

not the Anabaptifts a far more excusable pretence to deny the baptizing of Infants, than this in question is: The case is of great difficulty to them, and it is Christ's will that they doubt of. But here is no difficulty? And these men set the will and devise of man against Christ, who said Forbid them not, and was Angry with those that forbad them to come to him.

CHAP. KIL. Point IX. Of the Cross in Baptism.

L. Have oft wondred what any man can say against the use of the Cross in Baptism, which signifies our resolutions to be true to a crucified Christ.

M. I have oft thought many things abfurd in you Law-

of the reason of them. I ask you.

1. Do you believe that Jesus Christ is the King and only universal Lawgiver to his Church.

L. Ter, but men under him may make local Laws.

M. 2. Do you believe that he is the Author of the Christian

L. Tes, m doubt, I find it, Mat. 20. 19.

M. 3. Do you believe that he did it so desectively that men may amend it.

L. No doubt but be did bis own work perfectly.

M. 4. Do you not believe that it is his Prerogative to in-

flitute Sacraments of the covenant of Grace?

L. Tes, no doubt; for our Church beldeth that there are but two, and discounts belo five Roman Sacraments. And yet I think most of them may be called Sacraments, especially Ordination, and Marrimony (and so may the Kings Coronation) but not Sacraments of the Covenant of Grace.

M. Let us now enquire. t. What a Sacrament of the Covenant of Grace is. 2. Whether our croffing be not fuch.

I. The Romans used the word [Sacraments] for an external obliging covenanting ceremony: especially for the Act of soldiers ceremony by binding themselves to military relation and adelity to their captains. A Sacrament of the covenant of grace is an outward visible Act or ceremony; by which we oblige our selves in covenant to Christ as our Saviour, promising sidelity

and by which we are told by Christs ministers, that his Grace

is figulfied which is given by his Covenant.

The Catechifm indeed faith [It is an oneward and visible fign of an inward and spiritual grace; given to us, ordained by Christ bimself, as a means whereby we receive the same, and a pledge to

affire m thereof.]

But it's obvious to any man of reason, that the words [Ordained by Christ bimsets] fignisse not a Sacrament as such, but [as Divine] distinct from humane. Else it were impossible for man to be guilty of making false humane Sacraments: If they should make more, just such as Baptism and the Lords Supper, they would be no sinful Sacraments, if none be Sacraments but Christs.

If there be all these things, there is a Sacrament of the Covenant of Grace salsely instituted by man. 1. If there be an outward visible sign. 2. If both the purchased and conveyed Grace of the Covenant of Christ be signified by it. 3. And so signified as to be an instituted means of conveying it. 4. If it be a sign obliging the covenanting person to his Covenant duty. 5. And if thus it be a symbol, or badge of the Order prosessed.

I. The outward visible sign is crossing, or the transient Image of a Cross, made by one that acteth as a Minister of Christ by his pretended Commission, and received in his fore-

head by the baptized.

II. The thing fignified is both the work of Redemption purchasing grace, and grace given by that purchase: Both these are fully expressed Can. 30. [The boly Ghost by the monthes of the Apostle did honour the name of the Cross, so far that under it be comprehended not only Christ crucified, has the force, effect and merits of his death and passion, with all the comforts, fruits and promises which we receive or expect thereby: The Church of England bath retained still the sign of it in haptism, following therein the Primitive and Apostolical Churches, and accounting is a lawful outward ceremony and honourable badge, whereby the lustum is deducated to the Service of him that dyed on the Cross, as by the words of the Common-Prayer book may appear which words are [we receive this Child into the Congregation of Chriss's Flock, and do sign him with the Sign of the Cross, in tongo that he shall not be ashamed to confess

fest, the faith of Corist eracified, and manfully to fight under his banner against sin, the world and the devil, and to continue Christ's fauthful Souldier and Servant to his lifes end. Amen] And in the Preface of Ceremonies [They serve to a deceme order, and godly discipline, and such as he apt to stir up the dull mind of man to the remembrance of his duty to God by some notable and spiritual signification, whereby he may be edified.]

So that the thing figuified is Christ crucified with the benefits of his Cross, and the Grace of edification by stirring up our dull minds by the moral causality of the cause, and

binding us to confrancy to Chrift.

L. But moral causing by objects works not on Infants.

M. True, no more doth washing in water, and yet this is used for the benefit of the Parents at present, and of Infants when they are at the use of reason. Indeed Christ by his own Sacrament giveth Right and Relative grace, which he will not do by mens inventions.

III. But man plainly appointeth the Cross to work this grace

by way of exciting fignification.

IV. And it is expressly made man's covenanting sign, by which he bindeth himself to covenant sidelity; that he will not be assumed to confess the Faith of Christ crucified, and manfully to sight, &c. The whole duty of the covenant on man's part is promised hereby.

V. And the Canon tells you it is a dedicating Sign and Badge

of our profession.

So that I fee not what is wanting to a Sacrament, as far as man can make one, by prefumption, which we cannot confent to.

L. Burit's faid that Bapcifm is perfett without it.

M. So it is without the Lord's Supper; and yet that is justly added: It faith not that mans covenanting with God is perfect without it: For it feemeth a Sacrament of man's added to that of Christ, to tie men faster to him.

L. Ancient Christians did use the Crofs without fermale.

but using it as a Sacrament of the Covenant, and badge of Christianity: The King would not take it well if Subjects profume to make a new Badge of the Order of Knights of the Carter, and add it to the Garter and the Star. To shew by an action

action as well as by a word to scorning Heathens that they were not assumed of a crucified Saviour, might be more excussible than this. And they foresaw not to what abuse it would be after turned.

2. But suppose we mistook in these our fears of sinning. 1. Do you think that the case hath not difficulty enough to excuse a

man for fear of finning?

2. And do you think that for fach fear, and not acting against them we deserve to be cast out as heinous uncapable delinquents?

CHAP. XIII. Point X. Of denying Baptism to them that refuse the Cross,

But the practice of Croffing is disputable, and I lay not so sharp a censure on them that differ from us in it: But what excuse can be made by a man of Christian charity, and consideration for denying Baptism to all that refuse this croffing, I confess I cannot imagine, nor could ever hear.

L. Their exemps is, that Croffing being lawful, the Refusers are disorderly Schismaticks, and they and their Children (as theirs) uncapable of Baptism. They say it is use they, but you that are the Refusers. They offer to baptize you or your Child, and you refuse is.

At 1. They know that it is not Baptism but Crossing that is refused: And if they will not administer one without the other,
they are the refusers. If one refuse the Papists Exorcism, Salt,
Spittle, &c. and they will not baptize without it, do they
not deny to Baptize, unless one will receive all these? If
God will justifie them for rejecting all that think it a sin to
receive their Crossing, then it is not them to whom it is to
be imputed. But can that be true?

1: Christ that instituted Baptisin, ordained the conditions of it, and the qualifications of such as shall be baptized, Mar. 28. and Mark 16. 16. He that believed was to be Baptized. Act. 28. If thou believe with all thy heart thou mayest: No, saith the Canon you shall not, though you repent and believe, unless also you will take the covenanting Badge of the Cross. Is not this to alter the terms of Christ's Covenant and Sacrament,

T. 2

and directly to contradict his very fundamental Law of Christianity? Baptize all that are made disciples, saith Christ, and all that repent and believe: No saith our Convocation, haping more of them that will not take the transient image of a Cross, for their farther

obligation.

2.Do you that think it is necessary to Christianity and Salvation know that this Federal Croffing is lawful? if you affirm it you must say the same of all ceremonies of the same importance, and fo must make a hundred new Articles of Faith, even of Ceremonies and fuch little things, and make them all necessary to Chriffianity and Salvation? And is not this to make a new Gofpel, Christianity, and Church, and to turn Chrift's easie Yoke into a worse than the slavery of Pharisaical Traditions? And is not this to thut all, or almost all men out of Heaven? No one on earth doth know that this, and all fuch ceremonies. and inventions of men are lawful: And must every one know it that will be a Christian, or have his child made one? Or must we all (as necessary to Christianity) believe all such things lawful if the Clergy do but fay they are? And what if the Clergy in one Land fay it is, and in another, fay it is not : Must both be believed? Have wife Bishops no fitter penalty to enforce their usurping Canons by, than denying Christendom and Salvation? One would think it should be enough for the Preachers of humility to fay, [We are fo wife that be that different practically from m in that which we call an indifferent coremony, and be calle a finful corruption of baptifus, shall be punished as much as Swearers, Drunkards and Fornicators be, or shall be made a flave with his Children without denying them Christianity and Sal-But the best is, all cannot keep men out of Heaven that boast of the power of the Keys; and there is one Lawgiver who is able to fave and to deftroy.

L. Ton make a beinom cruotty of it, as of it were opprofilen and tyranny to fouls, and they fay that they impose nothing on you but things.

indifferent.

M. Must their indifferent things be enforced with so great penalty as damnation? If every one cannot love every Dish that they love, or get down every Pill that they give him, but he be famish'd therefore, or have his thro at cut wider? I had rather live and die a Chimney-sweeper, or a Channel cleanser, or a Keeper of Swine, than a Bishop that should put Christ's Disciples and their seed, whom he commander them to baptize, Mar. 28. 19. from his Covenant and Church, and specially when themselves make Baptism more necessary and certainly saving, than it is.

L. But you may venture to baptize fuch if you will.

M. What, when I have covenanted Affent and Confent to all shings in their Book, and subscribed to use no other form in baptizing; and also must be cast our for is?

CHAP. XIV. Point XI. Of rejecting from Communion all that dare not kneel in the act of Receiving.

L. T Hear that you receive the Eucharift Kneeling your felf, and take it for Lauful: what then have you against the Canon or

Littingy for this?

M. I am my self for the lawfulness of Organs, Railes, and Coming up to them, and for the lawfulness of Kneeling when we sing Plalms, or read the Scripture, or hear the Preacher. But I am not for the lawfulness of hanging or damning men that herein are not of my mind: Nor for turning unnecessary things, because they are lawful into conditions, sine quibno non, of Church Communion, and Engines for Satan to divide Christ's Flock by, and perfecute men for fearing sin. Paul was for the lawfulness of using, or not using, the meats and days mentioned Rom. 14. 1, 2. But he was not for either judging or despising one another about them, much less for casting men from the Church and Heaven for them; nor for saying, except ye be Circumcifed ye cannot be saved.

L. Nor doeb the Church make Kneeling in Receiving necessary to

Salvation, but enjoyne it as a decent Ceremony.

M. They that make this Kneeling necessary to Church Communion, and avoiding Schiss, and make Church-communion and avoiding Schiss necessary to Salvation, do make the said Kneeling necessary to Salvation. But so do the Canonists here: Erro.

How usually do they apply Christ's words to the Sacrament [Except ye ent the sless of the Son of Man and drink his blood, yo becre no lefe in you If Communion herein be not necessary, how

come all the dreadful Sermons, and Volumnes to thunder damnation against those that do but stay away through sear of unpreparedness, and they must be Excommunicated that Communicate not twice a year with them; yea though they communicate elsewhere with Nonconformists.

L. Wherein lyeth the finfulness of Conformity here?

M. I. In subscribing, Affenting and Consenting to this.

L. I have beard that 1661. at the Savoy they maintained that the Liturgy did not bind you to put away them that do not Kneel, and so

you might subscribe to it.

M. This quibble ferved mens turn that were refolved it should be served. The case was this: They required us to lay by inconveniencies, and name only stat fins which the Liturgy required; we gave them in a Catalogue of eight. This was the first, Denying the Lead's Super to all that durft not receive it Kneeling. They were hereupon divided among themselves: Dr. Pierson, and Dr. Gunning and Sparrow who were the Disputants, in policy sinding that they should be hard put to it to justifie such rejections devised this evasion, that the Liturgy did only bid them give it Kneeling, but did not forbid them giving it to abers. Dr. Morley and others were against their opinion, and so they were divided: But they permitted the Disputers to go on (it being the last day) in the way that served their present turn. But the case is clear.

t. The Canon forbids them on pain of Suspension to give the Sacrament to any that Kneel not. And they all take the Oath of Canonical obedience: And though in Licitin & benefin be added, the terms signific that they are to take the Canons as Licita & bonessa: And these Canons, ipso facto, Ex-

communicate all professed Nonconformists.

2. They all subscribe to vie the form of administrating Sa-

craments in the Common-Prayer book, and no other.

3. The Church therefore expounds its own meaning in the Liturgy by the Canon, that [give it to them Kneeling] fignifies give it only to such.

4. If any doubt of it let them try, and their Suspension ac-

cording to the Canon will expound it to them.

L. If the practice be finful in rejecting such, Affenting and Conferring and Subscribing to do it must be sinful.

M. The fin lyeth in what I before faid about refusing Bap-

2. It contradicteth Christ's appointed terms, which require all Christians to receive each other in Love and Concord: And Paulexpressly decideth such cases, Rom. 24, and 15. Receive one another as Christ received m to the Glory of God. Will Christ that receiveth them to pardon, grace and endless Glory, own these Rejecters and Condemners? Or will he not say, In as much as ye did it to one the least of these my brethren, ye did it to me? And who is he that condemneth, when it is Christ that justifieth.

3. They shall answer for depriving Christ's Members of their right, as truely as if they oppressed Widows, and Orphans, and turned them out of their houses, and inheritance.

4. They shall answer for Schismatical tearing the Church

by their Engines.

5. And for usurping a needless and hurtful dominion over mens faith, and consciences by their Church Legislation.

6. And for using that office which is made for the edifying and comfort of the Faithful, to drive conscience and obedience to God out of the world, by making doubtful, ensnaring impositions, and then persecuting and rendring odious all that dare not obey them for sear of sin, while they bear with the Rabble that hate serious godlines, and encourage them by preferring them in their communion.

L. But what pretence have my against Kneeling?

M. i. It is not a necessary point of Salvation or communion, and therefore if they err they are not therefore to be Excommunicate, unless you will Excommunicate all that have errour and sin, that is, your selves, and every living man-

2. They have the pretence of real fearing that it is a fin to differ in the Gesture from Christ's administration, thinking that a Table gesture was intended by him to signific his facri-

fice-Feaft.

9- They fear breaking the Second commandment, which is against corporal seeming to worship as Idolaters do thought the heart mean better; and so against symbolizing with Ido-

laters -

laters, and against scandalous hardening them in their sin: Because Papists are known to kneel to the Bread as unto God and worship it. And these Papists live among us, and are now hoping to set up their Idolatry. Though for my part I think that the publick Doctrine of the Church, takes off this argument of Scandal, yet it is such as very Learned and holy men think valid: as you may see in Mr. Ruber-

ford's Letters, and many others.

4. And ad bentinem, I fee not what the Excommunicators can fay for themselves, while they condemn all of Schism that obey not General Councils, especially the four first, and that differ from the Universal Churches customes: And vet the very first Nicene Council, and divers after forbid all Kneeling in adoration on any Lord's day in the year, and on any weeks day between Eafter and Whitfunday. And all the Ancients affure us that this was one of the chief ceremonys that the Church then called Universal agreed in. And it was never put down by any other General Council, but at Rome grew out of custome by degrees, and that not till a thousand years after Christ as Dr. Heylin confesseth. And fhall these same men that cry up the Church and the Laws of Councils Excommunicate those that obey them as Schismaticks, and pass for the followers of the Church themfelves ?

In a word, I dare no more cast godly Christians from Christs Sacrament, for fearing least Kneeling in Receiving be a symbolizing with Idolaters contrary to the Second Commandment, than I durst turn Widows and Orphans out of their inheritance for not speaking the same language, or wearing the

fame fashions as I do.

forms and payer as men, but a basis don a me

CHAP. XV. Point XII. Of Confenting to the false Rule to find out Eafter-day in the Liturgy.

M. HE next Point is materially a trifle, but formally fo palpable an untruth, that we cannot deliberately de-clare that we Affent to it, wiz. They fell us in their Calender truly how to find Eafter-day, and they add another Rule to find it always which is frequently falle, as every Almanack will tell you : viz. that [it is always the firft Sunday after the first full Moon, which bappens next after the one and ewentich day of March

L. It is erne for the most party though not always.

M And we will Affent that it is true for the most part, but not always.

L. This is but a more mistake, and can you scruple Conformity for

fuch a trifle.

M. Is it lawful deliberately to lie in a trifle? In them it was but an untruth, for they wrote what they thought had been true. But it would be a wilful lying in me who know it to be false.

L. But you may in your Subscribing, or Declaring except that which you know the Anthors would have excepted, had they known them to be falfer have the hard was an entering make

M. Say you fo? Then I may except all the reft which I here except against; For Truth is fo naturally the object of the Intellect, that I may suppose that the Convocation would have put none of them on us, had they known them to be false.

Do you mean that I may except them in words or writing, or only mentally? If the first, I have offered them to declare my Assent and Consent to all things in the Book in which the Authors were not mistaken: But that is refused with derision and is contrary to the Act of Uniformity, which faith, [They Shall declare in thefe words and no other.] If you mean mentally then a man may Covenant, or firear any falsehood with a mental exception; and so any Diffater may subscribe, or covenant what you will.

L. But you know those two most knowing men, Grotius (de Jure Relli) and Biskop Jer. Taylor (Dutt. Dubit.) maintain that useful Lying which have no me, it no fine: A man's Life may be

faved by a lies it I she we prosent

M. And in my Houseless Carechs on the ninth Compandment I have fully construed it, to which I refer your. No man's provider commodity or life must be preferred before the common wasfare of manifest Why do Souldiers hazard their lives, and Malatators lose them, but for the common good and safety? But if men had leave to lie when it is for their safety, or commodity it would utterly overthrow all humans converte and Societies by overthrowing all Trust and Justice: Rulers and Subjects, Preachers and Heaters, Judges, Jurges, Witselfes, Treders, Contractors, would all had force reason from their commodity to Lie. Laws are made chiefly for the common safety and profice, and must not allow a sure person to the common hurt,

L. I confess the reason is weighty: But most men will but laugh at you, to suffer mine rather shen to Assert the factor we stand or full, who hath decreed to gast out of his Kingdom all Lyars, Rev. 21,

and 22.

in 14's a mander to me that all the Bishaps, Dollers, and Church of England Sould publish and impose soch a mistake, and nover a man of them examine it, and detect it: And yet a greater mender that, the Landy and Bishapa und Ommens in Parliament

fronte pass and impose is mixboar enemination?

M. Neither of them is any wonder to me, confidering who the men were, and in what circumstances, and what moved them? No more than that they would rather England were in the case it is is, than to have forthorn any of their impositions, called Things indifferent, or than the King's Declaration of Ecclesifical Assort, should have healed us.

But do you think thee such mens Volumes are like to be so infallible in matters of Faich, Destrine and Right, who no more examine plain Matters of soft, as that all the Clergy may, boldly declars Assent and Consent to all contained in and restribed by their book? And that before they ever see it. And did not Convocation, Parliament, and the whole

Decla-

Declaring Clergy go one way, and notably Trult fone body and are we not exemable for trying further? Doch this common belief deferve honour and preferment, and our unbelief of fach things deferve fifelicing and raine?

L. I marvail what they fay to this, who expound their Affth and Confene as to the UE: If they UE this Rule we must been took Enfers oft, one at a region come, and appeller as a writing.

ME It's enough to fullifie themselves, to cart Refiners Schifmaticks, Rogues, and disobedient to Government, as the poor Protestants are called in France

C H A P. XVI. Point XIII. Of Provouncing all faved that are Buried, except the Unbaptized, Excommunicate and Self wurtherers.

L. W Hat are the words bear that you diffile?

M. I told you before, [For ar much as it hath pleafed Almighey God county to Himfelf the Soul of our dear Brother,

bere deceased.] and [We give thee thanks for that it bath pleased thee to deliver this our Brother out of the miseries of this finful world] and [That we may reft in bim as our Bope is this our Brother doth

L. What barm is in these words? must not charity be used in

our judging of all mens final flate.

M. We like all the Office, and thefe words very well, if used over the Corpse of capable persons; and if true Discipline in the Church did make a just Separation of the capable and uncapable.

L. Then the fault is not in the Litury, but the Government

M. It only follows that the faelt is Primarily in the Governours corruption, and neglect of Discipline; but it's next also in the Liturgy? For the matter of fast and right is presupposed to the Declaration of it: And it followeth not that because I may speak well of good men, I may do so of bad. Christ will condemn those that feed not, visit not harbour not his Servants, Mar. 25. All men ought to be his Servants and deserve this. Yet multitudes in Scotland suffer now, for feeding and harbouring rebellious subjects: Suppose

M 2

they

they say now that the sault was not in us that sed them, but in them that were Rebells; we were bound to seed honest men, and they were bound to be honest, and charity judgeth the best. I think this will not save some from the gallows.

I think if the Billiops were but to bury Souldiers killed in fighting against the King, and at the Grave should pronounce them all good Subject, it would be ill taken; much more is

it to pronounce them faved.

Charity is no excuse for dangerous errour and fatshood. It must not follow a blind understanding. It am sure that the Clergy in their Sermons and Writings, condemn abundance whom at the Grave they pronounce saved.

L. But what danger is it to judge too charitably?

M. It hath all these dangers. 1. The guilt of speaking falsely to God.

2. The contradicting of God's Word, which faith, that no Whosemonger, Drunkard, Railer, Murtherer, shall enter into the Kingdom of God, and that the impenitent shall per

rif.

3. The hardening of ungodly men against all fear of God, when they hear that the same men, that in the Pulpit threaten damnation to them, recant it all in their Application at the Grave, and pronounce them saved. How could they more dangerously deceive men, who take that in deeper usually that is said at the Grave, than in the Pulpit.

L. But some fay none of those words signific the persons Salvation,

but his removal bence.

M. Read them; If those do not, none do.

L. But some say, that by [Excommunicate] is meant [Ex-

more can you defire ?

M. Their faying is a presumptuous contradiction to that which they consent to, what reason have they for it: Is Excommunicate, and Excommunicable all one? Or may they put what sense they list on Laws? If they do but tell the Bishops this much, they will make them know that they are not made Judges of who is Excommunicable: When L have craved but the alteration of that word, they answer

red me with contempt, that so every Priest or Curate should have the power of damning whom he please. But sure Si-Jencing our judgment of a man, is not damning him.

But what place is there for any doubt, when the Book nameth the three forts excepted, & exceptio firmat regulam in non exceptio. Yea the express exposition in the Ganon 68: is [If he shall result to Christen the one, or bury the other (that is, Any broughe) except the party deceased were Denounced Excommunicate, Majori Excommunicatione, for some grievous and notoriom Crime, and no man able to testisse of his repentance, he shall be Suspended by the Bishop of the Diocese from his ministry by the space of three months. I And alas how many thousand Insidels, Hobbists, Sudducees, Hereticks, Adulterers, Thieves, Perjured, Scorners at godliness, &c. are among us unexcommunicated. If all in England be saved, except the Unbaptized, Excommunicate, and Selfmurtherers (which, de singulis, one by one must be said of all the rest) either Scripture and Pulpits are much mistaken, or else we that live among men are in a dream, and our senses are all deceived.

in a rich that is the soldenia root of the analysis at a range of the soldenia root of the so

CHAP. XVII. Point XIV. Of Consenting to Read the Apocrypha.

L. W Hat harm to there in reading the Aperypha?

M. I told you that we Eruple not reading most of it in the place of Homilies or other Books; especially the

books called Wifedom and Ecclefiafticm.

But, 1. Many Bishops and Doctours of the Church of England have accused the books of Tobis of down right lies, and the books of Tadish, Boll and the Drogen, &cc. as being meer fictions. 2. And when we read these, it is to be done in the same order as we read the Scripture, by the name of Lesson, which is the Title given to the Chapters read out of the Old and New Testament. 3. And, if we could yet read all these, that will not serve unless we declare our Affert, Consent, and Approbation of the Appointment of them in the book, which we cannot do.

L. But they are for the most part to be read but on west days, or

boly days.

M. The Conforming Clergy Confent and Covenant to the Imposition that require th them to read the Common-Prayer every day in the week, unless they be hindred by sickness or some urgent cause. And so it is still the publick Service.

God's Service is all to be done with holy Reverence, and if the Book of Tobic and some others be guilty of so many gross falsehoods, as Protestants have and do still accuse them of, I fear both to use them as Lessons in the place of God's Word, lest it be prophanation, and also to subscribe, or declare my Approbation of the Calender, and that use, lest 1 be guilty of the sin of all the Ministers in England that so use it. And it's dangerous to seem to tell the people that so many books are God's Word that are not such: For they understand not the Greek word Apocrypha, and every Reader at least that is not Licensed to Preach, is forbidden by the Canon to expound even that one word to them.

CHAPAXVIII. Point XV. Of Affenting to Mistranslalians, and Subscribing that they are not contrary to the Word of God.

L. A RE not the Epifler and Goffels afed according to the last

Wes, in the new Books they are, but the Pfalms are

L. What great mistranslations are there?

Com in the Cories that

A. Sometimes a whole Verse or more is left out, and sometimes the Translation is quite contrary to the Text. As Pfal. 103. 28. [They rebelled against his word] instead of [They rebelled not against his word] And in the Book (which this justifieth) are many in the Epistles and Gospels; I have cited them at large elsewhere.

L. How cometh there to be so many faults in the old Translation?

M. The work was an excellent good work: But the Au-

thors (it seems for want of skill in the Hebrew) followed the Septuagint Greek translation, which hath these and many such defects.

L. Sure it is lawful to use and follow the Septuagint, for the Apo-

fles did fo in the New Testament ..

M. 1. The Apostles some times use it, and sometimes sollow the Hebrew against it, and sometimes neither. 2. But to Use it is one thing, and to Justifie it is another thing. It was in common use in the time of Christ and his Apostles, and they used that in speaking to the people, which use made intelligible and acceptable. And we scruple not using it: But all the works of Man are impersect, as Man is: And why must we subscribe that there is nothing in it contrary to the word of God? When as every mistake in it is contrary to it.

L. It feems then you would not subscribe to the Bible, that there

is nothing in it contrary to the Word of God?

M. I will subscribe to the truth of all that is in the true Copies of the Original, if there be any such: And I will sub-

fubscribe that the various Lections in those Copies that we have, are not the failing of the Holy Ghost, or Apostles, nor are such as leave us in just doubt of any necessary Truth? And I will subscribe to the Translation so far as it agreeth with the Original. But I will not subscribe that any Translation is perfect or faultless, or to this or that Hebrew or Greek Copie, as if in every word or Lection it certainly agreed with the Antographs. And why should men make snares for the Church, by imposing Prosessions, that any mere man's works are perfect, when all mortal men are confessedly imperfect? Is it not enough peaceably to use them, and to profess that all the word of God is infallible Truth?

L. But I cannot think that an Approbation of all the Translations

is intended in your Affent and Confent.

M. Are they no part of that which is contained in the Book, and prescribed by it? Or could not the Parliament speak sense.

Chap. XIX Point XVI. Of Consenting to reject all from Communion, who desire not our Episcopal Consirmation.

L. A I Ethinks you that have written a Book for Confirmation should

IVI not scruple consenting to this.

M. I told you that I am so far from scrupling the true use of Confirmation, that I think it is the want of it that is the greatest corruption of the Church of any outward thing that I remember. But you must note, I. That it is the English way of Confirmation that we speak of. 2. And that it is not the thing it self, but the denying men Church-Communion that neither have it, nor desire it, which we here dissent from.

L. What mislike you in the English way of Confirmation?

M. I must first tell you how the case stands in matter of fact:

1. When Christ sent forth Preachers, he endued them, not all, with equal Gifts and Power: Tho' most had some extraordinary Gifts and Inspiration, it was made, tho' not proper to the Apostles, yet for the most part their priviledge above all others, that the Holy Ghost was given to those on whom they laid hands, for miraculous acts; especially sudden speaking of Tongues not learnt, and Prophesying; tho' the gift of Sanctification necessary to Salvation was given to all true Believers, by whomsoever converted.

2. When the Apostles were dead, and these miraculous Inspirations grew rare first, and then ceased (unless in some very rare instance) yet the ordinary Pastors continued the Custom after Baptism, to lay on their hands as for the giving of the Holy Ghost. As they did also the Ceremony of Anointing the Sick.

which had been used for miraculous Cures.

3. The dead Ceremonies of laying on of hands for the Holy Ghost, and of Anointing, being used without the Power and sormer Effects, somewhat else must be thought on to keep up their reputation. And as to that now in question, first they added more to the Ceremony of it, and Anointed the Person with Oyl, and made the sign of the Cross on him, thereby to signifie his being Anointed with the Holy Ghost, and fortified thereby to follow a Crucified Christ thro' Sufferings. And when it was seen that the Holy Ghost was not thereby given for Miracles, they thought

that he was given in a double degree for Corroboration: And some thought that he was not given at all in Baptism, (that did

but wash away guilt) but by Confirmation after.

4. Hereby Confirmation got the name of a Sacrament (as Anointing the Sick also did) and was used presently after Baptism for the most part; and the Ceremonies of it were made more pompous, and it was appropriated to the Bishop, for the most part; or if Presbyters did it, they must use no Ointment to Anoint and Cross them with, but what the Bishop made by mixture, and blest, to make it holy: And because he could not go himself to the Sick, the Presbyters must fetch all their Ointment for this also, ready made and hallowed from the Bishop.

5. When Infants were Baptized, they thus prefently Anointed them also, and called it their Chrysm and Confirmation, till then he was taken but for a half or imperfect Christian, that was

only Baptized and not Confirmed.

6. Popery having turned most of Christs Ordinances into a dead Image, used these called Sacraments, to keep up a Ceremonious shew of Religion, and to keep up the power of Bishops in that for-

mal way.

7. When Reformation prevailed, the Papists seven Sacraments were examined; and only Baptism and the Lords Supper found to be Christs Sacraments of the Covenant of Grace: Ordination to be the Ministerial Sacrament of Orders, or Consecration to that Office. Matrimony to be a common Domestick Sacrament of Marriage: Confirmation and Extream Unition, to be abusive imitations of Antient Miraculous Acts: And Pennance to be some expressions of Repentance made more necessary than indeed they were, and Arbitrarily imposed by mans invention to keep up the Dominion of Ambitious Priests over the Souls of deluded men: Tho' at first only introduced by meer Direction of Ministers to men of troubled Conscience, shewing what restitution and reparations of the hurt they had done by in were necessary, and what expression of their Repentance was most fit.

8. Hereupon the Reformers cast away the Sacraments of Penmance and Extream Unition, and reduced the four first to their Primitive State and Use: and the abused way of Confirmation they cast off, but some defired to make an advantage of the name, for another end and duty of great moment, which had been neglected to the great corruption of the Church. And the Church of England attempted to do this, referving as much of the Antient

Form as possibly they could.

The Adult were of old Baptized before Infants, and never with-out a most solemn personal Profession of Faith and Repentance, and absolute dedication to Christ. And that this might be done with the greatest weight and resolution they were usually taught as Catechamens till they came to understanding and resolution. before they were admitted to Baptism. Their Infants some brought to Baptism, and some delayed till they came to Age, all being left at liberty, and neither Adult nor Infant driven to Baptism. nor accepted till it was defired. But as Prelacy grew up to Dominion, all were forced to be Baptized in Infancy; and at last such growing up in ignorance, were all taken for Christians, while few knew what Christianity was, or what it was to be Baptized, or what was there promifed on their part, or on Christs. And when these came to have Children they were Baptized and bred up as their Parents were, and Christianity for the most part turned into meer Name and Ceremony, the Persons being mostly ignorant of its Essentials.

This corruption of the Church feemed to many to come only from Infant Baptism; whereupon they turned Anabaptists, and taught that men should not be Baptized till they seriously and so-

lemnly professed their own Faith and Repentance.

But wifer men faw that we must not deny Infants their Church state and right because of mens abuse, and their neglect of other Ducies: Baptism is one thing, and Personal Confession and Covenanting is another. It is the Omission of these at Age, that hath corrupted the Church, and not Infant Baptilin, which entreth them but into a Church state spirable to their infancy. They need not repeat Baptism which they had; but to manifest actual Faith and Repensance which in Infancy they had not. That which should be done, is to make their Transition into the Communion of Adult Christians to be a ferious, Jolemn mork, and not a delufory Ceremony. That those Baptized in Infancy may learn what they did, and what Christianity is as to our Faith, Duty & Hopes: And when they come to true relolution, to own the Baptismal Vow, and as folemnly renew it themselves, as others made it for them.

The English Reformers therefore did retain the Ceremony of. Impolition of Hands, and the appropriation of it to the Bilhop, and the name of Confirmation, and Agerchs, the use of the Sign

(Imposition of Hands) to the utmost that they durst, but instead of applying it to Infants they made it the owning of the Baptismal Covenant, and appointed Catechizing to go before it, and call for a solemn performance of it. And were it used as a rational sober owning of the Baptismal Covenant indeed in an understanding, serious manner, for transition into the State of Adult Communicants, it would be the greatest means of a true Resormation, and of Union with the parties that now differ about Church order, that can be used.

Divers of the highest Episcopal Divines write as earnestly for this as any of us: Especially Mr. Eldersield and Dr. Hammond, and yet were it to prevent our continued division, and our ruin, there

is no hope of obtaining it.

L. Why, what binders if all fides defire it?

M. It is defired as Holiness is defired; feriously by the serious; reservedly, and by halves by the half Christian, and only the Name, Image and Ceremony by the gross Hypocrite, who hateth it at the Heart, because it is above him, and against his carnal mind

and interest.

And indeed it is here made impossible to be done any otherwise ordinarily than as a Ceremony. For 1. The Diocesses are so vast that the Bishop cannot do this and other his Offices for the hundredth part of his undertaken Flock. Suppose this Diocess have but five or fix hundred thousand Souls (for when an hundred thousand died the last Plague, I hope it was not above the fixth part:) Do you think that the Bishop is able (did he work as hard as any Nonconformist) to confirm six hundred thousand Persons, or the twentieth part of them, or the hundredth, in that serious manner as belongs to the binding of a Soul to Christ in so solemn a Covenant.

It becometh me not to inquire whether Bishops be men that are for so much seziousness in Christianity themselves, and so much sabour to attain it: Some are far better than others: You know them as well as I. But I must say 1. That as far as I can learn there is not one of an hundred confirmed at all.

2. All the thousands that are unconfirmed live in the Parishes as reputed Christians, and may come to the Sacrament when they

will.

3. I never knew one Minister of all that Covenanted it, to keep one man from the Sacrament for not being Confirmed, or

not being defirous of it; nor one Neighbour that ever was examined on this Point, whether he were Confirmed, or were ready

and defired it.

4. Some few elder Votaries to the Bishops perhaps may be serious in it; but what a mere running Ceremony it is usually made, I need not tell you. I have formerly faid, that I was at 15 years of Age Confirmed my felf, by Bishop Morton (one of the Learned'st and best Bishops that ever I knew) and we ran thither from School, without the Minister's knowledge, or one word from our Master, to tell us what Confirmation is; and in a Church yard in the Path-Way, as the Bishop past by, we kneeled down, and laying his Hands on every Boys Head, he faid a few words, I knew not what; nor did any one of my School-fellows, as far as I could perceive, know what he faid; nor know what Confirmation is, any more than to have the Bishop's Bleffing: nor were we ask'd by him, or any, whether we flood to our Baptismal Covenant, save only by saying by rote the Catechism to our Master: nor did I see any one make any more than a Ceremony of it.

When the Bishops were down, I saw it made a serious Work by divers Ministers, who instructed Young Men till they found them seriously resolved for Christ, and then taking the best of Confirmation and Penitence, caused them publickly before the Congregation to profess their Faith and Repentance, and to renew the Covenant they made in Baptism to Christ. And were it made the work of Godly Ministers to do it, or to prepare Men personally for it, and not make it a Game for Boys, much good

might be done by it.

L. Well, What have you against it besides Abuse, which no body

desireth you to subscribe to.

M. Were I a publick Minister, I should be glad of that Rubrick to enable me to keep away the grosly Ignorant, which I know no other Clause that enableth me to do: But I durst not use it, to turn from Communion all Godly Persons whom it excludeth; nor can I consent so to do.

L. What can make Godly Persons scruple it as sinful?

M. Many things: 1. The words make it seem to some to be yet made a Sacrament, which are ["Upon whom after the examiple of thy holy Apostles, we have now laid our hands to certifie them by this sign of thy favour and gracious goodness toward them.]

Here

Here is an outward visible sign of an inward and spiritual Grace given to them, said to be done in imitation of Christ's Apostles, as a means whereby they receive the same, and a Pledge to assure thereof; (as the Collects with it shew) which is the Catechisms Definition of a Sacrament.

2. They that are against our Diocesan fort of Prelacy, dare not feem to own it, by coming to them for Confirmation, appro-

priated to them.

3. Those that think that a great and holy Duty is made a mere Mockery to delude Souls, and corrupt the Church (while every one in England that will but take this Ceremony, is pronounced to God in Prayer to be [Regenerate by the Holy Ghost, and all their Sins forgiven them;] these dare not joyn themselves with the Pro-

phaners in their delufory way.

Be these Scruples just or unjust, while the same Persons are willing to own their own Baptismal Covenant, understandingly and seriously before the Church and their own Pastors, and to know those that labour among them, and are over them in the Lord, and esteem them in Love for their Works sake, and to be at Peace among themselves, I dare not for scrupling this Diocesan Ceremony, cast them from the Communion of the Church of Christ. And therefore I dare not Approve of the Order that requireth it, nor Assential Consent to it, nor Subscribe that it is not contrary to the Word of God.

Chap XX. Point XVII. Of Confenting to all the Ornaments of Church and Ministers that were in use in the School year of King Edw. 6.

L. V Hat have you against this?

M. The words are, [That such Ornaments of the Church, and of the Ministers thereof at all times of their Ministrations shall be retained in use as were in this Church of England by the Authority of Parliament in the Second year of King Edw. 6.]

Against this we have these Exceptions.

We know not what was then in use, and therefore cannot

confent to we know not what.

2. We are told that the Albe, and many other Ornaments were then in use that are since put down, and we must not confent

fent to restore them, without more reason than we hear. And the Canon enumerating the Ornaments now, we suppose the ad-

dition of all those will Contradic it.

3. We meet with few Conformiffs that know what was then in use. And we see that all those that Subscribe or Confent to this, yet use them not. And we will not run for Company into a solemn Covenant-Consent, to the use of those things that we see no body use. The second year of King Edw. 6. was the minority of the Reformation, and before we consent to make it our pattern, we must know what it was, and whether no Act of Parliament have since reversed that which then was used?

Chap XXI. Point XVIII. Of giving an Account to the Ordinary of all that we keep from the Sacrament, that he may Proceed against them according to the Canons.

L. VV Hy cannot you Approve of, and Consent to this?

M. For many and great Reasons. 1. From the Ordinary. 2. From our Selves and our Ministry. 3. From the People. 4. From the Church. 5. From the Nature of the Matter.

L. I. What have you against it from the Ordinary.

M. I told you before that, i. Some of the Ordinaries are Lay-Men, fitting in Corners to Decree Excommunications and Abfolutions, proper to the Clergy. And we ought not to confent to the guilt of this.

2. Other Ordinaries are fingle Presbyters that have no Power of the Keys from Christ over their Brethren, and over a multi-

rude of Churches.

3. Other of the Ordinaries are Diocesans over Hundreds of Churches that have no Bishops of their own under these. And we ought not to own any of all these.

L. II. What Reasons have you from your selves?

M. If we should accuse to the Ordinaries all that we ought to keep away, it will utterly destroy our Ministry. 1. We are bound to keep away all that desire not Episcopal Confirmation. 2. All Ignorant Persons that are unready to be Confirmed. 3. All Atheists, Insidels, Hereticks, scandalous Sinners, and that live in malice to others (much more to the generality of Godly Men.)

4. All the Nonconformists whom they call Schismaticks, that Kneel not, &c. And in a Parish of 30000 or 40000 in London, it's well if of all these forts there be not many Thousands. And 2. The Ordinaries Courts are full of other Work: And in the Countrey they are oft far from mon Ministers. 3. Ministers have usually much more Work than they can do at home, and less Money than they need: And the Prosecution of all these, and bringing up Witnesses, will take up all their time, and leave them none for their Studies, or many other Offices at home: And it will undo them in their Estates. 4. It will make the People so much to hate them, that their Preaching will do little good. 5. And when they know all this, none of the Ministers will practice it, as Experience tells us; and fo they will all live in the breach of the Covenant which they made; and when to get a living they have falfly professed Consent to all this Accufation and Profecution, Self-love will not fuffer them to do what they consented to.

L. III. What are your Reasons against it from the People.

M. 1. The Multitude that makes it unpracticable. 2. The greatness of some of them that will ruine the Ministers. 3. But especially because it will cross the just end of our Ministry, and make them uncapable of receiving any Profit by us: And our Power is given us for their Edification, and not for their Destruction: This will but harden them against our Doctrine.

L. Do not you by this Condemn your selves that defire a stricter

Discipline, which would offend them more.

M. No; for 1. We would not turn our Churches into Prifons, nor bring in any under our Discipline, but consenting Volunteers.

2. We would have no Lay-men, or forced Ordinaries to do this, but Pastors of their own choice, whom they well know.

3. We would have nothing done against any sinner Magisterially and forcibly by the abuse of the Keys; but only humble Ministerial convincing them by Gods word of sin, and of Gods wrath, and praying for their repentance, and meek and patient warning them, and waiting till they prove obstinately impenitent: And then only an Exclusion of them declaratively from that Communion from which they exclude themselves, without any force on their Goods or Persons.

L. IV. What are your reasons from the Church?

M. 1. We ought not to confent to fo great a corruption of its.

Discipline: 2. Nor to a course that will render it odious to men.

3. And deprive it so much of the true work of a faithful Mini-

L. J. What mean you by your reasons from the Matter?

out a multitude of truly godfy Christians; and then they must be further profecuted and ruined; as we shall see anon under the particulars. And we cannot Covenant and Consent to be profecutors of such men, before such Judges, for such an end, and to such directly Effects.

Chap. XXII. Point XIX. Of Publishing the Lay-Chancellors Excommunications and Absolutions according to the Canons.

L. HOw are you bound to publish their Excommunications?

M. 1. By our Ordination-Covenant to obey the Ordinary.

2. By the Oath of Canonical Obedience.

3. By this affent and consent to the words last mentioned, to accuse them, that the Ordinary may proceed against them according to the Canon.

4. By constant custom: If we do it not, we shall be suspended or cast out.

L. But the Oath of Canonical Obedience is but in licitis & ho-

nestis.

M. I told you before, it implyeth that all that is Canonical is licitum & honestum. And they will not allow us to be Judges, but will suspend us if we refuse their commands as unlawful and dishonest. And the Canon it self inso facto Excommunicateth all that say any of their Governing Offices are contrary to the Word of God, or that the Canons bind not Dissenters. And so far is the Church from taking this for unlawful or dishonest, as that it expressly commandeth it in these words, Can. 65:

["All Ordinaries shall in their several Jurisdictions carefully see and give order, that as well those who for obstinate refusing to frequent Divine Service Established by publick Authority within this Realm of England, as those also (ESPECIALLY OF THE BETTER SORT and Condition) who for noto-

"rious contumacy, or other notable crimes stand lawfully Ex"communicated—be every six Months ensuing, as well in the Parish Church as in the Cathedral, by the Minister openly in time of Divine Service, upon some Sunday denounced and declared Excommunicate, that others may thereby be admounted to refrain their Company,—and excited the rather to procure out a Writ de Excommunicate capiendo; so that no manican be a Minister that will not practise it.

L. And what have you against the practice of it?

M. 1. To be the Agent of Laymens Excommunicating.

2. And the Instruments of godly mens Excommunications and Ruins. You may as well ask us why we dare not oppress and destroy men without cause; the Publishers cannot be Innocent.

Chap. XXIII. Point XX. Of Publishing Excommunications according to the 4th Canon.

M. THE Fourth Canon faith, ["Whosever shall bereafter af"firm that the Form of Gods Worship in the Church of
"England Established by Law, and contained in the Book of
"Common Prayer and Administration of Sacraments, —con"taineth ANY THING in it that is repugnant to the Scrip"tures, let him be Excommunicated ipso fatto, and not restored
"but by the Bishop of the place, or Arch-bishop, after his Re"pentance, and publick Revocation of such his wicked Error.]
And when this Excommunication is sent from the Ordinary to the Minister, you heard he must publish it twice a year.

L. And what have you against this?

M. Do I need to tell you? I. Judge by this with what Face the Prelates can us Puritanes or Catharifts, as if we pretended to perfection, and to be without fin. And whether it be not they that are far liker to the Catharifts. We confess that the best of our Prayers. Preaching or Works hath somewhat in them repugnant to the Word of God: For Gods Law is perfect, and every fin in matter, or manner, or end, or degree, is repugnant to it. Far be it from us Pharisaically to justifie any Book that ever we write as if we had no sin in it. But these men that call themselves the Church of England, do not only justify a large Volume of Forms, Orders, Rubricks and Kalenders, &c. but also forces

all other men to justifie it all as sinless; and he shall be no Minister that will not do it, nor a Christian Member of the Church that denieth it: As If the perfection of their works were an Article of the Creed, and necessary to Salvation to be believed. Is not this Puritanism, Pharisaical, and Justification of Works?

2. Judge by all that I have in this Book cited, whether there be nothing at all in their Books that is repugnant to the Word of God. If I have made it past all modest denial, then what a dreadful thing is this Renunciation of Repentance? when Repentance is the condition of the pardon of all our sin, even that which cleaveth to our Worship of God. But they that tell the World that their Works have no sin, yea and force all the Kingdom to stand to that justification, do in a very high degree remounce Repentance: Yea they not only forbid all men to call them to repent, or to amend any sin that is in their Book, but Ex-

communicate them as wicked that do it.

3. I told you before, that this Excommunicating ipso fatto, is in it felf, a prophane subversion of the very nature of true Excommunication, which supposeth due means to convince the Person that his words or deeds are fin, and that of an intollerable degree, and that he be heard fpeak for himself, and be admonished and earnestly perswaded to repent; and not Excommunicated till after all this he continue impenitent. But here men are Excommunicated for faying that there is somewhat faulty in mens works, and that before ever they are heard speak for themselves, or ever told of their fin, or called to repent: I before referr'd you to what Spalarenfis de Rep. Ecclef. hath written to prove the great finfulness of such Excommunicating. Bishop Jer. Taylor writing against it, yet feigneth this excuse, that it must be understood but of the Minor Excommunication. But Excommunication it is; and I do not think that they can make any good sense of their distinction of Major & Minor Excommunication; unless it be that the Major declareth men to be no Christians, and the Minor only to be scandalous Christians, not cut off from the Catholick Church, but only for the present suspended from being owned, while they are under patient tryal whether they will prove impenitent or not, that shame may drive them to Repentance. But this Suspension is properly called Excommunication. But both of them require a just tryal.

4. It is a heinous injury and injustice to Excommunicate and P 2 Ruin

Ruin men for Truth and Duty. He that robbeth them by the High-way, doth but take their Money, and doth not also accuse them falfly, and make their duty to be their sin. Doubtless he that will take all that I have because I do my duty to God in Praying or Preaching, doth me more wrong than he that will

take all without acculing me.

5. It is a publick turning Christs Ordinance against himself, and serving Satan by it; to make faithful Christians odious in the World, as unworthy to live in humane Society, out of Jayls, if they do but exhort others to repent. If a man but know and detect the least sin in their Books of Service, he must be condemned of wicked Error, and Drunkards, and Whore-mongers; and Perjured Rogues, do teem to be no worse than he.

6. If it were an Error to say that their Book is not faultless, yet it can never be proved an Error of that magnitude and wickedness as to deserve Excommunication ipso falls: For all men on Earth have Errors worse than that; and so by proportion they

virtually or confequentially Excommunicate all men.

L. But all this is their fault, and not yours.

M. It's mine if I publish their Excommunication.

L. If an Innocent Man be bang'd, the Hang-man is Innocent : He

doch but bis Office.

M. Whatever he may be in Cases unknown to him, and which he was not bound to know, I will not believe you in a known or knowable Case. If I had been commanded to Crucifie Christ, to Stone Stephen, to burn the Martyrs; I do not believe that I could have done it without the guilt of Murder. Else you may make a Man's command to Justifie the Execution of any Murder or Injustice in the World.

L. But you may bift off such wicked Excommunications, and

leape the Publishing them to your Curate.

M. 1. I may not draw another into such heinous Guilt, nor connive at his doing it in my Charge.

2. There are but few Country Ministers that have Curates.
3. If I do it not, I am guilty of it if I declare my Consent to Accuse all such within foresteen days, that the Ordinary may do it;

and to Covenant and Swear Canonical Obedience to him

4. What can be more pernicious to the Church of God, than to cast good Men out of it, and dismember Christ's Body, and lay those in Jayls as unsufferable wicked Men, whom Christ takes

takes for his Members whom he will fave a and it alits for telling Prelates that their Book is failed, and to take their work; while switten as the considerate and their work; while switten as the considerate and fear of Sinning, and to take their wicked lives to be begin that the Godly Convertation of those that are used for world than they. Thus Christ foretold his Apolities, that they hould be call out of the Syngogue's that is he found and they have been been without the present that, I all they will ownered than they have been some the present that they will ownered the their wrong as done to him.

Chap. XXIV. Point XXI. Of Rublishing Excommunica-

L. VV Mat is the Fifth Ganon, unders Excommunication to V. 1 M. [Whosoever shall affirm that Any of the 39 Articles agreed on -2562, are in any part Superfitious or Erronests, or such as he may not with a good Conscience substitute anto, terhim be Excommunicated ipso such a good Conscience substitute anto, terhim be Excommunicated ipso such a good Conscience substitute anto, terhim be Excommunicated ipso such a good Conscience substitute in only by the Arch-Bishop after his Repentance and publick Revocation of such his wicked Errors.

L. I hope you that agree with the Church in Dollrine, have nothing

against Publishing such an Excommunication.

M. I Subscribe to the Doctrinal Articles as true, because I judge of them by what I take to be the Anthor's meaning. But I. The words in the obvious sence, are divers of them liable to Exceptions. 2. And some of them about Traditions, Ceremonies, &c. are of small moment and dubious. 3. And every word that is true, is not an Article of the Creed, nor necessary to Church Communion; so that all Men must be cast out of the Church that differe from it. And this Excommunication extends to Lay-Men (who are not bound to know as much as Ministers.

L. What is therein the Articles that any good Man can scrupie?

M. Article 3. Learned Men doubt of Christ's going down in-

to Hell.

Art. 4. That Christ's Body in Heaven hath Flesh and Bones, is contrary to two General Councils, that of Nice 2. and that before it at Const. which it consuteth: And in this they agree.

Art. 8. That Ashanafius's Creed ought to be ammino recipiendiem O credending, wholly received and believed : when the Damning part is lorupled by many Conformilts

Art. 9. Bilhop fereny I sylor was against that of Original Sin.

Art. 10. Many, called Arminians, are against that [No Fower

to do good Works.] Art. 11. Many Conformilis are against the word [We are accounted Right cons before God sonly for the merit of Christ because a subordinate Righteowinels is mentioned many Score or Hunored

times in Scripture. Art. 12. Many think that good Works fpring not necessarily

from Faith, but freely.

Art. 13. Many think that merit of Congruity may be held. and that Men by natural or antecedent Works, may be made meet to receive Grace; which Dr. Hammond in his Annotations

feemeth much to infift on, under the Name of Probity.

Are. 14. The faid Dr. Hammond, and many other, write for good Works over and above God's Commandments, as only counselled by God, and voluntarily done, which this Article calleth Arrogancy and Impicity; And many follow Dr. Hammond, and yet subscribe this.

Art. 15. Is denied by them, that think Infants finless when

.Baptized.

Art. 16. Many deny falling from Grace given.

Art. 17. Dr. Hammond, and his Followers, feem to deny the

absolute Election here described.

Art. 18. Many good Men think some are saved that live up to the Light of Nature; and yet this Article curfeth them that fay lo.

Art. 10. The Description of the Visible Church greatly difagreeth from that now given by many great Church-men, not at all mentioning the Bishops or their Government in it. And

Some deny that the Church of Rome hath Erred De Fide.

Art. 20. The Churches Power to decree Ceremonies, as not limited here, is doubted of by good Christians. And they fee not how that is not made necessary to Salvation (contrary to this Article) which is made necessary to avoid Excommunication as for wicked Errour.

Art. 21. Too many deny what is faid here against gathering Councils without the Will of Princes, and that Councils may ere in things pertaining to God, &c. Art. 22

Art. 23. Seems defective about calling Ministers to them that are for uninterrected Cationical Succession, 676 24 (1197) 216 (201)

Arrias Contrary to this Article , 10000 great Church men think that Confirmation, at least, is a Goldel Sierament, and that it hath a visible fign ordained by God.

I will proceed no further herein. By this in is evident chat many Subscribers are great Nonconformits, and if they foeak their Minds, are Excommunicated in Fam. I work

L. You make our Assicted of Roligion a dentiful obing ; what ver-

tainty them is there of the Protoftant Religion?

M. The Protestant Religion is the Hely Scriptures, older than our Form, called the 39 Articles, which are a laudable found account how we understand the Scriptures but not of fuch perfection, that all Men must be Excommunicate that fay any word in them is faulty.

Chap. XXV. Point XXII. Of Publishing the Sixth Canons Excommunications.

Hat is the Sixth Canons Excommunication?

M. "Wholoever shall affirm, that the Rites and " Ceremonies of the Church of England by Law Established, are "wicked, Antichristian, or Superstitious, or such as being com-"manded by lawful Authority, Men who are Zealoufly and God-"ly affected, may not with any good Conscience approve them, "use them, or, as occasion requireth, subscribe unto them; "Let "him be Excommunicate info fatto, and not restored till he re-"pent, and publickly revoke such his wicked Errors.

L. I confess it sounds harshly to lay so great stress on every Ceremony of the Church, as to Excommunicate every one that Calleth any one of them unlawful. What could be faid more of the Ten Commandements, or the Creed? If it be a wicked Errour to mistake about a Ceremony, or to account a Cope or a Pair of Organs unlawful, the Lord have Mercy on us, what a Case are we all in by wicked Errours! What shall my poor Country Neighbours and Tenants

do that few of them understand one half the Creed?

M. Yet I. The Articles and our Ordination-Vow oblige us to believe and teach that nothing is necessary to Salvation, but what is contained in the Scripture, or certainly proved by it.

And that General Councils, and all Men are fallible: And fure they are very near to distribution, who are for Josephine about every Rite and Geremony, that they dare bind all the Land to justific, or not believed them, on paint of the first a Excounting cation.

2. Yes General and Bishop Trains, that justifie found Lying, are Menthan deferve france; with thems (and in truth): And Oh! how many Thousands, live, questimon them. Communion, who erry is general Matters than a Coremon ?

3. And judge by what I have fairl of the Symbolical Croffing in Baptilm. Godfathers, 90, whether it be atwicked Errour deferving Excommunication and Ruine, to thatge any one of their Rites with Singuistics and Englishmuch

nance for blaming a Ceremony, but they must cast us out of the

Church? what is Pharifaical if this be not?

Chap. XXV. Point XXIII. Of Publishing the Seventh Ca-

M. The Seventh Canon is ["Whosoever shall hereafter affirm that the Government of the Church of England, under His Majesty, by Arch-bithops, Bishops, Deans, Archdeacons, and THE REST THAT BEAR OFFICE in the fame, is Antichristian, or repugnant to the Word of God; Let him be Excommunicate info factor, and so continue till be repent and publickly revoke such his wicked Errors.

L. Mark bere that [And] connexeth all these Offices, it is not [OR] disjunctively: So that you fall not under this Canon if you con-

demn every Church. Office fave one, if you condemn not all.

M. That's a meer violent unjust exposition. The Government is the thing named as consisting of many Offices, as a Body of many Members, or a Chain of many Links; as we say, Bonum est ex Cansis integris. And he that wounds any one Member, wounds the Man; and he that breaketh one Link, breaketh the Chain: And he that accuse the any one part of the Government, accuse the Government thereby: And there is no doubt in the World but they so intended that made this Canon.

L. And what have you against your Obedience to this.

M. You may easily know what, by what is already said;

1. I have fully proved as aforesaid in my Treatise of Episcopacy, that if Episcopacy were never so certainly of Divine Institution, this Form of Diocesan Prelacy deposeth quantum in se, the old Church Form, the old Episcopacy, the old Presbytery, and almost all true Discipline, and in stead of each, sets up that which is repugnant to the Word of God. And must we all confederate to maintain this Church Corruption; and all agree to renounce Reformation, or any Conviction tending to Repentance?

2. I have told you what it is for Lay-men and Courts to arrogate the Decretive Power of the Church Keys, and for single Priests and Officials to rule all the Clergy and People as under them; And for our Prelate to undertake to be the sole Bishop over many Hundred Clergy; And then to Govern per alios, in a secular manner; even by Lay-men, that do that in his Name which he knows not of, and this in order to Gaols and Ruine. If all this be agreeable to God's Word, what is contrary

to it?

3. I have told you what it is to make every Church Officer so necessary, as that it should be Excommunication to say Any one of them is sinful, when as Learned good Men as most the World hath, have written to prove almost all of them sinful corrupt Inventions of Arrogance; and that it's far worse for Men to presume to make new Forms and Offices of Church Govern-

ment, than new Ceremonies.

4. The Parliament of England condemned the Oath called the cetera Oath in the Canon of 1640. And the late long Parliament of 1662 never restored it, nor any since. And was it not formed according to this Canon? What's [cc.] but [And the rest that bear Office therein] (reliquos ad ejustem gubernaculum constitutos) For my part, tho I have oft read over Cousins Tables, and the Canons, I do not yet know and remember all the Church Governing Courts and Offices? How many there be besides the Bishop, the Chancellors Court, the Arches, the Prerogative Court, the Arch-deacons, Commissaries, Officials, Surrogates, I know not. And are every one of these become as necessary to be taken for lawful as the twelve Apostles, or the Articles of our Creed. For my part I am far from thinking that those Bishops and Doctors should be Excommunicated or Damned, who by Faction are drawn to deny the Ministry and Churches that have

not Prelatical Ordination and Government; and shall all be con-

demned that think as ill of Civilians Excommunicatings?

5. I have told you what it is for every Lord, Knight and Gentleman that doth but fay, that any of these Church Governing Offices are against the Word of God, to be inso facto an Excommunicate man. And for the people to be put to question whether they may shi in Parliament while Excommunicate?

L. This Canon with the three or four adjoining make me begin to think hardlier of the Canoneers than I thought I should ever have done,

as to their honesty.

M. I would not have you think too hardly of them; but only to think truly of Nonconformity.

Chap. XXVII. Point XXIV. Of Publishing the 8th Canons Excommunications.

L. V Hat is the Eighth Canon and its Excommunication?

M. "Whoever shall hereafter affirm or teach that the Form and Manner of Making and Confectating Bishops, Priests or Deacons containeth ANY THING in it that is repugnant to the Word of God,—Let them be Excommunicated insoft fasts, and not to be restored until he repent, and publickly revoke such his wicked Errors.

L. What have you against the Execution of this?

M. A great deal. In fum, it is unrighteous, oppreffing and dividing, to cast out all Persons from the Church of Christ, who think that nothing is faulty in the Book of Ordination, or in their Principles or Practice there expressed: And we dare not curse those that Christ doth bless; should we do this for a Benefice, in what should we differ from the sin of Balaam, who loved the wages of unrighteousness? whose iniquity and madness his Ass rebuked, saith St. Peter, 2 Pet. 2. 15. Yea, shall we not be far worse than he, that for an House full of Silver and Gold could not go beyond the Word of the Lord, and did not curse but bless Gods people? And it is not proud malignant Tongues revising Gods Servants, and calling their Opinions wicked Errors that will make Christ disown his Members, or will warrant Balaam or us to curse them. O how unlike is this to the Spirit and Ministry

of Christ! for Prelates and Priests to curse, and cast out the Children of God, for saying that they go against his Law.

L. But what is amissin the Book of Ordination?

M. I am anon to tell you that. But if there were nothing a-miss in it, yet the belief of its innocency is not necessary to Salvation.

L. But if every man have leave to accuse the Orders of the Church.

what Order can be maintained?

M. 1. Leave modestly, to express diffent in a doubtful case may stand with Order. 2. If men do it disorderly, there be other Penalties besides info fasto Excommunication: Every breach of the peace is not Rebellion, nor punisht with Death.

But I'll tell you briefly what may occasion good men to fay

that their Ordinations are finful.

1. In that they thereby obtrude Pastors on the Churches upon the bare choice of a Patron, without or against the peoples wills.

2. In that they professedly ordain such as their Canon forbids

to Preach or Expound any Doctrine.

3. In that they determine that Bishops, Priests and Deacons are three distinct Orders, which yet is an undetermined Controversie among even the Learnedst Papists. And must we damn, and cut off men for that which the very Papists leave at li-

berty?

4. In that they ordain men to an Office which Scripture maketh no mention of. Dr. Hammond saith, that it cannot be proved that there were any Presbyters subject to Bishops in Scripture times, nor any but Bishops: None that had not power of Ordination and the Keys; nor any Bishops of a multitude of Churches and Presbyters, both which are here ordained.

5. In that they Swear Obedience to Arch-bishops and their Sees; and make Priests Covenant Obedience to their Ordinaries.

as aforesaid.

If a godly man do as Bucer did to King Edward the Sixth, as you may see in his Scripta Anglic. and desire some of these faults to be amended, doth he deserve to be cast out as an impenitent wicked man for this when they that will say, all's well that the Bishops do, may live quietly in open vice or ungodliness. As Dr. Sloughton saith, If you strike a Schismatick, and Christ find a Saint lye bleeding, and you be to answer for it, I would not be in your

case for all your wealth. If you must kill a Fly on the Forehead of a Child of God with a Beetle, or a Butchers Axe, you shall not use my hand to give the blow. If Chrysostom would rather have his hand cut off than give the Sacrament to a wicked man, tho' a Prince; I will rather have mine cut off than reject a Saint, or my Tongue cut out than curse Christs Members, lest he say I cursed him in them.

Chap. XXVIII. Point XXV. Of Publishing the Excommunications of Canon 9th, 10th, 11th. Of such as call Dissenters a Church.

M. These Canons made against Schismaticks and Conventicles do Excommunicate all that say such are true Churches that have groaned under the burden of grievances, &c. And is

this a just cause of Excommunication?

I wish they had no such burden laid on them to make them groan. But suppose them quite mistaken; if a weak mistaken. Christian may be a true Christian, tho' faulty, why may not a mistaken Congregation be a true Church tho' faulty? I hope no man of Protestant Faith and Charity will take the Errors of such as they describe for worse than the Papists; and yet how many have written (tho' confusedly) to prove the very Church of Rome a true Church, tho' sinful. Yea I hope sew Protestants will say that our Separatists have half so great Ignorance, Error and Corruption, as the Moscovites, Greeks, Abassines, Copies, Jacobites, Nestorians, Armenians, who yet are commonly by us consessed to be true Churches.

If they be no true Churches, it is either because of the greatness of their Errors, or because they go against the Law or Will of Governors; not the first, as the foresaid instances shew not the latter; for no man ever yet owned that Principle, that it can be no true (tho' faulty) Church, that is gathered against the Rulers wills. For many hundred years the Orthodox did it justly; and afterward when some did it unjustly, the culpability made it not a nullity. Else what a case was the Roman Church in, that for many hundred years was kept up by Rebellion against their Lawful Emperors and Princes? And how oft have a great part of the

Greek Churches been guilty of it?

And do not the Condemners hereby imitate the Separatists in the sin, and reviling as they are reviled. The Separatists falsly say that you are no true Churches, and you requite them with saying falsly the same by them.

Chap XXIX. Point XXVI. Of Executing Canon 27. rejecting Nonconformists from Communion.

L. His is but the same that we heard before.

M. Before I speak against publishing Excommunications: This Canon commandeth the Minister executively before they are Excommunicated to give the Sacrament to no one that receiveth it not kneeling, or that is guilty of any of the foresaid Points of Dissent, unless he confess his fault, and promise to do so no more. So that here the Minister is to be himself the prime Executioner against such Dissenters, before the Courts or Ordinaries Excommunicate or meddle with them.

L. But none subscribe to this Canon.

M. I told you they Swear Canonical Obedience; and the Canon suspendeth them if they do not do it: Therefore it is a necessary part of Conformity.

But of this I spake before about Assent and Consent.

Chap. XXX Point XXVII. Of refusing Communicants from other Parishes, Canon 28.

L. VV Mx may not this be obeyed?

M. In some cases it may, when Persons straggle without cause: But there is frequent need that will justifie it as a Duty: Parish Bounds are a humane Order for conveniency; but the benefit of an able faithful Minister, and the choice and use of such where they may be had, is of Divine Appointment, and a matter of far greater weight. The Canon supposeth Ministers that neither can nor may be suffered, to Preach or Expound any Doctrine: If a Neighbour Parish have an able Teacher, must he drive away poor hungry Souls that seek his Consolatory Communion and Help? If Parishes that are bound to maintain their own Poor, suffer any of them to be in danger of perishing

by cold or hunger, a Neighbour Parish tho' forbidden by men, is bound by God to relieve them in distress: Every Christian is related to all the Catholick Church, and as he hath need, hath

right to the Communion of Saints, out of his Parish.

In London, where some Churches have excellent men, if a Lord or Gentleman live in a Parish where a Patron hath set over them, one that Preacheth not sincerely but railingly in strife, contention, or gross Error, why may not such a man go to a Neighbour Church? And why must that Neighbour Minister repel him? When yet our Antagonists in this case, maintain that the Catholick Church, being but one, every Christian must Communicate in every place where he hath occasion: And that a Diocess is the lowest fort of Church, of which Parishes are but parcels: And consequently a man never separateth from his Church, that separateth not from the Diocesan.

Chap. XXXI. Point XXVIII. Of Canon 38. Excommunicating Ministers that repent of Subscribing.

If Subscribing prove a Sin, (of which I am past doubt) this Canon commandeth the Excommunicating Men for repenting of Sin. Whereas Christ's Law of Excommunication is to Excommunicate none but for not repenting of Sin. Are not these two very contrary? And do you think that Christ will stand to such an Excommunication? or disown them that are thus cast out? Some Mens abuse of Excommunication is such, as if at last, if all their Canons be executed, they would tempt Men to doubt whether they live like Christians, because they are not Excommunicate; and would make it a good argument, [He is cast out of the Church for Conscience sake: ergo, it is a good sign that he is a Man of Conscience. Or as if they were but sulfilling [They shall cast out your names as Evil doers, &cc.]

L. Why should you put Cases that may never come to pass? I do not think you were ever commanded to Publish an Excommunication

against any such.

M. But to Promise and Swear Canonical Obedience, is a thing that is required of us all: And promising to Sin, is Sin, and oft worse than a sudden act. Yea, if a Minister do but omit some Forms

Forms or Ceremony by this Canon, he must be first Suspended, and then Excommunicate, and I must publish it if required.

Chap. XXXII. Point XXIX. Of the Execution of the 57th Canon.

L. V Hat is the 57th Canon, and its Execution?

M. It Suspendeth, and after a Month Excommunicateth all that go for Baptism for their Children, or Communion themselves from their own Parith, because the Minister is no Preacher, to another Parish that thath a preaching Minister. When if these be Pastors, and feed the Flock, they had more need to drive such Men to Preaching Ministers, than from them.

L. But the Validity of the Sacrament dependeth not on the worthi-

ness or ability of the Minister.

M. I. But the Edification, and consequently the Salvation of Souls, bath no small dependance on the Ability and Ministration of skilful faithful Pastors; as Mens Health and Lives do on skilful Phyficians. And no man should deny himself the benefit of fuch that can lawfully have it, nor should starve his Soul in Obedience to Canons. If Preaching, and that foundly and skilfully, be as needless as such men precend, why did Christ Preach, and fend out Preachers? and why did Paul to dreadfully charge Timothy, 2 Tim. 4. 1, 2. to Preach the Word, and be instant in feason and out of season, &c. And why doth he so urge the Ephefian Elders, Ad. 20. to imitate him that taught them publickly and from house to house, day and night with tears. And why do the Prelates make every Priest Covenant in their Ordination to instruct the People out of the Scripture, and with all faithful diligence to Minister Doctrine, and teach the People with all diligence to observe God's Commandements, and to use both publick and private Monitions and Exhortations, as well to the fick as the whole, within their Cures, as need shall require, and occasion shall be given. Why do they Ordain them all to be faithful Differenters of the Word of God? Is all this done by mere Reading that which a Woman or a Boy of 12 years old can read as well as they? Do these Men know what Souls are worth? how

how the Reason and Will of Man are moved? How strong Sin

L. But it is the Sacraments that they are forbidden to go far from

an unpreaching Minister.

M. 1. Other Canons also forbid them oft to Hear in other Parishes. 2. If my Need and God's Law oblige me to choose a better Pastor than that ignorant Reader, tho' in another Parish; is it not fit, and my Duty to Communicate with him that I

justly take for my Pastor?

Moreover, I must tell you, that when an Ignorant Fellow taketh on him the Sacred Office which he is unfit for, and so liveth in the constant Sin of omission, and of Prophanation of Holy Things, and of betraying Souls, I take it to be a Sin to harden and encourage such a bold Presumer in so great Evil, and to encourage People that need better to be content with such a Pastor; Tho' I determine not whether he have the Essentials of the Ministry, and tho' I doubt not but the Sacraments are no nullities

to them that take him for a true Minister.

And yet I must add, that there are fome Abilities Essential. without which no Man is truly a Minister of Christ: And this Essential Ability, as certainly reacheth to the work of Teaching. as to Administring Sacraments: He is not worthy the Name of a Minister that denies this: I would not strain this Necessity over high: But I say, that he is no Minister that wants Essential Ability: And if the Papifts and their Emissaries would make the People believe that all not ordained by Prelates are no Ministers, and that fuch excellent Men as Blondet, Chamier, Sadeel, Dalle, and all fuch abroad are none, I think them more excusable who take him for none that cannot Preach, and must be forbidden to Expound any Doctrine. If it were for want of Tongue and Voice he could not read: If it be for want of Knowledge. can that Man be by Office a Teacher of Christian Doctrine that knoweth it not, and cannot teach it? and cannot do that for his Flock that every Parent and Husband should do , whom the Children and Wives are commanded to learn of.

L. A man may read found Doffrine that under frunds it not, and by

reading may teach others.

M. But he is not capable of the Office of a Teacher of Christianity, that understands it not : no, nor so much as of Christianity it self, or adult Baptism. A Turk that believeth not the Gospel

Gospel may read it: And you may write it on a Pillar, and that may teach Men, and yet Pillars and Books are not Paftors.

L. But what's all this to your Conformity ?

M. T. It's unlawful for me to Swear Obedience to this. 2. Or to publish an Excommunication against good Christians, for not despising their Souls, and the Preaching of the Gospel. 3. Or to repel fuch Persons if they seek to me for any Pastoral Helps, and Sacramental Communion.

Chap. XXXIII. Point XXX. Of Canon 58, that maketh the Surplice necessary to Ministration.

M. I Am not determining whether it be any Sin to wear a Surplice, nor confuring any man for it: But when it is known how many learned and excellent Ministers have been against it, I take it for a greater Sin than I will name, to eject them from the Ministry for it; and I cannot approve of fuch a Canon. But enough of this before.

Chap. XXXIV. Point XXXI. Of Christening all Children without Exception, according to Canon 68.

M. The words are ["No Minister shall refuse or delay to "Christen any Child according to the Form of the Book of Common Prayer, that is brought to the Church to "him upon any Sunday or Holy-Day to be Christened.

I have faid so much of this before, that I here only say briefly,

1. This supposeth a false or unproved Doctrine, that the Infants of all Atheifts, Infidels, Jews, Hereticks, Blofphemers, oc. are in the Covenant of Grace, fo far as to have right to be put by Baptism into present Possession of Pardon, and of right to Salvation.

2. When none must be delayed that are brought to Church, the Minister cannot so much as enquire whether the God-fathers know what Christianity is, or are Christians, or Jews, or Infidels. Or whether ever they received the Lord's Supper; which the Canon makes necessary.

3. Till they have given the Church proof from God's Word, that all Infants in the World have right to Baptism, it is too great Domination over mens Faith to command Obedience on pain of Suspension. Had we given no better proof for the Holiness and Baptism of the Seed of the Faithful, than these men bring for the Seed of Insidels, most good Christians had turned Anabaptists long ago.

Chap. XXXV. Point XXXII. Of Can. 72. Against Fasts. and Prayer.

L. V Hat are the words of that Canon?

"License and Direction of the Bishop of the Diocess first ob"tained and had under his Hand and Seal, appoint or keep any
"Solemn Fasts, either publickly or in private Houses, other than
"such as by Law are, or by Publick Authority shall be appointed; Norshall be wittingly present at any of them, under pain
of Suspension for the first Fault, of Excommunication for the
"second, and of Deposition from the Ministry for the third.
"Neither shall any Minister (not Licensed as is aforesaid) presume
to appoint or hold any Meetings for Sermons, & c.---nor attempt
by Fasting and Prayer to cast out any Devil, & e.

L. All this was done to prevent Abuses.

M. It fell out well that they did not forbid Christianity or reading Scripture in a known Tongue, to prevent abusing it: And next, that they forbad not Law and the use of Reason.

which is most of all abused.

But do not you theik that they make very unworthy Men Ministers, or that they change or maim the Pastoral Office, when no Minister, no not the wisest may be trusted to fast and pray with his Neighbours? Should a Master of a Family be forbidden this in his House? the Jews forbad it not to Cornelius. What jealouses have such a Clergy of one another? And of Preaching, Fasting and Praying? What if some Neighbours have some great Temptations, some great Guilt, some great Danger, by a Plague; or the like, or some great Affliction (some Friends near Death) or some important Business of great moment, (as Marriage, Trayvel, Navigation, &c.) Must the Bishop know all their secrets that

that their Pastor at home must know? Or is he a capable Judge for many Hundred Parishes when they must Fast or Pray? Or tid you ever know any go to him for such a License? Are not those unworthy Ministers that be not fit to be trusted to Fast and Pray with their People, while the Law is open to punish all abuses of it? And are not those over-subject to Prelacy that will Swear Obedience in this, any more than against Preaching the Gospel? Dan. 6. 5. We shall not find any occasion against this Daniel, except we find it concerning the Law of his God.

Chap. XXXVI. Point XXXIII. Of the Excommunication of the three last Canons.

M. The quality of the rest of the Canons resolve me, that it is unlawful for me, if commanded, to publish an Excommunication against any upon the three last.

L. What be the three laft ?

M. The 139th is ["Whosoever shall hereafter affirm, that the "Sacred Synod of this Nation in the Name of Christ, and by the "King's Authority assembled, is not the true Church of Eng"land by Representation, let him be Excommunicate, and not "restored till he repent and publickly revoke this his wicked "Error.

L. What fault can you find with this?

M. I. No Man can tell what is the Church representative, till they know which is the Church real. And this they tell us not, either as to Matter or Form. I. Whether the Church real be only the Clergy, or also the Laity? Whether the King and Parliament, Nobles, Gentry and Commons, be all Represented in the Convocation? If yea, by what Law or Power? And may we say that King and Parliament do what these do? What need they then after to confirm their Canons? And they that hold the Church Laws bind in Conscience as such before King and Parliament confirm them, will bring King and Parliament under their Obedience, if not Excommunication. But if they pretend not to represent the King and Laity, they falsly exclude them from being part of the Church.

2. They are utterly disagreed de Forma what the Church of England is: either it hath an Ecclesiastical constitutive Soveraign

R 2

Power,

Power, or not. If not, it is not an Ecclesiastick Body Politick. And of late their disputing Doctors, plainly confess that it hath no such specifying Summa Potestas, and so is formally no Political governed Church. The King's Government of it by the Sword, which none deny, they say, is but an Accident of it, and not Essential to the Church. And so in sum, it is but a meer Community, or a voluntary Confederacy, of many Churches, that make no unifying Politic. And that is, to be a Church only in a loose and not proper sence, as the Assembly at Nimegen was a Kingdom.

3. I doubt not but Thousands of Lay-Men, and many Differenting Ministers, are true Parts of the Church of England; And therefore that the Convocation represented our part, only

of that Church.

4. If they be but a Community, they can make no Laws; but only Contracts: Laws are only the Acts and Influments of Rulers. Therefore we owe no Obedience to them as being no Commands of Rulers, till the Civil Power make them Laws: fave as particular Patters may make them Laws to their feveral Flocks.

5. If they make them obligatory Church-Laws as the Acts of the Convocation, then it feems the Representative Church governeth the Real; and the Presbyters in Convocation exercise a Legislative Power, which is the highest that Bishops can pre-

tend to.

6. These being left thus in uncertainty in the dark, how comes that Man to deserve Excommunication, or be wickedly erroneous, that herein declareth his diffent. I dare not publish such an Excommunication if commanded.

L. What is the 140th Canon?

M. Whosoever shall affirm, that no manner of Person, either of the Clergy or Lasty, not being then particularly assembled in the said sacred Synod, are to be subject to the Decrees thereof in Causes Ecclesiastical (made and ratifyed by the King's Majesty's Supream Authority) as not having given their Voice to them, Let him be Excommunicated, and not restored, or.

Here craftily in a Parenthesis, they put in the King's Authority, and if they mean only his Obligation on us, no one of us denieth it: But because their disputing Doctors take that but as

an Accident, we may fay, that the Papifts themselves are oft put to say, that General Councils bind not the absent, till they receive them; And the Franch long received not the Council of Trent, nor many Churches other Councils.

L. What is the last Canon?

M. The 141st (for so many Church-Commandments we have, God's Ten being but a little part of our Religion) is, "Whoever shall affirm that the Sacred Synod assembled as aforesaid, was a Company of such Persons as did conspire to gether against Godly and Religious Professors of the Gospel, and that therefore both they and their Proceedings in making Canons and Constitutions in Causes Ecclesiastical by the King's Authority----Let them be Excommunicated and

not restored, oc.

Here again we doubt not of the King's obligatory Power: But what the Persons and their Works were, I think a Point that Christians may differ about, and not deserve Excommunication. It seems they could foresee what Men would judge of them: and no wonder, tho' they had not the Gift of Prophecy. I am none of their Judge, but leave God's Work to himself: But I must say, that this Book of Canons doth no whit increase my esteem of Council, of Presacy, of Humane Canons or Clergies Laws, nor of the particular Bishops and Clergy that made them. And that I will neither publish such Excommunications, nor promise or swear to do it: Tho' I know that stretching pretences satisfie some Men; like theirs that own the name of Sacred to that Synod, because Sacrum quod santium, simul execrabile signus, A professed and relative Sancity may be granted them.

Chap. XXXVII. Point XXXIV. Of renouncing all Obligations from the Covenant, as on me or any other, to endeavour any alteration of Church Government.

L. This is now ceased at the end of twenty years; what need you mention this?

M. 1. I thought you had defired to know why we conformed not for the twenty years past. 2. I suppose that the like is still imposed on others, in the Corporation Act, the Vestry Act, the Militia

Militia A&, and the Marrow of it still imposed on us in the Oxford Oath.

.L. And what have you against it?

M. First I'll tell you what we have not against it, because we

are commonly here falfly accused.

1. It is none of our Controversie whether this Oath or Covenant was unlawfully made and imposed both on the people and the King; wedeny none of this.

2. It is none of our Controversie, whether there be not some part of the Matter of it that is unlawful: We deny not that.

3. It is none of our Controversie, whether it was not unlawfully taken: We justifie not that as to our selves, tho we are not judges of the sin of King and Lords, and others whom we have no Calling to condemn.

4. It is none of our Controversie, whether this, or any other Covenant or Vow do bind us to Rebellion, Sedition, or any un-

lawful Act; we renounce all fuch Obligation.

5. Yea, we hold that neither this, nor any other Vows of our own, can prevent any Obligation that the King hath Authority to impose upon us, in things great or small; else men might disable Magistrates to rule them, and exempt themselves from Obedience by Vowing before hand not to obey.

6. I add for my felf, that I hold my felf bound by this Covenant to nothing, which I had not been bound to if I had never taken it. For I never thought that by Vows we may make new Religions or Laws to our felves, but only bind our felves to that

which God doth make our Duty.

L. Where then is the danger or sin that you fear ?

M. I. As to the Obligation of the Vow on my felf.

II. As to the Obligation of it on all others.

11. As to the Matter of altering Church Government.

1. I am neither so blind, wicked or singular, as to deny the common Doctrine of Casuists, Protestants and Papists; that tho' a Vow be both sinfully imposed, and sinfully taken, yet it bindeth in materia necessaria & licita: Yea, that if part of the matter be unlawful, yet it bindeth to that part which is lawful. Else a Knave might exempt himself from the performance of all his Vows, by soisting in some unlawful matter, or by making them in an unlawful manner.

Therefore if there be any thing that is necessary or lawful in that Vow. I believe that I am thereto bound.

I. So Rebels that think it lawful to rebel, will fay that the Cove-

nant binds them to it.

M. So he that thinks Gods Law doth bind him to Murder or Rebellion, will plead Gods Law for it: But doth it follow that

Gods Law bindeth him to that or to nothing?

It is not mens false sayings that make or prove such Obligation? He that will fay that Gods Laws, or the Kings, or the Covenant, binds him to fin, must be punished for his Sin and Lie, and vet all just Obligations stand.

L. But you are bound before by other Obligations to all that is good

in it, and not by the Covenant?

M. That's an inference contrary to Reason and Christianity; Can a Man of any Reason once dream that a Man may not have many Obligations to one and the same Duty? or that the second Oath binds not to it because the first did? you vowed your self to Christ in Baptism, and you renew the same in the Lords Supper: Are all the latter null, because the first is valid? What if you many times Swear Allegiance to the King? Do none of these bind you but the first?

L. II. But do you think that endeavours to alter Church Governa

ment is any of your lawful or necessary Matter ?

M. You know that there is a Law that maketh it a pramunire penalty, to fay that the Covenant bindeth one to endeavour any alteration of Church Government: And why then will you put such a question to me? All that I will say is this, that as I say not that any one is bound to it by this Covenant; so I am not so good a Casuist as to be able to justifie and acquit all other men from all fuch Obligations. Let them look to themselves, for my part I will be no youcher or furety for their indemnity.

L. III. This brings up to the other part of your Reasons; and why

may you not fay that none is fo bound?

M. 1. Because God never made me a Casuist to determine the

case for all men in three Kingdoms.

1193

2. Because it is a new and monstrous thing for one private man (yearmany thousand private men) to be forced to such an Office and Undertaking. Every man mult answer for himself before God and Man: Nowa caput fequitur. If I were commanded to be furety for every man in England, Scotland and Ireland, but for the. the Peace or good Behaviour, I should think it a piece of as palpable injustice as most ever the World knew. But if I must undertake to answer for all their Souls, in a case where thousands of Learned men have been of the contrary mind, I'll first think

how to answer for my own.

Yet as to that part which I am certain of my self, I do not scruple it. I dare subscribe that the Covenant bindeth no man to be False or Rebellious against the King, or to endeavour to alter our Monarchy, or to deprive the King of any of his Rights; nor to endeavour to change any part of Church Government which Christ hath instituted for continuance in his Church. And is not

this enough?

But whether our Diocelan frame, as diftinct from that which Arch-bishop Ofher called the Primitive Government; be changeable; or whether hone of their Courts, and Lay-mens power of the Keys be changeable, or ought to be changed? And whether no man may endeavour it in his place and calling? I think a man may be faved without knowing. And I think, if you ask a man, if King and Parliament should change the Office of an Official, a Commissary, a Chancellor, &c. or should set up a Bishop in every Market Town, is it a fin against God? or is it unlawful to obey them? or if it be lawful to do it, and any of them Swear to endeavour it in his place, is he bound to perform that Oath? If to all this a man fay, I cannot tell, I am not Learned enough in Law and Divinity to refolve such cases; but I am resolved my fets to live in Loyalry and Peace. I would ask any man that hath not put off humanity, whether that man be fit to determine the cafe for all other men in three Kingdoms, and to be a voucher for all their Souls in a case that he understandeth not himself.

How doth this make you a Voucher for their Souls?

M. I. The case is of exceeding weight: If I should publickly declare that no man is thus bound by a Vow, and I should prove mistaken.

I. Then I become guilty of all these mens sin by justifying it as no fin.

2. And I am guilty of cruelty to their Souls in open telling them that they need not perform their Vows, nor repeat of non-performance.

3. And Perjury is one of the heimousest sins on Earth.

4. And the Perjury of Millions or Nations is yet one of the grievousest degrees of guilt.

5. And I do my worst to make God destroy or forsake sinch a Land. And what yet can I do worse? I say, if in justifying them I should be mistaken.

mistaken, what a guilt should I incur? And doth Nature or Scripquire bind me to run fo great a bazard for fo many thousand others.

Besides, he that will be a Casuist must know all the case: there are hundreds and thousands put upon these decisions, that being then Children, knew not who made the Covenant; nor how it was imposed or taken, and many that know not what it

is, and never faw it.

And there are thousands, if not millions, that took it, whose Faces I never faw, and know not what moved them, nor in what sence they took it; and Casuists say, that if a man mistake the Imposers sence, he is bound to keep it in the sence that he understood it when he took it, if a lawful one; especially if the Imposers had no Authority, or their sence was doubtful.

And it is not uncharitable for me to think that none of the Kings Compounding Lords or Clergy that after took it, did take it in a fence which they thought found? And must I tell them all that none of them is bound to keep it in that found sence?

I will not run the danger of having thousands in judgment to fuffer for Perjury, and faying, This man declared that it was no fin. If they are all Innocent, what need they my justification, when they stand or fall by the judgment of God. If they prove guilty, my declaring it no fin, will not acquit them, but condemn my own Soul by tempting them to impenitence. I do not fay that they are obliged by this Vow herein, nor I will not say they are not. There are many matters first to be known, if we agree in point of Doctrine; and I know that it's an easie thing for confident men to multiply words to prove all lawful in this Oath, and to Swear that it is rebellious Hearts that cause our doubtings: (and so say the Papists of the Protestants) But whatever they fay or threat, I will not by their confidence and talk be drawn, to cast my Soul into so great a hazard. All men are not so bold in fuch things as fome.

Chap. XXXVIII. Point XXXV. Of the Oxford Oath, that we will never endeavour any alteration of Church Government.

M. THE Oxford Act is not content that we say that we are not bound by the Covenant to endeavour any alteration of Church Government, but we must say and Swear that we never will endeavour it, as any other way obliged to it.

L. The meaning is, that you will never endeavour it by Rebellion,

Sedition, or unlawful means.

M. The Parliament knew how to speak their minds. By such Expositions you may Swear almost any thing in the World, and no Government shall have any security by your Oaths: The words are contrivedly as universal against all endeavour as can be spoken.

2. But I'll presently confute you undeniably.

You know Church and State Government are conjoined in the Oath, and the Church put first. Will you say as to State Government, that the meaning only is, That I will not endeavour to depose the King, or alter Monarchy by Rebellion, or any unlawful means, but only by lawful means; if you do, you'l soon be told home, that the Oath doth mean, That no means is lawful to such an end, but the work it self as well as the means is for-snorn.

L. But the meaning is only, that you will not endeavour to alter

Episcopacy, and not all other Offices and Courts.

M. This is, as palpable a falsification as the former: For 1. The words are a most express abjuration of endeavouring any alteration of Government at all. And if you take the word [Alteration] strictly, it more commonly signifieth a change of Quality or Manner, the of Effence. But if you take it largely, it com-

prehendeth both.

2. And I appeal to any mans Conscience whether that was, or is the Bishops sence: Go ask them, My Lords, "If I endeavour but "to reduce Diocesans to every Corporation, & to take down your "Lordships, and great Revenues, and your Chancellors Courts, and all the rest of your Humane Officers, will you take it for "no breach of my Oath? and I warrant you they will soon resolve you.

3. Yet

3. Yet I shall fullier convince you: The Bishops and Parliament are of the mind of the Church of England: And the Canons do most fully speak the Churches mind. And the Seventh Canon before cited, when it makes it ipso facto Excommunication to call the Church Government sinful, tells you, that they extend this to [Arch-bishops, Bishops, Deans, Arch-deacons, and the rest that bear Office therein.

And I believe if you should say that I take my Oath to bind me from endeavouring no change of the Government of the State, but only of the Essence of Monarchy, you would quickly

feel the Error of your Exposition.

L. But I can affure you, that many able Conforming Ministers take the Declaration in the Act of Uniformity, in such sences as afore-

faid 110

M. Our King is King of Scotland as well as of England, and he hath thus declared his sence in the case of the Earl of Argyle, and the Reasons of it are considerable. And do you think that it can be the true sence in England, and deserve preferment as to Loyal and Obedient Ministers, which deserveth Death it self in Scotland? can you wish for a clearer Exposition?

L. And why will you not Swear never to endeavour any alteration,

if you be required fo to do?

M. I have read Dr. Stillingsleer's Irenicon, and many such Books, in which I see how great a number of our greatest Divines, as well Arch-bishop Cranmer, took the Form of Church Government to be alterable, and not fixed by Christ: And if the Doctor have changed his sudgment, that changeth not the Authority of those that he citeth.

2. I have in my full Treatife of Episcopacy told you why I cannot but with more than one thing in our Ecclesiastical Courts

and Government changed.

ther it be no change of the State Government, to make all the Church Government unchangeable, and so to disable the King to change it: And how to reconcile the two parts of the Oath? And whether if the whole Church Government as fixed, must thus be Sworn to as Monarchy is, it alter not our Constitution? Or at least be not a perillous Innovation.

deavour an Alteration, e. g. of Lay Mens Power of the Keys, or the greatness of Dioceses, I am alraid of being sworn beforehand to disobey them.

L. But the Parliament meant not to bind themselves berein.

M. I grant it. (Tho' being Church of England men, the 7th Canon aforesaid maketh it doubtful.) But when they have bound all the Subjects in the Land, and themselves among others. whenout of Parliament, and when it's Excommunication to charge any of the Church-Offices with Sin ; I think the Church-Government is fixed as unalterable. I ask you, Did the Parliament bind themselves against altering Monarchy, of the Succession ? If they did, then it feems they did so by Church-Government, when they put it in many Oaths before that of the State. If they did not, then they have fixed Monarchy no more than Church-Government by this Oath. Therefore when they bind all in the same Oath from endeavouring any Alteration of Church-Government, they their that they intend the fixing of it. And tho' some think it leaveth room for Petitioning. I do not believe that the Law or Oath leave any Men at Liberty to Petition against Monarchy, which is here conjoyned.

But my great reason of Non-Conformity herein yet remaineth. If the faults of Church-Government should prove but the tenth part as great as is feared by many, and said by those that write about it, what a cremendous thing is it to make a deliberate Solemn Covenant and Oath, never to endeavour any amendment of it, nor to perswade any man to repent or

amend.

If the Germans, who are reported to be addicted to Drunkenness, or other Nations to Whoredom or Thievery, should take an Oath that they will never repent or amend, nor perswade any other to it, what a case were this?

L. I confess if the Corruptions of Church-Government should prove as great Evils, as some conceive, it would be a beinous Sin indeed to Swear never to repent; or to endeavour to amend it.

The old Nonconformists thought that the frame of * English Prelatical Government was far worse than all

their Ceremonies and other Corruptions fet together. They thought it a Platform fitted to exalt Pride and Covetousness, and to propagate all ungodliness, and to drive serious Piety

* And Bucer thought fo too, when he fo earnestly wrote for another fort of Discipline to King Edvs. 6.

and Conscience out of the Land, upon the accounts before mentioned. 1. By the largeness of Dioceses making Discipline impossible, and so keeping almost all the wicked in the bolom of the Church, secure from the Power of the Keys. 2. By putting down all Bishops that should be in all the large Dioceles, save that one, and restraining all the Parish-Ministers from true use of the Keys, unless they will be ruin'd by it. 3. By fetting up Secular Courts under the name of Ecclefiastick, and making it their Employment by 141 Canons, which are their felf-made Contrivances, to hinder and ruine laborious Preachers, and Men of tender Consciences, and to cherish the contrary fort. 4. By putting the decretive Power of the Keys into the Power of these Lay-Men. 5. By setting up Paffors over all the Land without the confent of the Flock, by the meer Will and Election of Great Men and Patrons. 6. By driving unfit and unwilling persons to eat and drink Damnation in the Sacrament. 7. By driving multitudes of good People from the needful means of their Salvation. 8. By bringing good Men that grieve for all this, into Odium, for being against it.

And if this prove the case, I had rather lie in Gaol till Death, than Swear or Promise never to endeavour that I or any should repent and amend it. Do you think it not contrary to our Baptismal Vow, in which we promise Obedience to Christ to

our lives end?

I read that the Israelites were greatly reproved for Worship in the High Places, and that they seldom repented: But I read not that ever they took an Oath or Covenant never to endeavour to amend: I find that when Israel was made to Sin by the Calves of Dan and Betbel, that they went on and amended not: But I read not that they Covenanted or Sware never to amend. I find that the Pharisees were heinous Sinners, that by their Traditions made void the Law of God: But many of them came to John's Baptism, and professed some Repentance; and

tho' obstinacy cut off the Nation of the Jews, I read not that they drew the Nation into an Oath or Covenant never to amend. When Christ came to save the World, it was by a Covenant of Faith and Repentance. And if I should see the World once confederate in a Covenant never to believe, repent and amend, I should call it the Kingdom of Satan, and thence date our Accounts Chronological, with a Regnante Diabolo, as in France they did a while, with a Regnante Christo. (of which Vide

Blondellum).

I do not fay that these old Nonconformists were in the right, nor that Bucer did no whit over-value the Discipline which he proposed to King Edward the Sixth; nor that this Oath containeth all the foresaid quilt. But I say, If I should prove so, and venture on it in uncertainty, when the Judgment of so many Parliaments, Lawyers, Divines abroad and at home are against such kind of Swearing: what a case should I bring my Soul into? National Oaths, especially such as seem to me to fix every Church-Office, from the Arch-Bilhop to the Official, if not the Apparitor, as unalterable in the very Constitution of the Kingdom; even putting them before the State, are Matters of greater Consequence than to be rashly ventured on by me: Even the Long Parliament that made the filencing Acts, restored not the Canon and Et catera Oath of 1640. which bound Men never to confent to such Alteration of Church-Government, by Arch-bishops, Bishops, Deans and Chapters, Arch-deacons, &c.

Chap. XXXIX. Point XXXVI. Of Subscribing and Swearing against the Position as Traiterous, of taking Arms by the King's Authority against those that are Commissioned by him in Pursuance of such Commission.

L I Am sure you can have nothing against this, unless by forced

L Exposition of the words.

M. I am fure that I abhor all forced Expositions, and all Treason, Rebellion and Sedition. But here, because you are a Lawyer, I will come to you only as a Client or Learner, intreasing you to resolve all the common Objections, that I may do what I do in Truth, Judgment and Righteousness.

L. I

L. I doubt not but I fhall eafily refolve them all.

M. I. Do you not believe that the first Clause, that it is unlawful to take Arms against the King upon any presence what soever, doth extend also to this taking Arms against any Commission'd

by him on any presence whatfoever?

L. No doubt of it: For this of not taking Arms against those Commission'd by him, is but Expository of the former, not taking Arms against the King. Else Men that sight against his Army may say, they sight not against the King. And can the King make War without an Army.

M. I believe you are in the right. 2. I ask you, what is meant by the King's Authority? Is it not by his Laws that are

the highest Acts of his Authority?

L. There is, no doubt; but what's done by Law, is done by the King's Authority.

M. 3. How are we to know the King's Commission ?

L. By bis Seal.

M. I never heard of many of the Parliaments Army that ever faw any fealed Commission of those they fought against: and would that excuse them?

L. No, because it was notorious that the King owned the War.

M. But suppose that any of his Soldiers came to take free Quarter, or Horses, or Plunder, or to possess any Man's House or Lands, how shall we know that they had Commission for these? And may they be resisted till they shew their Commissions?

L. No; because it will hinder the King's Service.

.M. 4. What Seal must it be that must make a Commission?

L. Either the Great or Little Seal.

M. 5. Is it possible for the Law and a Commission to be

contrary?

L. Some say No, because it is no Commission, if it be contrary to the Law. And some say No, because it must be supposed that the King will grant no Commission contrary to Law. And some say Yea; But when they are contrary, the Commission is to be obeyed against the Law. And some say Yea; And that the Law is to be obeyed against the Commission.

M. But if you are of so many Minds, how are Doubters resolution

ved, what to Swear and what to do?

r. If you fay, It's no Commission that is contrary to Law, who shall be Judge when it is contrary to Law? If every Subject be Judge, it is as easie to Rebel, and say the King's Commission is illegal, as it is to deny all the Authority of his Commissions?

L. The Judges and Courts must judge what is Legal.

M. If the Judges, yea, or the Parliament shall judge the King's Commissions illegal, will that make it lawful for the Subjects to refift them.

L. I dare not say so. Therefore we must come to the later Opinion, that the King himself is Judge, and that it is Legal eo nomine, because it is his Commission, and that Commissions suspend all contrary Laws. The I know many abborthis, that would not have the

King's Will to be above all Laws, and Rule to be Arbitrary.

M. But suppose it be so, the Subjects know the Lams to be the King's by Promulgation: How shall they be sure that Commissions are not counterfeit if they be contrary to them? Must every Fellow be believed that produceth a Commission? may it not be counterfeited?

L. The King's Officers that keep his Seals must be trusted by us,

seeing the King trusteth them.

M. 1. Then the King's Officers are fet above all Laws and Judicatures, as well as the King. 2. And why then are Judges Sworn not to be moved from Justice by the Great or Little Seal?

3. But must we trust every Man that shews a Seal, that he did not counterfeir it?

L. I confess that the Laws are most Publick and certain Notifications of the King's Will. But it's easie to raise more Doubts than the

wisest man can answer.

M. Is it not possible for two Commissions to be contrary? what if one Man have a Patent for Life to be Lord Admiral. or Lieutenant of the Tower, or the like, and another come with a Commission to put him out and take Possession; which must be obeyed?

L. He that bath the last Commission. The former may not resist

bim : For the later nullifieth the former.

M. Is it not then in the Power of the Keeper of the Seals to depose the King at his pleasure, by sealing new Commissions to Conspirators to seize on his Garisons, Guards, Treasure, Magazines, Forts and Navy?

L. It

L. It must be taken for granted that the King will give no Com-

mission against himself.

M. But his former Officers are not himself, and if they must resign to new Commissioners, how know they but it is the King's Will?

L. I tell you we must trust those that the King trusteth.

M. Then the question is, whether it shall be in the Power of a Lord Keeper to depose the King, or betray the Kingdom to whom he will, because the King trusteth him with the Seal; Or in the Power of a Parliament to judge whether a Commission be Legal, or whether Laws or Commissions be of greater Authority.

L. There is no end of answering absurd Suppositions: It is not to be supposed that the King will do wrong, or so far trust untrusty Men.

M. I. We must suppose that a Parliament may do wrong.

2. Izaakson and other Historians say, That King John made over his Kingdom to the Pope, and offered it to the Mahometan King of Morocco, to help him against his Lords.

3. Is it not possible that a Queen Mary, or a Charles the Ninth of France might do what they did.

4. Have not many Kings been ruined by trusting Traitors. Did the King of Portugal's Brother and Lords answer the trust reposed in them, when they Deposed and the Brother, sed in them, when they Deposed and the Brother, that compired with some Nobles.

fed in them, when they Deposed and imprisoned him? Is not History full of such Examples? Who deposed the Emperor Ludovicus Pius, but his trusted Clergy and Servants? Who deposed the Empereur Henry 4. but his own Son? and his Clergy and Lords? Abundance of the

Clergy and Lords? Abundance of the ro's Epiflus.

Greek Emperours were so used, and some English and Scottish Kings.

to depose him, and take his Kingdom, and how the Senate

of Rome detected the Plot.

and defeated it by the help of

Cicero, then Proconful there,

is worth the reading in Cice-

L. Some body must be trusted; and if they be Traitors, who can

beto it ?

M. But shall all entrusted Lord-Keepers or Lord Privy-Seals be so far encouraged to Treason, as to tell them, If you will depose the King, who can help it? We are all Sworn not to resist you? How stands this with our Oath of Allegiance to the King?

And is not the King's life and welfare the interest of the Kingdom? and is he not an Enemy to the Kingdom who destroyeth

the King? And do you think that one Lord-Keeper is fitter to be trusted with the Safety of the Kingdom, than a Parliament and all the Courts of Justice? When was there a Lord-Chancellor more Trusted and Honoured than Sir Edward Hyde Earl of Clarendon, who had long been faithful to the King? And yet neither King nor Parliament thought that he was more to be trusted with the Sasety of the King and Kingdom, than the Parliament was: Else they had not Banished him.

L. A Fool may ask more Questions, than a Wife man can answer;

and find Knots that no one can untye.

M. And are all we Fools that never Studied the Law, fit to Answer all those Questions by an Oath, which you that are a Lawyer cannot Answer by Discourse? will you become absert to Answer them, when we have Sworn them? If you were at a loss about a Law Case in Westminster-Hall, would you set all the Country and City Housholders that are Ignorant, to decide it by Swearing which side is right? were it not wiser to cast loss to determine it? And have those Men Humanity and Conscience, and do they believe there is a God, that will Swear that of such Law Cases, which Lawyers profess to be too hard for them, and which they are disagreed in as a common Controversie in all Lands.

And I pray you, why are Nations fo follicitous to have Laws for their Security, if a Chancellor be above them all, and by a

Commission may cast them off at his pleasure?

L. We do not fay the Chancellor may do it, but the King.

M. Doth not the Chancellor keep the Seal? and must we not

take them for the Kings Commissions which he sealeth.

L. If you know that the Commission be notoriously against Law, you may suspect that the King consented not, and may stay till you enquire of

bim whether it be bis Act and Will?

M. But 1. If one come with a Commission to take possession of the King's Treasure; Magazines, Garisons; Navy, &c., and attempt it by force, may he be resisted by force till the King's Mind be enquired of? If not, he may depose the King and seize his Strengths before we can come to enquire of the King. All have not access to the King. If it were the Garison of News Castie, Berwick, Carlisle, &c. it will be too late to fend at the King. But if such Commissioners may so long be resisted, then how can we Swear that upon no presence what soever his Commissioners may be resisted by his own Authority?

2. And

2. And if a Highway-man shew a Commission to take my Purse, he will not stay till I know whether the King consent to his Commission.

I. Tou stretch the sence of the Subscription and Oath further than the Parliament intended it. Do you think that if half a Dozen or more Irishmen had come into the Parliament House, and shewed a Commission Real or Commercies to kill them all, Lords and Commons, that they would not have defended their Lives with their Swords? And do you think then that ever they meant to bind all the Subjects against that

which they would do themselves.

M. And do you think that we should all Swear to that which they never meant to bind us to? Is there any limitation in the words? Or can any words be devised more to exclude exceptions than [not on any presence what sever.] But self is near men, and is not so concerned in other mens cases as their own. For my part, I believe that they meant plainly and universally as they speak, tho they might forget their own case: My proof is from the express words of the Militia Act, where they determine that neither the whole Parliament or part, hath any Power of offensive or defensive Arms against the Kings Commissioners: Much less have any single Persons.

L. Do you think that ever they intended to destroy all mens Property, and defence of Life or Goods, and to repeal all the Laws that bind Sheriffs by the Posse Comitatus to suppress Riots, and to execute the decrees of the Courts of Justice for Possessions or Dispossessions, tho any should show Commissions to the contrary, and to overshrow the Judges

Oaths.

M. I can no way judge what they meant, but by what they did. I find they have absolutely denied all right of defensive Arms in the Subject or Parliament, and that's all that I speak of: From whence I must needs suppose, that their sence was, that all men have Propriety in Estates, Wives, Children and Lives, and that the King ought to Govern them by Law, and wrong no Man, and that Judges ought to do right by Sentence, tho' the King forbid them. And that Sherists and Justices must ordinarily execute the Law: But if the King by force restrain them, or give Commission to any Subject by force to violate any Law or Sentence, or to take away any Mens Lives or Estates, it is not lawful by defensive Arms, for any Sherist, Justices, or any others to resist. This is plainly the sence of the Militia Act, and of our Oaths.

L. I pray you let us end this tender Controversy.

M. I have yet a few more doubts to be resolved.

Politicks being the Foundation of Juris-pradentia, I doubt not but you have studied Politicks: I pray you tell me why all Politicks placing a Republick in the predicament of Relation, do make the bonum publicum to be its essential terminus in the definition.

L. Because it is so indeed; it being no Civitas or Respublica that is not for the Common good; and therefore they make it the difference between a King and a Tyrant, that a King ruleth for the Com-

mon good, and a Tyrant for his private Ends and Interests.

M. But by your leave I think that diffinction ill and dange-roufly uttered: For every man hath some culpable selfsshness; and also the Kings Self-interest is Lawfully intended: For Commonwealths called Kingdoms being at first Constituted by Contract, no doubt there was necessary the Consent of both the Contracting Parties: None can be King against his Will. And do you think any Man would take a Crown only for the good of others, without security for his own just Interest, Honour and Prerogative, without which he cannot well Govern? Therefore I think that those Politicks too loosly describe Tyranny, by self-interest, and can prove no man a proper Tyrant in Exercisio (distinct from an Usurper) but him that seeks the destruction of the Commonwealth, whose good he is bound to preserve.

But I further ask you,

Do you think the Law of Man, or a Commission can abrogate the Law of Nature?

L. No Man ever affirmed it : For the Law of Nature is Gods

Law; yea his first and most Fundamental Law.

M. I will not deny it as to the stable Laws of Nature, tho' I think that Nature it self hath some mutable Laws, where the Nature of the matter is mutable. But then I would further know, whether to defend the Life of your self, Wife or Children against injurious Assault be any part of the Law of Nature.

L. Experience resolvesh that; what Man will not defend his Life that can? yea what Benst, or Bird, even the most harmless will not use such weapons as Nature hath given them, Horns, Feet,

Feeth, &c.

M. But Man hath Reason to rule natural inclinations: And fure a Malefactor if he could, should not defend his Life against Justice, I think not so much as by running away: Nor many fingle innocent Persons defend their Lives by a publick War, because a War is more hurtful to the Commonwealth than his death is: And the Common good is better than a single Persons, or a fews, and to be preser'd.

But I next ask, whether the Body of a Kingdom have by Gods Law of Nature a self-defending power against its notorious assaulting

Foes?

L. Do you think any man doubts of that? May not the King and Kingdom defend themselves against Invaders? If one man may defend his surfe or Life against another, a King and Kingdom is more worth than one man: You can hardly name one principle in the Law of Nature more undoubted, than that Kingdoms have a right to defend themselves if they can.

M. And may they not defend themselves, against Usurpers and Rebels, and Traytors at home, as well as against Forreign

Foes?

L. To deny that is Treason: How else should we defend the King? Was not Jack Straw, and many a Rebellion lawfully resisted? and did not the Lord Mayor of London Lawfully kill Wat. Tyler? and so of any other in his case.

M. But what if the Irish took on them to rise by the Kings Commission, and shew'd a Counterfeit of it, was it lawful for the Protestants to have resisted them to save their Lives and

Cities?

L. They (hould have fent to the King to know whether that Com-

mission was Counterfeit or not.

M. But before they could do that, Dublin had been loft, and all their Lives and Estates: And the two hundred thousand that were Murdered were not restored to Life again, when it was notified that they belied the King, and that they had not his Commission.

L. It was notorious by the nature of the case that they belied the King, and had none of his Commission, because it was so greatly o-

gainst his own Interest.

M. Will you allow any Countrey to refift an Army that shew the Kings Commission, if they are consident, or can prove that it is against his Interest?

L He

I. He is the Judge of his own Interest, when the Case cometh before him: Till them a doubtful, or at least a certain Commission may not be resisted: You would entangle the Case with difficulties that seldom fall out; but are you against resisting all known Com-

miffions?

M. You know that the Oaths and Subscriptions extend to all cases, and against any presence what some cases: What I am for or against I'll tell you more anon. But I ask further, the I am bound by the Fifth Commandment to believe that the Irish belied the King, and that the Score belied him, who in their Book called Truths Marisest affirm that He Commissioned the Irish by the Scots broad Seal, which was then in his possession in Scotland; do you think if the Score could have proved what they affirm, that it had been lawful to have resisted the trish, and defended the Protestants Lives and Cities in Ireland?

L. I will not answer such dangerous questions.

M. But must all ignorant men then Subscribe and Swear to

what you cannot, or dare not answer.

But I further ask you, about not relifting Commissions on any pretence whatsoever; what was the sence of the old Greek Philosophers and Orators in that case?

L. You know they were almost all bred up in Aristocratical or Democratical Republicks; and therefore no wonder if they are against us in

this and more.

M. What was the sence of the old, Roman Orators, Philoso-

phers and Historians as to this point?

L. They also were hatcht under Popular Government, and tho' the later fort magnific such excellent Monarchs as Augustus, Vespasian, Titus, Adrian, Antoninus Pius and Anton. Philos. and Alexarder Severus, yet it is no wonder that they were too much for resistant and deposing such as opposits the Commonwealth.

What was the sence of the Ancient Bishops and Clergy

herein.

L. They were long for patient suffering: But it is no wonder that when they grew wouldly and strong, they not only resisted, but deposed Emperors and Princes.

M. I pray which way go the Papist Casuists in this case of re-

fiftance. To and To

L. You cannot doubt of that when you know their Council's Laws for

for deposing Kings on Excommunication. And what wonder when they go on that false Supposition, that the People give the Prince his Power for their own good, and may take it from him if he turn it against them

to the hart of the Common-wealth.

M. I pray what lay Politick Writers, Papilts and Protestants in this case; I mean the Eminent ones, such as Bodin, Althusius, Arnisaus, Tholosanus, Befoldus, Choquierius, Menochius, Liebenthalius, Comzen, Koningh, Timplerus, Willius, Berekringer, and such others.

L. They commonly run one way, founding Power in the People, as being the Majestas Realis, and giving the King's and States a derived Majestatem Personalem, which being for the Common good, they may refise when it would desired it. They took their Politicks by Learning, from Aristotle, Plato, Cicero; and such Ancients, and no wonder if they follow them.

find. And I pray you which way go our famous Historians in this Point, such as Commines, Jovius, Guicciardine, Pomanus, Sleidan, Thuanus, Befoldus, Johnston, Fregins, and our English Math. Paris, Henry Huntington, Mat. Westminster, Malmsbury,

Hoveden, &cc.

L. You know they liv'd in times when Civil Wars were so frequent, and Princes so bad, and one pulling down another, that it's no wonder if they praise some resisters. But if you read those of our Age that lived in quiet Times and under good Princes, such as Cambden, Speed and Baker, you will find no such thing.

M. I pray which way go the famous Civilians herein, Inch as Gothofred, Hostoman, Cujacius, Wesnberbius, Parine, Duarenus,

and fuch others?

L. You know that the Civil Law is feecht from the Romans, and it's no wonder if it run in their strein.

M. I pray which way go the Canonists?

L. You know they are Physists, and fet up the Pope's Laws above the King's .. But our English Canons do not for

M. I pray which way go our late Protestant Bunyers, such as-

L. They are all for Loyalty Cand for the Proeminence of the Law and yet for the King's Prerogative fill to the law and yet for the King's Prerogative fill to the law and yet for the King's Prerogative fill to the law and yet for the Law and yet for the King's Prerogative fill to the law and yet for the La

M. I find some of the greatest Lawyers, that have defended Monarchy, such as William Barclay and Frozing, do name many Cases, in which it is lawful to resist: What think you of their Writings?

I. I will be no judge of them.

M. I pray what think you of those great Prelatifis that write, as Bishop Bisson, and Ri. Hooker, and fer. Taylor do, and that joined with Abbot and the Parliament against Dr. Land, Sibthorpe and Mainwaring: Which of them think you was in the right?

L. You put too many Questions. I will answer no more.

M And must we Ignorant Men swear that all these aforesaid

were deceived, and knew less than we?

Verily Sir, It feems a bold Oath for so great a Number of unlearned Men as are put upon it, and for us that are unlearned in the English Laws.

L. But I doubt all these hard Questions are but raised as dust to hide Jome Principles of Rebellion & What is your own judgment, and

bow will you give Security for your Loyalty?

M. They that think my Oath Security, take me for so horiest, as that I will not be Perjured. And if so, I have already taken the Oaths of Allegiance and Supremary, and an Oath of Fidelity as the King's Chaplain in Ordinary (tho' never called to exercise it.) And I have fully in a large Volume, called, Assemble Plea for Peace, declared my Political Principles, which after all thoughts I stand to: And no one hath given me a word of Exceptions against them to this day, after so many years, but some in meer Malice tell me of my Aphorisms revok't, without taking notice of this.

I here repeat that I am ready to engage to the utmost, I that I renounce all Rebellion, Treason and Sedition, and all Principles tending thereto; that I am for as much Power of Princes and Obedience of Subjects, as any Text of Scripture speaks for, or as is given or afferted by any General Council, or the Confession of any Christian Church that I have seen, except what is afcribed to the Pope and his Substitutes; And I hold it unlawfull to take Arms at all against the King; that is, against either his Authority, Person Rights or Prerogative, or against any lawfully Commissioned by him, yea, or unlawfully, except in such Cases as God's Law of Nature, or the King himself by Law or contrary Command shall bind Men to resist.

L. And fo you will suppose that Gode Law of Nature bindeth you in some Cases to refile . And will not all Rebels plead that Laws

M. Dare you say that there is no such Case? King James writeth, that a King may not make War against his whole Kingdom? It Ten Irishmen pretend a Commission to Kiss all the Parliament and Protestants in the Land, or to seize on the King's Garisons, or if King John give his Kingdom to the Pope, ask Hooker, Filson or Parliaments, what the Law of Nature saith to these Cases.

Chap. XL. Point XXXVII. Of Affenting and Consenting to the Damnatory Clauses of Athanatius's Creed.

L. T Hope you will not quarrel with the Creed.

M. I take the Creed called Arbanasus's (the Author is unknown) to be an excellent Explication of the Doctrine of the Trinty: And could wish that it were more used and learnt of all. But I am not so far to judge other Men, as to conclude all Men certainly damned for ever that are not so well skilled in that Mystery, as to believe every word there written.

L. I have beard Learned Men Jay, That the Affent and Consent want to be extended to the Damnatory Clauses, but only the Dollrinal

Articles.

M. They that can make Laws and Oaths speak or mean what they lift, need not stick at any thing. Is not the Damnatory part a part of the Book of Common-Prayer, and contained in it?

L. But shey prove thus, that it meaneth no such Consent or Approbation. The Liturgy requireth you to read the Apocrypha, and yet not to believe all things in it to be true, For, say they, divers obings in Tobit are evidently sale: And so tho it bind you to use and read Athanasius's Creed, it binds you not to believe all in it to be true.

M. This Cheat is too gross to deceive a School-Boy with. Is Athanasius's Creed a real part of the Common-Prayer Book, con-

tain'd in it, or not?

L. Tes, no doubt; we there find it both contained and presoribed Verbatim.

M. Is the Apocrypha any part of the Common-Prayer Book,

and contained in it, or not?

L. If there be any Sentences out of it there inserted, those are part; else the Apocrypha is no part of the Book: It is only the Order to read it that is a part.

u

M. Is

M. Is not this a palpable Deceit, to argue that we are not bound to Assent and Consent to that which is contained in the Book, because we are not so bound to that which is not contained in it?

Chap. XII. Point XXXVIII. Of Saying Common-Prayer twice a day every day in the Year ordinarily.

L. IT is but that you shall every day say the Morning and Evening Service, not being less by Sickness, or other urgent Cause. And

what have you against this?

M. I think when the Book was made, to help the ignorant Vulgar out of Popery, every day to use the Common-Prayer was a very good help to them. But the Case is much altered, and People now have more suitable Helps; and Ministers have so much other Work to do in their Studies, and with their Neighbours every day, and some Prayers to use more suitable to their Families and Closets, that it must needs be a finful Impediment against other Duties, to say Common-Prayer twice a day. If they were commanded to Preach twice a day, every day in the year, it would cause a finful Omission of other Duties, how good soever Preaching be in it self.

L. But then you have urgent Caufe of forbearance.

M. We are not for abusive dallying with Covenants about Sacred things: It is evident by the instance of Sickness, that the Authors of the Imposition, meant only extraordinary Causer as urgent, and not that we should take our ordinary Work for such an urgent Cause. As if a Man that is bound to spend most of the day in his Shop should Covenant to go Thirty Miles every day, if he be not lett by urgent Cause.

L. But you fee that almost no Conformists do thus : therefore it's cer-

tain that they do not fo underft and it.

M. That's a warning to take heed of promifing that which we fee so many that promised it not perform; They are our Monitors to take heed of such a playing with Sacred Covenants, and deceiving the Law, instead of obeying it.

Chap. XLII. Point XXXIX. Of forcing the unwilling Parishioners to the Sucrament, and Accepting and Excommunicating the Refusers.

L. How are you bound to this by Conformity?

M. 1. We must assert and consent to the Rubrick, which commandeth-[That every Parishianer shall Communicate three times a year at least. 2. The Oath of Canonical Obedience binds us to obey the 112 Canon, as well as the rest; which saith [The Minister, Churchwardens, Questmen and Assistants of every Parish-Church and Chappel, shall yearly within 40 days after Easter exhibit to the Bishop or his Chancellor, the Names, and Surnames of All the Parishianers as well Men as Women, which being at the Age of 16 years, received not the Communion at Easter before.] And then they are to be Excommunicated if they resule, and to lie in Jail till they die, when taken by the Writ De Excommunicate Capiendo.

L. And why should not men be forced to their Duty, and to their

oun good, if they are backward to it?

M. The internal part is the first and chief part of their Duty, without which the external is not their Duty, but their great Sin. It is the Duty of Heathens to Believe and be baptized, but not to be haprized till they believe. It is the Duty of Candidates for the Ministry, to get Ability and Ordination and to officiate. But not to officiate before they get Ability and Ordination. It is the Duty of every Man to believe the Truth of the Gospel, and to profess that belief: But to say he believeth, when he doth not, is Hypocrisie and Lying. It is a floathful Man's Duty to rise and dress him, and go to his Work; but not to work in Bed, or go abroad Naked. Men that need Marriage must Marry, and then liberis operam dare, but not to do this before they Marry. So Persons that are unfit for the Sacrament must be fit, and then receive it, but not before they are fit. Now if you can force them by a Gaol out of Ignorance, unbelief and ungodliness, it will be a very charitable work: Otherwise you force them upon Sacriledge and Profanation to their Damnation. Why elfe doth the Common-Prayer Book perswade the Blasphemers and hinderers of God's Word,

Word, and the uncharitable not to come to that Holy Table, left the Devil enter into them as he did into Judas, and fill them with all un-righteousness to the desiration of Body and Soul.

L. But they that are forced to come, will be liker to take preparing

Care, than if they be let alone.

M. Look over the foregoing instances: Will you make a Law among Heathers that all shall be baptized, that this may draw them to believe? Or will you Command all Students to Administer the Sacraments, that this may draw them to Study and be Ordained, &c. We dissivate you not from forcing all to Hear and Learn: But do you think that it is so easie and small a Matter to bring a Man to Repent and Believe, and give up his Heart and Life to Christ, and prefer Heaven before Earth, and a holy Life before a stelling and worldly Life; as that it is but say, Do is, or thou shall go to Jail. What need a Saviour, a sanctifying Spirit, a teaching Ministry, &c. if it be so easily done at a Command? Will the fear of a Jail make Men believe the Gospel, or Love God? Oh how little doth this way savour of any true Knowledge of the State of Man, or what

L. Wherein lyeth the Sinfulness of such force!

M. 1. It seemeth to make a New Gospel-Condition, or contradict Christ's. Christ saith, That none can be his Disciple, except he forsake all, and follow him: This Course saith, Thou shalt be saved by Christ, if thou hadse rather Communicate than lose all and lie in Jail. The Sacrament is an Investing delivering of Pardon and right to Christ-and Salvation; And none but those that desire them above all the World are capable of these. And to give them to the forced and unwilling is contrary to the Gospel.

2. It feems to pur a Lie on Chrift, as if he had ever made any

fuch gift.

3. It tendeth to deceive poor Souls.

4. It forceth them on Sacriledge, Hypocrifie, Prophanation

and Damnation.

5. It may distract those Persons with terror who are conscious of their unstances, or those Melancholy Christians that under Temptation tremble for sear of taking their own damnation.

6. It polluteth the Church, and confoundeth the Infidels, Catechumens and Fideles.

7. It thereby filleth the Church with such wicked men as

prove worfe Enemies to the godly than those without

8. In all Elections the Major part of wicked forced Communicants will carry it, to chuse Ministers like themselves, and carry Church Matters according to their wicked minds.

9. Good men feeing this are ready to be frightned out of the Church to Separation, as men run out of a ruinous House, lest it fall on their Heads, or sly from a noisome place with loath-

ing.

10. And then the Crowd that thus get Church possession will revile them as Schismaticks and Sectaries, and Hypocrites, and

persecute and destroy them if they can.

Are not all those sad effects of turning a Church into a Prison, and forcing men to seem to take that which Christ professeth he doth not give them? And of casting holy things to Dogs, and Pearls before Swine; and cramming and drenching those with the Body and Blood of Christ, who have no right till they defire and beg it, and can sell all to buy this precious Pearl? We dare not Assent, Consent or Swear to such a Course as this, non publish an Excommunication against such men on this account, It's an odd thing to cast men out because they will not come in.

And I pray you how can Ministers in great Cities and Parishes perform this Canonical Obedience, to give in to the Bishop or Chancellor the names of all that Communicated not at Easter, when they know not the hundredth part of the Parishioners in some places? I do believe that in the two Parithes that I last lived in, there are above fourscore thousand Souls: And is it like that the Minifters know twenty thousand of these? I have been at their Communion, even at Eafrer and Whirfuntide, and I never faw five hundred there. And if they gave in the names of but twenty or forcy thousand Non-communicants (tho it's like there are nearer two hundred thousand) in this Diocess, what work would the Bithop and Chancellor have ? Cannot you eafily imagine what their Discipline would be, and how they would exhart each Person one by one to Repentance, and plead with them for conviction, and resolve their doubts? Doth not this one thing tell you what the English Diocesan Episcopacy is, that giveth one man the Disciplining of many hundred Parithes?

L. You talk as if you would have a Church of Saints, and feem to make Religion too ferious a business: It is well if we could have a Church of Civil Men and Peaceable Subjects, that will use Religion for the Civil Interest, as far as will serve the will of Governors, and

the Common l'eace.

M. Iam fore St. Paul wrote to no Church but fuch as he called Saints; and I am fure Christ will save none but Saints: An unfanctified Christian, and a Church not holy, are contradictions in adjecto. Christ came not to set up a meer worldly Kingdom. and to devise a Religion to serve the Will, Ambition or Interest of worldly men, nor meerly to promote Civility, Wealth and Peace. If this be all that you would have, go to Aristonie, Flato. Seneca, Plusarch, Cicero, &cc. You need not be Christians for this But yet we pretend not to know mens Hearts: It is visible Saints that the visible Church consisteth of; and with whom we must have visible Communion. And it is not left to the will of every Pastor whom he will take for a Saint; for then Churches would be of as many forts or degrees, as Ministers strict or loose Opinions are. But Christ hath himself made the Articles Essential to Christian Faith and Practice, and the Baptismal Covenant. and required us to take those into the Church who seriously profels Belief, and Confent, till they mull that Profession by a contrary Profession or Conversation; which must be proved against any man before he is rejected: For the Church is not a Society to be arbitrarily made or unmade, at every Ministers or Bithops will. but hath from Christ the fixed Laws of its Constitution and necessary Administration (better than our 141 Canons.)

But indeed you have toucht our fore: For my part I am past doubt that we should all live in Peace, and Christian Piety, if all (yea all Bishops and Priests) were but agreed at the Heart what it is to be a Christian, and whether the Gotpel be certainly true, and whether Christ be the Son of God, or a deceiver, and whether there be a Life after this, and a Judgment of all according to their Works: Yea, were they but heartily agreed that there is a God, who is the Rewarder of them that diligently seek him, and a punisher of the wicked. The dispute seems to me to be, whether we shall be Christians indeed, or worldly Hypocrites called Christians; and whether we shall use the Name of God, Christ and Religion for Christ and Holiness, or for the Flesh a-

gainst Christ.

L. You are very severe in your Censure.

M. I doubt some will find Christ more severe, and be less able to endure his Censure than mine, when he shall call some men to account for turning sacred Names, and Offices, and Ordinances against him, to banish Conscience and serious Godliness (to say nothing of Veracity, common Honesty and Humanity) out of the Church, and turn his House of Prayer into a place of Merchandise, and a Den of Thieves; and tempt Turks and Heathens to hate Christians for their wickedness, and to sly from the Christian Church, lest they should lose all Religion, Trustiness and Honesty, or the Reputation of them: But I speak not now of our Governours, whom I leave to God.

Chap. XLIII. Point XL. Of forfaking our Ministry, and ceasing to Preach the Gospel, and Banishment Five Miles from Corporations.

M. THE last part of Ministerial Conformity which I named to you, is, that if we cannot conform to all aforesaid, for fear of Damnation, we must cease our Ministry, and must not Preach Christs Gospel to any more than four besides our Family, nor perform any Worship of God with more, (but in their way) and we must neither dwell nor come within Five Miles of any (Burgess) Corporation, or any place where-ever we lived or travelled, and preached since the Act of Oblivion: Otherwise we must lye in the common Goal.

L. Doubtless they that made this Law against you, thought you very wicked intolerable men, and thought your Preaching very dangerous: And indeed so they say of you in the Presace of the Oxford

AEt.

M. They do so; and I am glad to find that falfeness, and wick-edness as such is yet disowned in the World, and that men do not glory in the very names of them. It is a notable evidence that there is a Life of retribution, that naughtiness is disowned by all Mankind, and the worst would be thought and called honest, and true, and good; and that the World would not Crucific Christ, nor Persecute and Murder his Apostles under the name of righteous holy men, but under the name of Malesactors, Enemies to Casar, Breakers of the Law, and Pestilent Fellows

that troubled the Peace, and turned the World upfide down. The Devil himself dare not own the name of Lying, Malignity and Murder, nor of Perfecuting Men for Truth and Goodness, and Gods Cause.

And verily it seemeth the Controversie of many in this Age, whether they that scarce speak of God but in Swearing and Cursing, nor of Religion, but in scorning or threatning the serious practisfers of it, and that savour little but Lating and Drinking to the full, and Playing, and getting Riches, and hateing all that are stricter than they, or those that make Gods Law their rule, and Obedience to him the work of their Lives, and his serious Worship their delight, and Heaven their hope, and dare not wilfully sin against God, nor be Perjured or Lie; I say, which of these be the sonesser Men?

L. But you cannot deny that your party hath preached the Nation into Rebellion (for the Parliament against the King) and therefore

are justly suspected till they repent.

M. 1. What mean you by [our party?] If you mean Nonconformists to the present Laws of Conformity, you are easily confuted: For the Rebellion was over, and the King restored before the present Conformity was Enacted: And when there was no such Law, there were no Nonconformists to it, nor any such Party in being.

If you mean the old Nonconformists, I answer you,

1. They were part for the Parliament, part against their War,

and part Neuters.

2. There was a multitude of Conformist Ministers for the Parliament for one Nonconformist: I have oft proved, that there was at the beginning of that Parliament, not many more Nonconformist Ministers left in England, than were Counties, if so many. In the Westiminster Assen bly there were but seven or eight Englishmen: Most of the Sermons before the Parliament, which are now most blamed, were Preached by Conformists.

3. Such as kept in, and obeyed the Parliament and Usurpers, did most of them Conform, of 9000 or 10000 Ministers, there were but two thousand that Conformed not: And did meer

turning to Conformity manifest Repentance?

4. But I have oft, and oft faid, if they will silence all that had any hand in Wars, (except the Conformists) and no more, we

will thank them with great joy? For I do not think there are forty, that I say not twenty, filenced Ministers alive in all England, that had any hand in the War against the King. And it's an odd kind of Justice that should eject and accuse so great a number for other mens Actions.

5. But if our Doctrine be Seditious, why should not we be allowed rather to Preach openly where Witnesses may convict us, and the Law take hold on us, than in secret to four, where none

can convict us?

6. And how comes it to pals, that whillt so many hundred Ministers are hunted and ruined for Preaching, we hear of none at all punished for any False or Seditious Doctrine? Do those that watch, accuse and ruin us, want will to find out our false or ill Doctrine? while I am writing this, the common talk is, two poor Fellows accused such a one; and two Beggars, or poor Women that stood at the docts, sook their Oaths against such, and such, and such, many Warrants granted to distrain for Forty Pound or Sixty Pound a Sermon, on Ministers and People; the Citizens Goods seized, and carried away, their Shops made bare, many laid in Jayls, and shoulands waiting for utter ruin of all they have; and all this on the account of their Preaching and Hearing as without the Common Prayer; and not a word among all these of any False Doctrine spoken.

7. And Gods great mercy having of late years opened the Preis, the Nonconformills have Printed abundance of their Sermons, that the World may see what Dostrine they Preached: There are two Volumes of Morning Lectures at one place, and two at another, and one Volume of Lectures against Popery. There is a great Volume of Mr. Charnock's Sermons, and a great one, and mar y lesser of Dr. Marten's; many Folio's of Mr. Anth. Burgesses, divers of Dr. Bates, divers of Mr. Richard Alleine's, Dr. Gilpin of Temptations, and abundance more. You have an account of my own Doctrine in above an hundred Books, of which I leave

Posterity to judge:

L. Yes, we hear what is faid against you for your Rebellions Do-

Etrive in former times,

M. I then gave the World an account in my Book called Political Aphorisms, or a Holy Common-wealth written for Monarchy by Mr. Harrington's Provocation, what moved me to be on the Parliaments side; and I know nothing that they have charged

on my Doctrine, but the words of that Book which I revoked long ago, and some Clauses in my Saints Rest, which I expunged before the King came in: And if my later writings (as my Second Plea for Peace) written purposely to satisfie them of my present judgment, be not regardable, why do they call me to retractations? And if the Act of Indempnity have done nothing against our former Actions, all General Monk's Army, and the rest, such that restored the King, must expect the like reward, if death deliver them not from mens wrath.

Lenced? Have not the Bishops power to filence and degrade, as well as

to or dain ; or the Partiament at leaft?

M. We doubt not but the Magistrate hath power to hinder Preachers from doing mischief, and to restrain those that Preach Rebellion, Sedition or Herefie, or that do more hurt than good: But not on that presence, to hinder good, and to forbid Christs Ministers to Preach his Gospel: Bishops and Senior Pastors are Investing Ministers of Christ, to ordain fit Men to the Ministry, and judge of their fitness to that end: And if men prove uncapable, by Apostacy or Herefie, or Persidionshels, they ought to do as Cyprion did about Marial and Bassildes, require the Congregation in the name of Christ to forsite them. But they have no power to forbid any faithful Minister to do the Office to which he is ordained, nor to sorbid the people to hear them: And if they do, their Commands are mullities as to any Obligation to Obedience.

L. But they that give you the Office may take it away.

M. He that giveth it as a Dower may, which is Christ, but not he that only as a servant delivereth that which his Master gives. If you send a servant to deliver a Man Possession of House, Land, Horse, Money, &c. That Servant cannot take it from him as his pleasure. I do not think that the Priest that Marryeth Men, can unmarry them when he will: If he could, I think he would have more work. I scarce think the Patron that giveth one a Benefice can take it away when he will; which is more alienable than the Office.

A man cannot renounce it himfelf when he will, who hath

some more power of himself than the Bishop hath.

Do you not know that all are agreed that the Office of the Ministry is as Marriage, during Life, and not for Tryal or at Pleafure, fure, except in case of Adultery? Therefore the Papists say, that Ordination maketh an Indelible Character: Of this all Parties

are agreed.

L. But why say you the Ordainers do not give you the Power, but as investing Ministers? you are not called immediately by Christ as the Apostles were; who then doth give it you, if not the Ordainers?

M. 1. The Office lyeth in an Obligation to great labour and great patience as well as in a gift of power. It is a power to work in a suffering state. And as the Bishop can oblige no man to this against his will, so neither can be oblige any man farther than Christ and his own consent oblige him. Therefore it followeth that neither can be give the power farther than Christ giveth it; and the Ordainers and People, and Magistrates have each their part under Christ, in the conveyance.

L. How doth Christ give Ministers their Office ?

M. 1. He maketh a Law that there shall be a Ministry, and specifieth the Office by describing their work, and the necessary qualification of the Persons: So that it is not left to Bishops nor any to alter the Office, nor to admit any uncapable Persons into it.

2. Next Christ blesseth Mens Preparatory Studies and endeavours, and by his gifts and grace doth give them all Ministerial

Abilities.

3. He maketh them defirous and willing of the Office and Work in Love to the bleffed Effects, and so maketh them Confenters.

4. His Providence bringeth them where their Labours are

really needful.

5. He moveth the minds of the Flock or Electors to desire,

chuse or accept them.

6. He commandeth the Ordainers to Approve and Ordain those that are thus qualified as aforesaid.

7. He commandeth Magistrates to protect and encourage

them.

8. He commandeth the Neighbour Church-Pastors to own them in their Neighbourly Communion. Thus you may see how Ministers are made by Christ, tho' not without mans Service.

Do

Do you know how God maketh Marriages? 1. He institutes the State, and by his Law maketh the Husband the Ruler of the Wife. 2. He causeth their natural Capacity and Inclination. 3. His Providence acquaintest them with each other. And 4. He moveth them to Consent. And 5. He maketh it the Ministers Duty to do his part; so that the Husbands power is of God.

Do you know who giveth the Lord Mayor and Sheriffs of London their Power? 1. The King by Charter determineth what Power, they shall have, and how they shall be qualified, and how chosen, and how sworn and invested 2. The Citizens accordingly choose them. 3. And the Recorder Sweareth them. Who is it now that giveth them the Power? The King's Charter, tho' not till they be duly qualified and chosen. The Recorder cannot depose them again; No, nor the Citizens (who may do more) unless it be in the Charter.

L. But what Sin fear you by ceasing your ministry?

M. 1. We fear the guilt of Perfidious breaking of our Ordination Vow, by which we folemnly obliged our felves to diligent performing of our Ministry. The Bishops put those of us on this whom they ordained, who now would force us to violate it.

2. Therein we fear the guilt of Sacriledge, as alienating Perfons confecrated to God. And we can judge it to be no better than Pharifaical Hypocrifie, in them that aggravate the Sacriledge of alienating confecrated Utenfils and Lands, and yet will alienate confecrated Persons, and take it to be well done: whereas the Lands and Goods are but to serve the Persons who are nearer to God, while they serve him.

3. We fear the Sin of Cruelty, Unmercifulness, and the guilt of Damning Souls. As if we were commanded to forbear feeding our Children, or the Poor, we should expect to be charg'd with Murdering them, if they died of Famine, by our

Neglect.

4. We fear being charged with fighting against Christ, or as Enemies to his Kingdom, and to his Saving Work: He was so great an Esteemer of Souls and Holiness, that by the greatest of Miracles, he came in Flesh to redeem, and sanctifie, and save Men: And if we starve and betray them to Satan by our neglect, we are Enemies to his saving Work and Office. And he that condemneth them

them that do not feed, and clothe, and visit them, may condemn

us more, if we betray their Souls.

5. We fear being guilty of ferving the Devil, in deferting and betraying Souls that are our trust: As a Captain that should desert his Station and fly, or should desert his Garison and Trust, doth let in the Enemy: Or as Christ saith, The bireling sheet and leavesh the flock to Wolves: God saith to the negligent Watchman, Exek 33. Their blood will I require at thy bands.

6. We shall be a Temptation to the People to imitate us, and for sake the truth, and turn from Christ: For if the Captains say, the Soldiers are like to follow. And if we may cease Ministerial Piety, they may think they may as well cease Family and Private Piety.

hid his Master's talent, and was sentenced to be cast for it into

outer Darkness.

8. We cast away our hopes of Salvation by Christ, if we cast away our Evidence of our Title to his Love! As he charged Peter, three times, If he loved him to feed his Sheep and Lambs; so the same extends to us: We tell him that we love him not,

when we wilfully deny to feed his Flock.

9. The charge is dreadful that Paul lays on Timothy, and confequently on us, 2 Tim 4. I. I charge thee therefore before God and the Lord Jesus Christ, who shall judge the quick and the dead at his appearing, and his Kingdom; that thou Preach the Word, be instant, in season, out of season, reprove, rebuke, exhort with all long suffering and dostrine. When we recite Paul's words, Necessity is laid upon me, and woe unto me if I preach not the Gospel; Some are so vain as to say, that this woe belongs to none but those that Christ called immediately, as if Men ordinarily called had no such Necessity. But Timothy was not called immediately by Christ.

10. Christ himself hath told us, that he that puts his hand to the Plow, and looks back, is not fit for the Kingdom of God: And that the Servant that will be blessed, must give the Children their meat in season, and be found so doing. For these Reasons we dare not forsake our Office and

Work

L. But when you cannot do it, you are blamelefs.

M. That's true: But we must do what we can: Christ fore-told his Ministers how they should be used, Hated, Scourged, Killed, and yet will have them go on to the Death. When they persecure you in one City, stee to another, was his charge, what we cannot do in one place-we may do in another; and if we cannot Preach to more, we must do it to sewer. No Suffering will excuse us, (much less bare Prohibitions) which do not disable us.

L. But by this Rule, every filenced Heretick may go on, and make

bimself the fudge, that he is unjustly silenced.

M. And by your Rule of holding nothing which Men may abuse, all good may be put downs. Usurpers may pretend that it's them that we must obey: Must not we therefore obey the King? Lyers will claim belief: Must we therefore believe no body? Reason is pretended for every Errour and Wickedness: must we therefore renounce Reason? so false Teachers may Preach when they are forbidden: must therefore Christ's Minifers give over, because Men forbid them. Did the Church do so for 300 years?

L. Then is bad no Christian Magistrates ?

M. Have Christian Magistrates more right to silence the Preaching of the Gospel, destroy Souls, and oppose Christ's Work,

than Heathers have?

2. Were not Constantin, Valens, Theodosius 2d, Anastasius, Zeno, &c. Christian Emperors, and yet the Bishops went on when they forbad them: Read Bishop Bisson of Christian Subjection, and he will tell you that Christian Princes are to Protect Christ's Ministers in their Work: but if they forbid it them, they must go on, and suffer patiently what shall be done against them for it.

L. But what need is there of your Preaching? Have we not Minifers evon without you? Hath not every Parish one? and some say many

Thousands are Ordained of late that want Benefices.

M. Whether our Ministry be needful is matter of Fact so easily discernable to Englishmen, that I think him scarce meet for these debates that doubteth of it, if he know the Land.

1. The Supernumeraries that want Benefices, do not help the needy Parithes, but dwell in the Universities, or as Chaplains in Great Mens Houses, to read the Common-Prayer and say Grace at Meat, till a Benefice fall.

2. The

2. The greater fort of Parishes have more Work than many Ministers can do: They have besides Study and Preaching, Children to Baptize, Godfathers to Examine whether ever they Communicated, Communicants must beforehand give them notice, the scandalous and contentious must be admonithed, all the Communicants examined, whether they are Confirmed or ready and defirous to be Confirmed, all that Communicate not to have their Names taken and fent in to the Bishop; all that are refused the Sacrament to be notified to the Count, and projecuted. the Sick to be vilited, the ignorant and impenitent to be caught and exhorted; Marrying, Burying the Poor to be taken care of and much more. And about London it is credibly faid, that there can be no less in the great Parishes than two Hundred thousand Persons more than the Parith-Churches will hold: It's thought that Martins, and Giles's in the Fields, and Giles & Cripplegue, and Stepney alone, have near an Hundred and Fourfcore, it not Two Hundred Thouland Persons in them: A tender hearted Man would be loth to Family, or otherwise Kill two Hundred Thoufand Dogs: It was the greatest Massacre that hath been heard of . in any credible History of late (if not at any time) when the triff in fo small a compass murdered two Hundred Thousand Proteltants: But to starve Souls, or by omission of our Dury to betray them to that Ignorance and Ungodliness which is the Cause of Damnation, is worse than to Kill the Body. There cannot past 4000 hear in these Churches: Yea, usually, not past 2000 can hear the Preacher's Voice, intelligently, though 5000 or 6000 may come within the Doors.

And if you suppose that in these 4 Parishes, 16000 may hear one Day, and those stay at home, and 16000 more hear the next Day (or time) 32000 is but a small part of Eightscore thousand: And if so far the greatest number must turn practical Atheists, and not publickly Worship God at all, why should not such places be denominated Pagan or Atheists from so much the

greater part?

L. But what is four Parishes to all the rest, and the Common Case?

M. I pray you enquire in Clement Danes, Westminster, Andrews
Holborn, Sepulchres, Christ-Church, Aldgare, Sharedisch, WhiteChappel, Olives and Magdalenes Southwark. &cc. and you may hear
how many others come near to the same Case: And must so
samous a City as London be Paganized or made so Atheistical?

L. Tou

L. You lay heavy Charges on the Parliament and Bishops that have

filenced you : you are best take beed what you say.

M. No honest heed will secure a man in this Age of malignity and perjury. But you mistake me : I meddle not with the Parliament, Laws, or Bishops, much less with the King: I leave all men to their righteous Judge, who is at the door: To his Judgment they frand or fall: I only tell you what I think it would be in us that are Vowed to the facred Ministry, if we defert our Office and Work for Man's Prohibition.

L. But all these People that you talk of, may find room in other Parift Churches : Many Churches in London are bulf empty, or have

room enough.

L. Tow

M. Do you not know that the London Churches were burnt down 1666, and to this day it's but few of them that are built again: but Ministers preach in small-inconvenient Tabernacles: And those that are built, the Seats are commonly all taken up long ago, and lock'd up: I heard the last-Week an Eminent Esquire say, that he offer'd Five Pound for a Seat, and could have none, and he was not able to frand fo long with the Lads in the Alley. People will not let Strangers into their Seats. when they are Crowded with the Owners: I come into no Church that hath a competent lober Preacher, that is not full a Alleys and Seats. And I pray you if ten Thousand out of Srepney, or Marrins, or Giles would go about the City to feek for Church-room: 1. How shall they know before hand in what Churches to find it? 2. When they miss it in three or four, the time will be over before they find it in a fifth. 3. Few but frong men are able to fland to long in the Alleys without Seats 4. And this supposeth them to be honest thirsty Souls that have least need; whereas the worser fort that have no such anottice are the Muleitude, who will stay at home if they have bo convenience near them, 5. And the most willing People will hardly travel far to hear a man rail at them and flander them. as fome do.

But what great supply of this defect do your Meetings make? Are not most of shem in the Parishes where there is room? And are not most of your bearers such as you think have least need?

As many Meetings are held in the Greater Parifles as will be endured, and more would be, could they be suffered at any polibberrate, 2. Those

2. Those that dwell in the greater Parishes, can go further into the lefs, when they know before-hand that they have there room and hired Seats. The Meetings that have been kept up in the City Parishes, were as much for others, as for the Parishioners, and were there continued, because there was till of late the least disturbance, and gentlest prosecution.

3. Those that have least need, yet have so great need, as is more regardable than any bodily want: Souls and Heaven are precious, and all Men have Sins and Weakneffes and Temptations, and all helps possible are little enough to fecure our Sal-

vation.

4. When godly Perfons are at our Affemblies, they take not up that room in the Parish-Churches which others would have, and

fo en tide not others.

I. Blac affer all your Marridge, there are frill as many thousands

we are unwilling to be found any Caufe of that. The more need there is, the greater is our guilt, if we neglect what we can do for the lupply.

L' But tober's this to the common Cafe of Country Parishes?

M. For my part I would have no filenced Minister preach where there is not evident need. But the Country alas is not without need. r. Some Parilles are to great that part of the Inhabitants have more miles to come to Church, than the Aged, Women, Weak and Children can Travel. 2. Too many have fach Teachers as the people dare not take for their Paffors, any more than an informet Quack for their Physician , or one for their Schoolmatter that cannot read. It Some had able Learned Paffors turned out, and they cannot prove that their relation to them as such is disloved. A Some by the ruining Profecutions of the Clergy and Bishops Countils are call into an over-great averiness to them, and will not take such for their Pastors, as they think have the Teeth and Claws of Wolves. And will not travel far to feek Figs and Grapes on Thorns and Thiftles. And if these err and be to be blamed, they are not therefore to be forfaken, any more than our Children, if they cannot eat Cheefe, are to be famished and denied all other food.

Put

But I must refer you to a full Treatise which I have written to justifie our Preaching, called, An Apology for it: At least, I pray you Study these Texts of Scripture, Mar. 5. 13, 14, 15, 16. and 28. 19, 20. Fph. 4. 10, to 17. I Tim. 4. 15, 16. 1 Cor. 4. 1, 2. Mar. 24. 45, 46, 47, 48. Ast. 4. 19, and 5. 28. I Cor. 9. 14, 16. Ast. 4. 29. 2 Tim. 4. 1, 2, 3. 1 Tim. 6. 13, 14. 2 Tim. 1. 8, 11, 12, 13. Tit. 2. 15. I Pet. 5. 1, 2, 3. 2 Tim. 2. 2, 24, 25. Epb. 6. 19, 20. Mat. 10. 7, 8. 16, 17, &cc. and 9. 38. Luk, 9. 62. and 10. 2.

L. But why may you not keep away five miles from Corporations and

places where you have Preached ?

M. Did you not fay even now, That small Countrey Parishes have no need of us? One Man may serve for two Hundred or a Thousand, better than for many Thousands.

2. The Reasons of our Preaching is Men's real need, and the Churches good: Therefore we are most obliged, caterio paribus, where there is most need, and most probability of doing good.

3. Christ bids us, When they persecute you in one City, fly to another. And the Aposteles first planted their Churches in Cities. If you know the Regions of these, you know our

Reafons.

To conclude, whose Service is it, think you, to perswade 2000 such Ministers to give over their Preaching and Ministery? whose interest requires hit? Did Christ or his Apostles ever do or perswade such a thing? But the way to bring us all to Popery is so disable its chief Adversaries, and to obliterate all Religion first, that Ignorance and Unconscionableness may be receptive of a new Form. You must take your Church-Bells, and break and melt them, if you will cast them anew to bring them to a new sound and use.

great averlies to them, and mill not constitute for their

Chap. XLIV. Of Lay Conformity. Point I. Webber all Men must trust their Souls only on the Pastoral Care of such as our Patrons choose, and Bishaps institute.

L. Thought you would have objected only against Forms and Gesery, and you talk of Matters that affright one as the very

frating of the Cafe : But bow are all Lay-men thus obliged?

M. I have before cited the express words of the Canon: They must be presented and prosecuted if they come not to their Parish-Churches: They must be driven home from all Neighbour-Churches, if it be one that never Preacheth that they go from, and must be Excommunicate at last y the Minister must be sufpended that admits them.

L. But Bishop Gunning's Chaplain Saith well, that you may yet

privately advise with other Ministers.

M. I take that from them for a great favour, that they drive us not from all Christian converse, but give us leave to speak privately with more than the Parish-Priest But even privately it must be no Nonconformist, for they cannot be heard at five Miles distance.

But will you seriously tell me, 1. Whether most Parish-Ministers have not so much Work at home, that they have lit-

tle time to spare for Strangers?

2. Whether as Men provide first for their own Pamilies before they relieve Neighbours and the Poor; so Ministers will not think themselves bound to do by their own Parishes? and scarce

afford the fcraps to others?

3. Do you think in Conscience that all Souls, and specially the most Ignorant have not need of a constant Pastor, and the help of his Office, as well as of an occasional Discourse with a Stranger? If not, what have we Parish-Priests for, and why pay we Tythes to them?

L. But all Places cannot have profound Cafaifes, and entraordinary Learned boly Men: Few Churches had an Augustine, a Chry-

fostome, a Bafil, or Nazianzen.

M All Men cannot have excellent Phylicians, nor rich Trades, nor pleafant Dwellings, nor excellent Wives or Servants, &c.

But I think it's lawful to have the best they can get: Or at least to resuse the intolerable. I had eather trust Nature for my Phylician, than an ignorant Quack or a Knave.

L. But we have many found and worthy Ministers.

M. And I perswade none to go from such, if their faithful diligence shew their worth. It is not these that we are speaking of. Are there no other

L. The meaness of them speak sound Doctrine: Eventhose that the Canon forbids to Preach or Expound, do read the Scriptures and sound

Homilies.

M. So can a good Woman or a Child: And an ignorant Manor Woman can read a good Phylick or Law-Book. Will you therefore take them for your Phylicians or Lawyers? Do you know the case of our Parishes or not? Tell me what you would

do your self in this case.

Suppose a poor Sinner is convinced of his great ignorance and dulness, and sinful inclinations, and strong temptations, and finds great difference between a clear Judicious, skilful, ferious Minister and others, and yet that the best doth prove too little for his help : This Man dwells in a Parish where in the Pulpit he heateth a young Fellow sometime read a Cento of impertinent shreds, as School-boys make an ignorant Declamation: And fornetimes he heareth him tell them what damnable Hypocrites they are, and Schismaticks, that scruple any thing commanded by the Church, and then make long Prayers, and talk religi-oully to hide it: And in Company, he hath not a ferious word for a Holy Life, and to prepare Men for another World, but fometimes reviles Puritans, and at best talks of worldly things. or Opinions or Factions in Religion; and perhaps will be Drunk and Rant it with the most Prophane. His Parishioner in Doubts and Trouble of Conscience comes to him for Satisfaction; And the best Answer he can get is, [What Puritan put these Fear's or Scruples in your bead? If you trouble your mind about such matters you will shortly turn Schismatick or go mad: Trust God, and follow your Business, and be merry. The Scripture is for Divines, and not for such as you; It is above your Capacity.] The Parishioner having no better Answer, goeth no more: And perhaps an hundred or a thousand in the Parish have more need of Pastoral help, than this Man: And in the Pulpit he Preacheth them afleep,

or into Laughter, or into hatred of Puritans; and out of the Pul-

pit doth drink, and talk, and live as they il a soul ton oh

2. Suppose these Men hear the Clergy tell why they Silence and Banilly, and render odious such Men as we are: And they think with themselves, we perceive by this that it is not indifferent what pastors we have. And if these reviled Men be so bad, is our Priest, any better, or wifer or honester, or more to be trusted?

3. Suppose these poor people had a Teacher 20 or 10 years that is now cast our, whose preaching they found to be clear and edifying, and quickening; that preacht all for Faith, and Hope, and Love, and Holiness, and Peace, and Heaven, and lived in exemplary Charity, Plety, and Righteously, and Soberly, and unweariedly taught them with tenderness publickly and from House to House. And the source and experience of their Souls tells them how great the change of their condition is?

4. Suppose these Men hear the Teacher called Arminian, deriding Insusions of the Spirit and Grace, and proving that God worketh on Souls morally by the aptitude of means, and teacheth

and changeth Men as rational Creatures?

Can you blame this Man if he conclude [My Soul is more to me than my Life: my Necessity is unquestionable: my Experience what edifieth me is not to be born down by the scorn of a derider. I am dark and dull, and too bad under the best helps, but also profit little by an insipid, sleepy or deriding Speech. As no man hath power to tie me to marry an ughy Scold, or to trust a Fool, or Knave, or Enemy for my Physician; so no man hath power to deprive me of the needful helps to my Salvation, when I can have them.

L. But they all Preach the Same Word of God.

M. Do you think the mysterious Invisible things of Faith, and the multitude of hard Cases in Obedience, and the many dangerous Distempers of a carnal, worldly, dead Heart, have not need of as skilful a Helper, as the Profession of Law and Physick hath? How vast a difference is there between an ignorant Prater, and a skilful Lawyer or Physician? And as I have more care of my Soul than of my Body, so mens Laws have less power over it.

L. I do not think the World hath a better Clergy than the Church of

England

M. I do challenge oft any to name that Nation under Heaven out of the Kings Dominions that hath two thouland worthier Ministers than those that were here silenced, and reviled, and

hunted like Rogues But I pray you tell me,

1. Do you know how many, and what fort of men the Patrons in England are that chuse them? The Conformists oft say that many of them are Simonists: It's well known that many of them are taken for the famoulest Papilts in the Countrey. Tho' they have the wie and faculty to keep off Legal Conviction, or else to manage their Advowsons by Trustees. And O how well were it with England if none or few of them were Worldlings, Gluttons, Drunkards, Whoremongers, or Haters, or Profecutors of ferious godly men? Is there any Body doubts whether there be such? And tho' good and sober Lords and Gentlemen will promote good and sober men, the propagation of the species is the most natural Appetite; and an evil Tree will bring forth evil Fruit, and a Hater of Godliness is unlike to chuse a godly Paltor. And any man that hath Money, be he never so bad or erroneous may buy an Advowson or Presentation. And must all poor Souls have no other Pattors than these men will chuse?

And quo jure? how came they by a right to chuse Pastors for

all the Land? Did God ever give it them?

The People at first tinfully gave it them, in blind gratitude, for Building and Endowing Temples. But Mens Grant many hundred years ago, being sinful, hath no power to bind our Consciences: Our Fore-fathers might give their Lands from their Posterity; but they could not give away Gods Ordinances, nor the means of our Salvation. If Patrons might ill dispose of Temples and Tythes, that's nothing to prove that they may chuse Pastors for all men against their wills.

L. But the Bistops have the power of Institution, and they will keep

out unworthy Men.

M. 1. Do they de fatto keep them out? I told you truly what a company of ignorant drunken Readers I was bred up under till I was thirteen years of Age, or fourteen, and what a fort, were round about us: And yet the Bishops were as good men as any I know

know now. Bishop Moreon was our Bishop. If we are so hardly agreed in a notorious matter of Fact, viz. what Pastors multitudes of Parishes now have, it's in vain to dispute of any thing

elfe.

2. When we have told the Conformists what men are Instituted, their common answer is, that it's long of the Patrons, and the Law, that enableth not the Bishop to keep them out: And that if the Bishop deny Institution to any one that can but say some account of his Faith in Latin, a quare impedia will force him to Institute him. But whether you will say it on the Bishops want of Power, or their Will, it's no relief to the miserable People.

And that which the Bishop requireth more to Ordination, is but a Certificate of a good Conversation; which I never knew man to Heretical or wicked in all my Life, that could not get

from three Ministers.

L. Would you have Patrons turned out of their right?

M. No, it is no right of Gods giving to be the fole Choofers of Pastors for men Souls. There is a threefold part in Ministers admittance. 1. To judge who is fit to be by them Ordained: And this is the Ordainers part.

2. To Chuse or Consent who shall be the Pastor of Mens

Souls: And this is the Peoples part.

3. To judge and chuse who shall have the publick Places, Maintenance, Countenance and Toleration: And this is the Magistrates and Patrons part.

L. But these three may differ, and it's like will do so; how then

shall ever the Churches be provided?

M. There is nothing in this World without difficulties and inconveniences: But on so great a Treasure a threefold Lock is good security; and hath less inconvenience than the way that we are against. It's like necessity will at last make all consent, (as the Cities in Belgium do in their Government.) But if they should not; 1. None can chuse who shall be the Pastor of this or that Church, till the Ordainers consent that he be in general a Minister in the Church Universal: So that their Consent so far is previous.

2. If the Patron offer an unfit Man, and the People refule him, he may offer others: If they continue to difagree, it is but

let the Patron chuse who shall have the Place and Tythes, and

the People chuse who shall be their Pastor.

L. What confusion will this bring in? Shall one that is no Pastor have the Benefice ? and whom shall the People chuse, and where shall they Assemble ?

M. If great Men that should keep Gods Order, will obstinately break it, it's they that cause the confusion, and inferiors cannot remedy it. But if you will lay by prejudice and have patience

I shall open the case to you.

- I. The Magistrate as the Patron of the Church, must fee that every place have competent Teaching: And over the meer Catechumens or Auditors, he may appoint who shall chuse these Parish Teachers, and to them as such he may give the publick Place and Maintenance (if Original Dedication to Pastors as fuch make it not Sacriledge to alienate it.) And thefe Teachers are bound to Preach, Catechife, and do all that's due to Catechurrens.
- II. If these men betolerable, no doubt the generality of the People will chuse them for their Pastors, prudence requiring it; rather than lose the advantage of the Place, and of a countenanced maintained Ministry.
- III. If intolerable Men get in, or fach as the Flock of Communicants cannot submit to, it's most like that the People will for the advantage of the publick Place and Countenance; take fome Neighbour Pastor for theirs, and there Communicate, paying their Tythes at home.
- IV. If most chuse the Parish Teacher for their Pastor, and a few Diffenters go to the next Parith Church, the inconvenience will be comparatively small.
- V. If any number can consent to no Parish Minister near them, it is but to tolerate their Communion in a place of their own preparing if the Magistrate find their Principles and Conversation tolerable, and this under Laws of Peace and good Behaviour; and what harm is in all this?

I. It

L. It feems then you take it for unlawful Conformity to take the Pa-

rish Ministers for our Pastors.

M. No Sir; tho' any Patron chuse them, and obtrude them, to whom God hath given no such right, yet if they be fit or tolerable Men, the convenience of Place, Countenance, Maintenance, and Parish Order, will teach Men, as I said, finis gravid, in prudence to consent to them. But if such be unfit and intolerable, I will have better, if I can. And I take it for unlawful Conformity to take all, or any that are intolerable for our Pastors, because such Patrons chuse them; who I should be loth should chuse my Servants, my Cook, or my Physician. And it's unlawful to give away to a Patron, the Churches right of Election or Consent, if we can keep it.

Chap. XLV. Point II. Whether Parents have not more right than our Patrons to chuse Pastors and Church Communion for their Children.

M. II. THE next fault of Lay-Conformity is, That they are deprived of due Family-government, while a Stranger called Patron, and not the Parents, must chuse who shall be Pastor to their Children when they are at Age; and to what Church their Family shall go.

L. Did not you say before that the King may settle Teachers in all the Parishes, and force Men to hear them? why then may be not force you and your Children, and Servants to hear them, as Catechu-

mens ?

M. I told you that he ought to take care that no Parish want a fit Teacher, whom the willing may hear. 2. And that the negligent and unwilling be forced to hear either them or some other allowed, or justly tolerated Teacher. But I never said that 1. Because the Catechumens may be forced to hear their Parish Teacher, who are Free-men, therefore those that are Wives, Children and Servants may be forced to go to one Church, when the Husband, Parent or Master commands them to go with him to another. 2. Nor yet that those Masters that are Communicants, and not Catechumens, may be forced from hearing their own Pastors, approved or tolerated. It's enough that they be forced to hear either. And Men ought not to be deprived of the due Government of their Families.

L. Is not the Patron as fit to chuse a Teacher or Pastor for your

Wives, Children and Servants as you are?

M. No. 1. A Mans Interest in, and power over his Wife and Children is earlier and greater than a Patrons is. It is natural, and by a Law, which no Men have power to abrogate: Self-government and Family-government are antecedent to Princes or States Government, and they have no right to dissolve it.

2. I shall gain or lose more by the welfare or milery of my Fa-

mily than the Patron will.

3. Nature hath given me a greater Love to Wife and Children, and bound me to a greater care for them, than it hath done a Patron.

4. I know them better, and therefore know what they need, and what Teacher and Communion is fit for them, better than a

Patron that never faw them or me.

5. Supposing that I am allowed to chuse my own Pastor and Communion, it will be inconsistent with Family-government, that my Wife. Children and Servants be forced to go to another place, where I can have no account of them what they do, and

how they behave themselves.

6. If no Man may justly chuse for my Children a Tutor, a Trade, a Physician, or Diet, or Cloathing, rather than my self, (much less a worse when I chuse a better;) nor may impose Husbands or Wives on them, much less may any chuse for them against my will and choice, an Office on which their Salvation is so specially concerned.

L. But if you may force your Wife and Children to what Pastor you chuse for them, it seems then a Man may be forced to one Pastor, rather than another: And then why may not the Magistrate force

you, as well as you may force your Family?

M. You mistake me; I do not say I may force my Family to any Pastor: I say, if they that are not Communicants but Cate-chumens, may be forced to one Teacher, it's meeter for me to

force them, than for a Patron or any other.

2. But as to Communion I will not force them to it at all; nor to this or that Pastor: But because different Places and Pastors for Communion, fignifieth different minds, and will be a great distracting inconvenience to a Family, I will use all my reason and loving interest in them to bring them all to one place for Communion: And its very strange if I prevail not; having better ad-

vantage

vantage to fatisfie their reason, and to perswade them, than a

stranger hath; so that such Breaches will be very rare.

But if I be as injurious to them as some Patrons are, and would draw them to chuse an intolerable Pastor, or false Teacher, it is their Interest and Duty not to be perswaded by me to their hurt.

L. What confusion will this make in the World, when all people, even Wives and Servants may chuse on what Pastor they will depend,

and where they will Communicate.

M. It were a happy World if you, or any did deliver it from differences, yea, or confusion. But perfect concord is no where but where is perfect knowledge, holiness, righteousness and love. If it breed confusions in the World that every Man chuse his own Dwelling, Trade, Diet, Cloathing, Wife, Servants, Travels, Company, Physician, Counsellor, Tutor, Master, Books, &c. And so that their Life, Death and Souls be more in their own power than anothers, there is no remedy: If you would devife any other Chufer for them of all thefe, you would cure that disorder with madness and destruction; who is it that should chuse all these for all other Men? He that chuseth for them must answer for them, and must be accordingly saved or damned for them. If God had appointed some Pope that he would always make wife and good, to chuse for the Kingdoms of China, Pegu, Tartary, Japan, Sumatra, and all the rest of the World, what Religion they should be of, and what they should love and hate, speak and do, it would have brought the World to a happy state, if all would stand to that Mans choice: But who can teach God how to Rule the World, and fay, Thou shouldst have made Man otherwise.

Will you mark this: Either it is only to command Men what to chuse, or else to make them chuse by efficient determination of their wills; or else to move them by force without or against their wills, that you would have the World saved from sin and consu-

fion. There is but these three ways.

And I. To move them against or without their wills, is natural motion or violent, and will make none of their actions good or bad in a Moral sence: Nil nist voluntarium est morale: Thus a Horse, a Watch, a Ship is governed: And you may lay them in what order you will, when they are dead.

7. 2

II. To

II. To make them good by Phylical efficient determination of Mens wills, God can do it and doth not, at least, with most. Man cannot do it: It's madness to pretend to it. And I hope you will not accuse God, who only can do it, for not cureing the fin and confusions of the World this way.

III. It is therefore only the Moral way of Cure that remaineth, by Laws, Rewards and Punithments: And hath not God made better Laws for Religion than Man can make? More infallible and perfect, with more aweful Power, and with ten thousand fold greater Rewards and Punishments? And now what mean you by saying that every Man must not be left to chuse what Religion be list? I. Every Man is a rational voluntary Agent, and not a Stone or Brute. 2. Every bad Man is left undetermined by efficiency of God or Man in all the evil that he doth. 3. Every one in the World is left by God and Man to chuse Salvation or Damnation in the means, and to speed as he chuseth. 4. No Man is left Lawless to chuse what he will without the Obligation of a perfect Divine Law.

L. But Men that believe not a God, and a Life to come, must be moved by temporal panishment which they can feel. Let them stay till

dearb, and they will deride Religion, and live in wickedness.

M. You are too confused while you talk against confusion.

r. Do you think Men that believe not a God and a Life to come are fit for Church Communion, and may be forced to it? No nor any that do not defire Christ, Grace and Glory before all the Baits of fin.

2. Did you think I had pleaded that Men may without punishment do what they list, and live in wickedness? It's one thing to restrain them from sin, and another to constrain them to sin under the name of Religion.

3. Nor did I fpeak against constraining Atheists and Infidels

and Malignant Enemies to hear the Gospel.

4. But your force cannot constrain them to believe. And 5. You ought not constrain them to Lie and say they believe.

6. And you ought not prophanely to Lie in Christ's Name, by telling an unwilling Communicant that all his fins are pardoned, and that you Seal it to him.

Do as much good by force as you can, but do no mischief.

L. But bath not the King more power over your Family than you have? Sure the highest power is the greatest, and containeth all the lower in it.

The last words are a great missake: Political Power doth not contain Natural, Personal and Oeconomical, and Ecclesiastical in it; but suppose them all unalterable and pre-existent, and only useth them to Political ends, that is, the safety and good of the Common-wealth: And as far as this end requireth, the King hath more power of my Wise, Children; Estate, Life, than I have: That is, He may see that I use my Personal and Family Government so, as may not hure the Common wealth, and do

more harm than good.

But that Politick Power doth neither contain nor abrogate the other is evident. 1. The King doth not ear and drink for me, nor digest my Meat, nor rejoice or grieve for me. 2. The King doth not sin by my finning, nor shall be judged, saved or damned for me. 3. The King may not chuse my Wise, Diet, &c. As aforesaid: Nor hath he right to lye with your Wise as you have, nor to dispose of your Children or Propriety. 4. The King that ruleth Priess may not do what the Priess doth, administer Sacraments, use the Church Keys, &c. So that all that he can do, is to over-rule Personal, Family and Church Governors, to the common good, without destroying them.

L. It is for the Common good that all be forced to Communion.

M. Yes, if you can first force them to Faith and Holiness, else it is for the common Church-confusion, Corruption, and Mens

deceit and damnation, and not the common good.

Chap. XLVI. Point III. Of forcing Men to Schissm, by renouncing Communion with true Churches and Members of Christ.

M.HI. The Third unlawful part of Lay-Conformity is, that they must be forced from Local Communion with all the Nonconformists that Assemble any where save in the Parish-Churches or Chappels, and so under presence of driving them from Schissen, they are driven into notorious Schissen.

L. How prove you that it's their Duty to have any such Communion with Nonconformists Conventicles? If you are Scismaticks, it's a

Sin to Communicate with you.

M. 1. I prove Communion with us a Duty; (Tho' it be not every Man's Duty to be Locally present with us, it is every Man's Duty not to avoid it as an unlawful thing, but to be willing of it as he hath occasion).

2. It is a Duty for all Christians to own Communion with all true Members of the Body of Christ. But Nonconformists and their Assemblies for Worship, are true Members of the Body

of Christ. Ergo-

The major is most fully proved by Christ, Joh. 17. 24. and Paul I Cor. 12. throughout, and Eph. 4. 1, 2, 3, to the 17. and

Rom. 14. and 15. and many other Scriptures.

The minor I prove. All those are true Members of the Body of Christ, who have all the Essentials necessary to the Constitution of such Members. But so have the Nonconformists and their Church Assemblies (as afore described:) Ergo.

The major none but the ignorant of Logick will deny.

As to the minor, They that have true Christian Faith, Hope, and Love, have all that is Essential to personal Members: And the Churches that have Pastors and People communicating in that Faith, Hope and Love, in Doctrine, Worship, Sacraments and tolerable Discipline, are true Churches, parts of the Universal Church. But such are many Persons and Churches of Nonconformists. Ergo.

The major all found and knowing Christians grant.

For the minor no proof is necessary but our Profession, till it be disproved. And let the Accusers of the Brethren, as they love themselves, take this warning before they undertake to disprove it: Do it by no Argument that will ten times more condemn your selves and your Church, than such Nonconformists.

2. The second Argument is this: It is a Sin of Schism to refuse Communion with those that Christ receiveth, so we own not any of their sin: Rom. 15. 6. &c. But Christ receiveth (into his Communion) the foresaid Nonconformists and their Worship-

ping Affemblies. Proved as before.

3. It is a Sin to deny the lawfulness of Communion with Christians and their Assemblies, for lesser faults than those Persons and Assemblies had, whose Communion Christ and his Apostles were for: But so must they that will deny the lawfulness of Communion with the said Nonconformists and their Assemblies.—

The major none will deny that take Christ and his Apostles

The minor is easily proved: The Church of the Jews had Priests unlawfully introduced, and corrupt Teachers, and Worship, and yet Christ disowned not Communion with them in Synagogues, and Temple and Houses, save only that he Conformed not to their sinful Traditions and Corruptions. The Church of the Corimbians had men guilty of Schism, and Faction, and quarrelling with the Apostle, wronging each other, sinful Law Suits, Scandalous Persons, denying the Resurrection, grossy abusing the Sacrament and Communion, &c. The Churches of Galatia, Ephesu, Smyrna, Thyatira, Sardia, &c. had such faults as I need not tell you of Yet no Man is bid or allowed to disown Com-

Arg. 3. Ad hominem: It is lawful to communicate with the Churches of England, that have more Faults than the Non-conformists: Ergo it is lawful to communicate with them that

munion with them. You can truly prove no such by those in

have fewer.

question.

We challenge any to prove so many and such Faults by us as I have here before proved by your Church. And if for ours, Separation from us be a Duty from yours, it proves a Duty much more.

Arg. 4. It is a Schismatical Doctrine which would teach Men by parity of Reason to renounce communion with all Churches

and Christians on Earth, or near all.

But such is that which would teach Men to renounce communion with all the Nonconformists and their Worshipping. Assemblies.

The major is unquestionable.

The minor is proved, In that all or near all the Christians on Earth have as great Faults as the Nonconformists and their Assemblies. O that God would so bless the World, as to make all the Churches of the Armenians, Abassians, Syrians, Georgians, Circassians, Greeks, Moscovites, Papists, Lutherans, and all other Protestants, &c. but as knowing, sincere, faithful, obedient, &c. as those in England that you revile, cassout and prosecute.

L. Put Communion in Schism is unlawful: But you are accused of

Schism, and so are your Assemblies.

M. And the Church of England is as loudly accused of Schism and Heresie by the Papists: and too much by the Greeks, if the Patriarch Jeremy spake their Sence. Are they therefore Schismaticks indeed? None forwarder to accuse than the Ignorant or Guilty! Judge by what I have said of our Judgment in my Search for the Schismatick. We abhor Schism, and have laboured to have healed the Wounds of the Church with all our power these 22 years and more; And who be they that have resisted it.

and hate the only healing Balfam?

2. It is not true that we must have Communion with no Church that is guilty of Schism, tho' we must not be guilty of Schism it felf. If the Schism be Apostaly that cuts them off from Christ and the Church universal, we must not have Christian communion with fuch, that are no Christians. But if they are only guilty of Schism from some particular Church, and of Schism in the Universal Church, and not from ir, wounding and not difmembring, we must not renounce communion with such, save only as with any other scandalous Sins, so far as impenitence proveth ungodliness. The Church of Corinth was much guilty of Schifm, and so was that of Galaria; and yet none were therefore to renounce their Communion. Was not Peter guilty of some Schifm? Gal. 2. I doubt there are few Churches on Farth that are not herein guilty, either in East, South, West or North. And must we renounce communion with them all? That is to commit tenfold greater Schism for fear of Schism.

3. Read impartially my Search for the Schismatick, and if the Prelates thus mentioned be not far more guilty of Schism, than we are, I despair of ever understanding what Schism is. This would be the strongest Argument for separation from them: and is so

used by many Separatists.

Chap. XLVII. Point IV. Of obliging the Laity to live without any more benefit of Discipline than is in the Publick Churches.

M. IV. The next part of Lay-Conformity is this: Christ, who instituted Ministry, Word and Sacraments, hath also instituted a certain determinate Discipline in his Church, of great use to the Church, and to particular Souls: And this is considerable,

fiderable, I. As a Duty. 2. As a Benefit. And no Man hathauthority, I. To disobline us from a Duty of Christ's imposing; 2. Or to deprive us of a Benefit of Christ's giving. But Conformity doth both these to the Laity in a great degree.

L. What mean you by that Discipline? I thought our Church had rather too sharp Discipline? I hope you mean not the Geneva Discipline,

or the Scots Presbyteries and Stool of Repentance.

M. I mean nothing but what as to the Matter, the Episcopal Party write for as the Ordinance of Christ: The true exercise of the Keys, and the previous Acts. That is, That God hath made the Church to be as it were the Porch of Heaven, a Society gathered out of the Infidel World, fanctified to God, and prepared for Glory; and therefore he would have none in his Church, but fuch as profess Faith, Love and Holiness, and renounce a flethly, fenfual, worldly and profane Life: And the Pastors bear the Keys of Trust and Government to judge of such; that is, who are to be taken in, and who to be cast out, and who to be admonished and cured of scandalous Sins: And all the Members are bound to preserve the Purity of themselves, and the Society in their places; And therefore if a Brother live scandaloufly, contrary to his Profession, his Neighbour that hath notice of it, is to tell him of his fault, and if he hear not, and repent not, to warn and admonish him before witnesses and if yet he repent not, to tell the Church, and if yet he repent not, and hear not the Church, he is to be avoided, as one that is none of their Communion. But if warning, perswasion, Prayers and Patience, bring him to Repentance, the Church is gladly to pronounce his forgiveness by God, and to receive him. This is the Discipline which Christ hath instituted, and the Christian Churches have Professed.

In This calling Men to Repentance personally will but disturb and distract the Parishes: Men will never endure it: And that's no Duty

that will do barm.

M. They are not fit to be Communicants or Members of a Christian Church that will not endure it. It is the Crime of the Church-Governours that they receive, yea, drive such into the Church, as will not endure the Laws of Christ, and Church-Duties, and then cast by such Duties, because Men will not endure them.

As if you took Scholars into a School that will not endure Covernment and Correction, or Soldiers into an Army that will not endure

endure Command and Discipline; and then omit it, and leave them to their wills, because they will not endure it. Or, as if you would take Servants that will not be commanded, nor endure Labour, and then let them be masterless and idle, because they will not endure service. Who allow'd you to take and keep such in Christ's Church, that will not endure either to live obediently, or be called to Repentance?

I confess that to let all Men alone in their sin, is the way to some kind of Peace in the Parish: But it is not Christ's Peace, but the Devils, by which he keeps possession of Souls and Countries, till Christ break his peace, and cast him out; such peace will

end in endles forrow.

L. What Reasons can you give for the necessity of such a fort of Discipline, and why it may not be forborn?

M. I. It is Christ's Law and Institution, and that is the same

reason that we give for our Christianity it self.

L. But I have read in Erastus, Selden, Ludov. Moulin and Prin, that Christ did but tell his Disciples how they should carry themselves under the Jewish Government, and use their Sanedrims or Judicatures,

and did not institute any new fort of Church-Discipline.

M. Christ's taking occasion from the Jewish Judicatures to infitute his Discipline, doth no more prove that he did not obligatorily institute it; than his calling twelve Apostles according to the number of the Tribes, and his taking occasion from former practice, for Baptism, Ministry, Elders, &c. doth prove that he ordained no such things.

2. What need Christ command his Disciples to use that Jewish Government which was in use before, and they could not avoid?

3. Christ knew that the Jewish Government was presently going down, and tells his Disciples that they should be judged and scourged as Malesactors in those Synagogues. And is it like then that he is calling them to exercise their discipline in those Synagogues.

4. If it were so, it will hold a foreiore, that if Christ during the femily Policy command them to use such a Discipline, much

more in his own Churches.

L. What are your other Reasons for it?

M. 2. The very Nature of Christ's Church required it, which is a Society separated from the World under special Laws of Holiness and Love, and for special heavenly Ends: If therefore it shall

shall be confounded with the World, and not separated to Christ,

it is no Church.

3. Christ did it for the Honour of himself, and his Kingdom: If he be no more for Holiness than the Insidel and Heathen World is, what is he better than they, or how is he a Saviour, or what is the Church better than Insidels?

4. It is needful to fave Heathens from deceit that would come into the Church, and to convince them that their impure Com-

munion is insufficient.

5. It is needful to fave Christians from damning deceit, that they may not think that a dead, barren, unholy Faith and Name of Christianity will save them, without a holy, obedient Heart and Life.

6. It is needful to keep Christ's Ordinances from falsifying Profanation: If a sealed Pardon and Gift of Life shall in the Sacraments be given, as commonly to Dogs as Children, it is a taking God's Name in vain, and profane belying Jesus Christ.

7. It is needful to bring Sinners to Repentance, that they may

be Pardoned and Saved.

8. And it is needful to the comforting absolution of Penitents.

9. Accordingly God's Church in all Ages hath owned it as their

Law of Christ's institution, to this day.

L. But some learned Men say, This was but because there was at first no Christian Magistrate: But when there was such the Discipline sell into their hands.

M. The first Christian Magistrates finding the Church in Posfession of it, confirmed it, and too much accumulated and added

to it; but took it not away.

Of this see a small Book which I wrote of the Magistrates Power in Religion, to Dr. Lud. Moulin, which may end all this dispute. Briefly, I ask you,

.Qu. 1. Would you have all Infidels and Pagans baptized, and Communicate without any Profession of the Christian Reli-

gion first?

L. God forbid : That's a Contradiction.

M. Shall any words go for a Profession, or what must that Profession be?

L. It must be a Profession of Christian Faith and Obedience.

M. Who must try and judge of that Profession, whether it be Christianity or not: Is it Magistrates or Pastors?

A 2 2

L. Ma-

L. Magistrares have somewhat else to do: Else they must study and exercise that work alone; for they will have no time for Civil Govern-

ment, if they under the this.

M. Did not Christ institute an Office for it, and give them this Power of the Keyes? And if one half that Office cease as soon as Magistrates were Christians, why not the other half, and so Magistrates must Preach, Baptize, and celebrate the Sacrament.

L. It must be no doubt the Ministerial Office to judge who is sit to be in Church Communion: Else they were Slaves, if they must be forced to take all uncapable Men to their Charge and Communion against their Consciences and Wills: No Physician, Tutor or School-Master, will be forced to take such Patients, Pupils or Scholars, as will not be ruled by him, and will make him do what they list, against his Will.

M. You must confess the use of discipline, or else openly distown the Word of God, the very Being of the Church, and the Judgment of the Universal Church to this day. And do you think then that to deprive the Church of this is a lawful part of

Conformity?

L. How prove you that the Lairy is deprived of it?

M. 1. In our Great Parishes, the People are sew of them known to the Priest or to one another. Of the two Parishes of my last abode, I do not think but there are Fifty Thousand unknown to the Minister and to each other. And how can these admonish the Offenders, or the Minister exercise this discipline

upon unknown Persons?

2. The People know that it is in vain to begin where there can be no progress. To what purpose is it to tell the Church, when he like to do more harm than good. I. The swarm of the Vicious is so great, that they cannot be Prosecuted. 2. The Minister himself forbeareth it as unpracticable. 3. The accused must be Prosecuted at rates which Men cannot bear. 4. And before Bishops, that cannot possibly do this work to one of a Thousand, any more than one School-master can Try and Correct all the faulty Scholars in a Diocess. 5. And Men must be Judges that will never call Sinners to Repentance with Ministerial Evidence, and Love, and Patience, but like Secular Courts, bid them Recant or be Excommunicate. 6. And the Cause must be decided by Lay-men that prosanely usurp the Power of the Keys. And how is Christ's discipline here possible? Polluted, common Churches frighten away the Religious conscionable People.

L. Do you not before complain of too much exercise of Discipline by

M. Yes, of Discipline against Christ: It is not enough for your Churches to be common and unclean without true Discipline, but when you should drive out the Dogs and Swine, you turn out the Children: Witness all the fore-mentioned Canons. As I said, you first force in all the ignorant ungodly multitude that are unsit; then these are the strength and major part: Then they cannot come under due Discipline; then this grieveth Religious People, and they find fault with it. And then they must be taken for Schismaticks, and condemn'd and ruin'd for finding fault.

In short, what need there disputing: Is it not notorious matter of fact that this Discipline is not exercised against one Drunkard, Swearer, Fornicator, of of a multitude? and are not Men then deprived of the use of it? And when it's known that they cannot have it in most or many Parishes, how are they

bound to live and die without the benefit of it?

L. Do you think Men are bound to separate from all Churches that

bave not this Discipline? Sure it is not Effential to the Church.

M. I do not think that Preaching, as distinct from reading, is essential to a Church; but that it may be at least for a time a forry Church without it, as chose in Moseon are. But I would not continue in such a Church that is without it, if I can have a better. It's one thing what a Man should endure that can have no better without more hurt than good; and another thing what Men should chuse in checience to Church; and for their own and the Churches good that can attain it. Do you think it is lawful to omit all Duty that is not effential to the Church? surely your many humane Offices, your Forms and Ceremonies, your Declarations and Subscriptions to them, are surther from being essential than true Discipline is, and yetyou think that the omission of these is unsufferable: Is mans accidental inventions more necessary than Christs Ordinance and Church Government?

L. The Presbyrerians call their Discipline the Kingdom of Christ,

and feign sheir Government tohe Christs.

M. I speak for nothing proper to Presbyterians: For no Lay-Elders, nor Synodsahar by Voce govern all the Shurches of the Land, but only for that substance of Parish Discipline which all acknowledge, not relifting Appeals from abusive Ministers to Bishops or Magistrates. Buserwas no Adversary to moderate Episcopacy copacy or Liturgies: Yet if you will read him de Regno Dei, de Confirmat. &c. to King Edward 6. for Parish Discipline, I shall need to say no more no you on this subject.

Chap. XLVIII. Point V. The discountenancing the fear of fin, and the practice of serious godliness.

M. V. T will add next this aggravation which comprehendeth

I many parts of Conformity.

No true Christian doubteth, but seriousness and diligence in serving God, and making our Calling and Election sure, and Obedience to Gods Law, and fear of sinning, are of absolute necessity to Salvation. And how greatly the Laity is discouraged and frightned from all this by the course of Conformity is notorious.

L. Who doth discourage them? Do not all our Ministers Preach for Obedience and Godliness? Doth not our Liturgy pray that the rest of our lives hereafter may be pure and holy, that we may attain Eternal

Life?

M. Yes, and more than so, you read the Scripture which is all for holines: The deeper is the guilt of Hypocritie and Malignity in them that seek to root it out: Out of their own mouths will they be judged, and beaten with many stripes. Judge by these instances.

1. How Children are Baptized with God-fathers, and how Confirmed after, and Admitted to Communion, and forced to it, I

shewed before.

2. So many humane Institutions are imposed on Men as necessary to Communion, that he must be a Man of more Learning and Understanding than I have, or with all the study of my Life could obtain, who can discern them all to be Lawful: And he that

calls any of them finful is Excommunicate info jure.

3. It is certain that a great part of the Laity understand not the Creed, and those few that set themselves to seek for saving knowledge, attain so little in their secular course of Life, as that we must be glad if they understand all the Catechism, the Creed, Lords Prayer and Ten Commandments, and take such for extraordinarily wise: And yet if one of these think a Form, a Ceremony, a Lay Chancellors Discipline, &c. to be repugnant to the Word of God, and say it, he is Excommunicate.

4 By

4. By this it is absolutely necessary that the generality of men, even all England that know not more than I do, must blindly believe as the Canon and Priest bids them, barely on their Word, or else they must falsly pretend to believe them, or be all liable to Excommunication. And so an implicite Fairh in the Canon-Makers and Bishops is become the necessary Religion of the Land. And then if the Bulhops turn we must all turn with them

5. By this means wilful ignorance is made necessary. For it is a dangerous thing (as I have found it) to fludy for knowledge in Gods Word, left it should lead us to differ from something in the Canons, Liturgy or Bishops, and then we are liable to ruin. And fo they that will be Church Members must take heed of studying

Gods Word, or fearthing after Truth.

5. If for thinking and faying any of the Impolitions are amils, they be once Excommunicated, or but noted as Diffenters, they are rendered odious to the Church-Courts and Priefts, and by them to the credulous Obsequious Herd; and it's likely that in the Pulpit they will be proclaimed Hypocrites, Schismaticks, unquiet Spirits, Phanaticks, and in as much danger of Damnation as Murderers on Adulterers, who are as fafe as they.

6. By this means fear of finning, and the danger of diffenting being to usually conjunct, the avoiding of fin is made Puritanism,

and a suspicious sign, if not a common scorn.

7. By this means ignorant Youth is quite discouraged from serious piety and fear of fin, left they fall under common fcorn; and it's well if they follow not the multitude and be fcorners of Obedience to God themselves. And the very plea of Conscience (which is but obeying God) is made a difgrace or mockery, and a tender Conscience, made equivalent with a self-conceited Schismatick.

8. It is no danger to meet by hundreds at a Play-house; or by great numbers at Taverns, Ale-houses, Coffee-houses, Horseraces, &c. But if a few Neighbours meet to Pray or Excite each other in the Love of God and Heaven, you know what the dan-

ger is. 9. If any Minister will but leave Preaching the Gospel of Christ, and turn Physician, he may be quiet; the hebe of the same judgment that he was before; the forbearing of his Miniftry may preserve his peace. There are now in this City ejected Ministers who have forfaken their Function, and are Doctors of Phylick,

Physicians and Ministers of the same judgment, and perhaps dwell together in the same House (it was the case of Dr. Micklethwait and me.) The Physician is honoured, and the Minister call'd and used as a Rogue, though they were of the same mind. There are some Nonconforming Ministers, that tho' they are Doctors of Physick, yet dare not cease their Ministry, but practice both: These are welcomed to the Sick, but the Healthful banish them or from them away, not withstanding their acceptance as Physicians, the harred of their Preaching being more prevalent.

L. Sure they Preach some dangerous Doctrine.

M. Not a word of fuch is charged on them, the malicious Persons come to hear them, and inform against them. Their writings tell the World their Doctrine. Dr. Clifford was one of them who hath written of the Covenants: Dr. Gilpin is one of them who hath written of Temptations, driven from Newcasile.

Some ejected Ministers Educate their Sons to Physick, and tho they be of their Fathers mind, the Sons are highly esteemed and honoured, and the Aged Fathers laid in Jayl: This last Week old Dr. Grew that is about 80 or 79 years of Age, and almost Blind, and hath lived there 36 years and more, (known by some writings) a Man of a calm, quiet, sober, peaceable Temper, was sent to the common Jayl at Coventry for dwelling there, and sometime exhorting his old Hearers to sear God; and he hath here a Son, and a Son-in-Law, Doctors of Physick, deservedly honoured, who if they did but Preach the Gospel might speed as ill as he.

10. If an ejected Minister would but teach the Children of the Laity, tho' it were but to read, and tho' there be no other School-master near, the people must rather have their Children untaught, and must not be suffered to have so needful a

belp.

11. If a Minister would give over Preaching, yet if his old Hearers desire his Neighbour hood, that they may have the benefit of his Conference, they must not enjoy it, less the whisper Nonconformity to them, but he must be Banished five Miles not only from Corporations, but from every place where ever he Preached these twenty years. I know some such who have travelled much abroad, who can hardly find a place in that part of England that is not within five Miles of a Corporation or some place

place where they have Preached: And those few places have feldom any untenanted Houses: And if rarely such a House be found, it's like enough that the Landlord will have no such Tenant: Or if he would, it's ten to one the Minister is not able to take it and pay his Rent; besides his undoing in removal, and

putting off his former House and Goods.

1z. If any one that feareth finning by Conformity, be never fo falfly accused, he usually accounted it his Wissom to suffer patiently whatever Men will say or do, without Self-defence: I saw two Warrants against a Lay-man this Week, which express'd his Hearing two Ministers as Sworn by two poor Beggarly Women; when I can witness that both their Oaths were false, and that neither of those Ministers Preach't at the time and place that they Swore they Preached: For my House being at the next Door, I heard that it was not they that then Preached. But I suppose its all one: They dare not question it.

I heard of one that faid he woulp Swear Treason against a Nonconformist: and being ask'd, What he said, and whether ever he heard him speak? He said, No; but he heard him whistle Treason: And being ask'd, How whistling could be Treason? He said, That he whistled the same Tune that a Ballad was Sung in, that they said had Treasonable words

in it.

13. In the mean time, let but Men be utterly void of Conscience and Fear of Sinning, and what can hinder them from Saying, Covenanting, Swearing, Doing, any thing that is required of them in order to a Benefice, or to the Estimation of an obedient Son of the Church. And then he can Preach down Nonconformists as intolerable Rogues.

And thus the Laity that will fear Sin, and fearch the Scriptures, and have a Faith of their own, must go through all these Discouragements, if they be not so unhappily happy, as to attain to affurance or belief that all the Impositions in Conformity

are lawful.

Chap. XLIX. Point VI. The Laity denied Baptism, who refuse the foresaid way of Godfathers, as it exclude: b the Parents as unlawful.

M. VI. I Hope you will not fay it is lawful to be unchristened, or to have their Children unbaptized: And you cannot fay it is lawful to obey the Canon and Rubrick about Godfathers, against ones Conscience.

L. An erroneous Conscience must be rectified.

M. Is it meerly at Command? Can you do it? Or can any do it when they will? There is no Man without Error; why do not all the Clergy recrific their own judgment, and prefently free themselves from Error If you can teach them this Art of rectifying Conficience, it's best do it before they go to the University, or before they spend much time and labour in study. How many years study, and reading might this Art save them? Presently rectific all your erroneous opinions, and save the labour.

L. But when men have sufficient bely they are unexcusable if they

20 on in Error.

M. Then either no Man living hath fufficient help, or elfe all Men are unexcusable: For it's most certain that all Men go on in a multitude of Errors.

L. But every Error binderech not Mens right to Baptism.

M. And do you think the doth; will you try now and prove to me that I may be unexculeable, i. That Children have right to Baptism meerly upon the presentation of a Neighbour or Stranger that never owned them.

2. That it is not the Parents Duty to dedicate them folemnly to Christ, and to be the Person (as having power of them) that

must Covenant for them.

3. That it is lawful for Neighbours or Strangers, to undertake and Vow that for the Child's Education, which they are neither

able to perform, nor ever intended it?

4. That it is lawful for Parents either to give up their Children to such Sponsors for to Educate them, or to seek or accept such to Vow and Covenant, that which the Parents know they never meant to do, and which if asked, [Do you seriously intend to do all this for my Child?] they will say, No: Is it lawful thus

to Suborn Men, and put them on fo great a Sin? Cure these Errors in me if you can.

I. I told you before that they may agree to speak as in your Name.

M. And I told you, somany do: but that's nothing to Conformity, it being none of the sence of the Church, as I proved.

Was this any of the conditions of Baptism of Christ's making? was this necessary when Philip said to the Ennuch, If thou believe with all they bear, whose maist be baptized? Or when Paul said, Else were your Children unclean, but now are they holy? I Cor. 7:14.

L. Bur your dibild faul not be unbapeixed for this : The Church

will constrain the Baptizing of it.

is not to Baptize it unless it be brought and desired a Not the Godfathers: For none can compel any to be a Godfather, non ever to be a Burit is the Parent that is compelled? How? Those that hold it lawful will do it without Compulsion, the Baptizing of their Child being desirable to them. But those that think it a Sin, will rather be Excommunicate and lie in Jailt and so they cannot compel them. And the Anabaptist Children are mostly unbaptized for all their Compulsion. But the usual way of Nonconformists is to clude the Canon, and to agree privately with the Godfathers to be but Witnesses or Seconds, and that the Parent simself will be there present, and when the Questions are put to the Godfathers, will show his confent by bowings the he may not speak.

hilbert fatherhiles to avoid the Evil of Conformity; is no Justification of Conformity on the Canon, nor of any that will deny Baptism for an unnecessary if not an ungodly device of Man s and that when themselves seem to make Baptism necessary to Salvation; and do clearly make it a means that alcertaineth Sal-

vation to Inflants, 120 a. of the late of half to recipro

Chap. L. Point VII. Of Denying Baptism to them that dare not submit to the use of the English Crossing.

M. VII. WHat is faid against our way of Crossing, as a dedicating Sign, and Badge of Christianity, if not a Humane Sacrament of the Covenant of Grace, I have said before, and must not repeat: And also what it is for Ministers

nisters to Assent and Consent to reject all from Baptism that are not submitted to it.

I am now only to tell you that this Submission is a part of the Lay-conformity, for want of which they are punithed with the

denial of Baptism.

L. But they that are against it may yet let the Minister use it on their Children: That's his act, and not theirs: and they cannot binder bim : They fend their Children to be Baptized, and not to be Cros'd.

M. But we have many Antipodobapists, and converted Terrs to

be Baptized at Age.

2. And tho' I am much of your mind in this, yet all wifer Men are not; and the case is very difficult as to Infants; If one knew beforehand that the Priest would use Oil, and Spittle, and Exorcifm, and invocate Saints and Angels over the baptized Child. it were hard to fay, I fend him only to be Baptized when he knew how finfully it will be done. The truth is, I can justifie no Man that will submit his Child to such a crossing at Baptism. that can careris paribus have it by another better done, the Prohibited by Man : We must not be guilty of other Mens Sins, nor of Church Corruptions. So of all and included a so were stilly and

L. Christ that will have mercy and not facrifice, would not have

re a los a la vere app fairts had

men refuse Christendom for fear of a Cross.

M. Christ who would have Teachers learn that Lesson, I will have mercy and not facrifice, would have no Minister deny Chris stendom to such as think their crossing sinful: And yet he would have no Man commit any Sin, to gain Baptism: but will save the imbaptized that defired it, so they might have had it without and will himself shew Mercy to such as consent not to a pollured Sacrifice. And would have us prefer a lawful way when we can have it. Baptilm is our Renunciation of Sin.

CHAP LL POINT VIII.

Of Rejecting not-Kneelers from Communion.

L. A N D well they deserve it that will not reverently receive so great a Gift upon their knees from God.

M. Do you think it is for want of humble Reverence? Do they not kneel after and longer to God in Prayers publickly, and in their Families and Chambers, than most that blame them? Were Christ's Apostles unreverent that did not kneel at receiving it in his own visible presence? Was all the universal Church unreverent, that for 600 Years, if not 2000 after Christ forbad strictly all Adoration by kneeling every Lords Day, because they would use a Landawy Gesture, denoting their belief of Christs Resurrection? Do those men shew more Reverence to God and Religion, that will kneel at the Altar, and scarce ever kneel to God at home, and seldom use his Name but with prophagation? It is not unreverence that causes their dissent.

1. I know no just cause they have of this diffent.

M. I confess, nor I, while the open Doctrine of the Church renounceth all Bread-worship and Idolatry: But were it among Papist, where the Doctrine expounds the action, I

durft not do it-

But I told you before what moveth them, which I must not again repeat. But I will repeat it, that it is a heinous injury to the Church, and the particular Persons, that on the account of fo small and doubtful a circumstance, wherein all antiquity is against the imposers; they should deny Communion with Christ and his Church as much as in them lieth to faithful Christians : and should turn the Sacrament of Love for no just cause, into an occasion of hatred and persecution; and the Sacrament of Unity, into an Engine of division, by their own needless impositions to perplex mens Consciences, and set people one against another: O what a fnare and instrument of wrath and discord, and inhumane usage of other men, do many turn that bleffed Sacrament into, which is instituted for the Communion of Saints in unfeigned Love. The more fuch magnify the Sacrament as the very Flesh and Blood of Christ, the more do they condemn themselves.

CHAP.

CHAP, LIL POINT IX

Of denying Lay-men Communion in a Neighbour Parish Church, when they dare not Communicate in their own Parish, for the Reasons aforesaid.

O you think it is a fin deferving exclusion from Christian Communion, for a man to think it unlawful for him to own and encourage the Ministry of an ignorant, insufficient, or grofly frandalous, or hurtful Teacher. A poor Christian that is unwitting to be damned, and readeth that he should love his Neighbour as himfelf; perhaps, heareth the Prieft tell the People what hypocrites and odious perfors Mon-conformists are, and exhorts them to avoid fach, and to profecute them, and root them out as the intolerable enemies of Church and State, and as unfit to be Members of any Society : He is acquainted with dis vers Non-conformiffs, their Lives, and their Books and Doctrines. and finds the clean contrary. He reads in Scripture, [Seesbat ye love one another with a pure heart fervently.] He heareth from the Pulpit, [See that ye hate one another, and feek the deftraction of one another,] Chrift faith, [Love your enemies] the Prieft exhorts them [to root out their friends] Chrift faith [He that receives h you, receives h me : and shake off the dust of your Feet against them that receive you not : It shall be easier for Sodom and Gomorrah than for [uch] the Priest faith. [He shat veceiveth fuch Ministers. finnerb against Christ, and be that bath any communion with them is a Sebismatick, The man readoth, [Beware of false Propbets; and thinks it a fin to encourage the teachers of ties and wickedness] and he readeth [He shat bateth his Brother is a Murderer, and bath not eternal Life: and if I have not charity, I am but as founding Brafs, Cc.] He thinks him a falle teacher, that contradicteth Chrift; and that feeks to damn the hearers. And he thinks that no tongue can more contradict Christ, than that which Preacheth down Love, and Preacheth for hating godly Men, the' on flanderous pretences, and that no man can do more to damn the People, than he that draweth them from love, to fuch hatred.

Another liveth in a Parish where a dry ignorant fellow affords him no such help as he is conscious his soul needeth, and where the Common - Prayer is so much better, than the Sermon, that were it not for that, he might better stay at home. And where the Priests Conversation encourageth the Drunkards and Pro-

phane, and vilifieth godly Men.

This man is for the Church-way, but for a better Minister: The question is, whether for this he be so great a sinner that all neighbouring Ministers must drive him away, and deny him

Communion.

Another honest Christian taketh it for a sin to kneel at the Railes, or to join with the Organs, or to receive or desire the Diocesan manner of Confirmation, or to forbear Communion with all Christians whom the Church-men here condemn or cast out. The question is, whether it be no wrong to any of these to-be denied Communion at a neighbour Parish, where his doubts are removed?

I prove that he hath right to fuch neighbour Communion.

1. Because he is a Member of Christs Body, the Catholick Church, and therefore hath right to the Communion of Saints. And to believe that in the Creed, and condemn it in Practice, is to believe to condemnation.

They themselves teach that a true Christian hath right to Communion with all Churches, where he hath just occasion

to feek it.

3. They say that there is no Church without a Bishop, and that the Diocesan-Church is the least true political Church: And if so, he separateth not from any Church that separateth

not from the Diocefan.

4. Thereforefaid persons do nothing to forfeit the Communion of neighbour Churches; therefore it is a sin and wrong to deny it them. If it were proved an errour to avoid that as a sin which they avoid, all mankind hath errours; and to be overfearful of Fire, or Water, or Plague, or Poyson, is a tolerable safe weakness, and not like the sins that swarm in multitudes of tolerated Parishioners.

L. That which is not so immoral, as Fornication, Drunkenness, Curfing and Swearing, may be more hurtful to the Church, and so de-

fery: greater feverity from Governours.

M. The Church-Keys are to be used with due relation to Heaven, and those are to be taken in, or cast out, that Christ will take in, or cast out from Heaven: And if you think he will damn an obedient godly Christian for fearing to partake of the

č 2 fin

fin of wicked Priests, or for fearing to be poyfoned with love killing Dostrine, or for fearing the vain Worship of mens traditions, rather than a prophane derider of Conscience, and a fishey Fornicator, Drunkard or Blasphemer; I shall not think it worth my labour to dispute with you.

But men that take the Churches welfare to lie in the wealth and domination of fuch as they, more than in the Holy Obedir ence, Conscience, and Piety of the People, will object the same

that you now do.

CHAP. LIIL POINT X

Of Swearing never to endeavour any alteration of Govern-

M. HOW far this extendeth objectively I before proved X. How by L. The words of the Oaths. 2. The confent of the Bishops. 3. And the words of the seven Canons; and the Es Ca

tera Canon in 1640. fo that there is no doubt of it.

2. How far it extendeth as to the persons obliged. I before told you, and you may read; 1. In the Corporation AI, which imposeth it on all Corporations 2. In the Vestry AII, which imposeth it on all Church Vestries. 3. In the AII of Uniformity, which imposeth the subscription on all the Clergy. 4. In the Oxford AII of Banishment, which imposeth the Oath on Non-confinmist, and more. 5. In the Militia AII, which imposeth it on all the Military Commanders, and Souldiers in the Land; so that you may, well say, that it is a National Covenant or Oath.

3. What is smils in the Church-Government that needeth an amending alteration, I have so often told you that I will not

repeat it. Judge then what this Oath importeth.

L. It could never be the meaning of the Parliament, that no man shall endeavour to amend the faults of any Officers, Courts or Actions; for they often amend their own Acts of Parliament; and they reserve a Power in King and Parliament, to make alterations even in Church Governments: But that belongs not to the People, nor should they endeavour it.

Ad. s. I hope you will not confound Stated Offices, and Mens Exercise of them in Practice. I grant that they do not bind us by Oath never to endeavour that Bishops, and all the Officers of their

their Courts may be honest men, and slander, and injure no man against Law, &c. But it is the Offices, as here stated, that are made thus far unalterable, named in the Canon [Arch-Bi-shops, Bishops, Deans, Arch-Deacons, and the rest that hear Office

sherein.]

2. I grant, that the Law is made to bind none but Subjects; and that an altering power is referved to King and Parliament: But it doth not follow, that all the Subjects be not bound by it: Though Tbey may change Laws, yet We may not: And as you fay, They suppose that it belongeth not to the People to endeavour it: Which We grant, as to any Rebellious, Seditious, or otherwise unlawful Endeavour: But whether God bind not all men in their own Place and Calling, by Prayer, Conference, Elections of Officers, Petition, Go. to endeavour to amend all Crying, Dangerous, Common Sins, is a farther Ouestion.

L. They cannot mean to exclude Petitioning, for that is the Sub-

jests Right, and is by them allowed with Restraints.

M. 1. It is meant in opposition to the Scots Covenant, which tyed men to oppose Popers, Prelacy, and Schisme, only in their several Places and Callings.

2. It is expres'd in the most universal terms, without the least

Exception, by men that knew how to speak.

3. Reasons were given in Parliament against any Limitation.

and those Reasons carryed it as bist swell

They were Men that were wholly for the Church of England, whose Canon had before Excommunicated themselves, and all men, that accused any Office in the Church Government as sinful. And they knew, that should any of them, when the Parliament is risen (yea, or there) so say, he is an Excommunicate Man.

whom these Oaths are imposed, even from petitioning, or any other Endeavour of Alteration; though they allow petitioning in other Cases; for they intended to fix and secure the Church-

Government against all Alterations.

6. Therefore (as I faid before) they joined it with, yea, and fer it before Stine Government in all their Oaths and Government; And do you think in Conscience, they left men at liberty to petition against Monarchy, or against the Life, or Power,

Cc' 3

or.

or Honour of the King: Far be it from us to think fo ill of

I must profess to you that I do not think half so ill of well-order'd Monasteries of Men or Women, as I do of our large Diocesses, or our Lay-Excommunicators, according to the Canons. And yet even in the Times of Popery, the Nation was not Sworn never to endeavour any alteration of Monasteries.

If you would have all Corporations, Soldiers, Vestries, Minifters sworn never to endeavour to care the Sick, to relieve the Poor, to seek more Wealth, to reform all Play-houses, Alehouses and Taverns, to Catechize their Families, &c. I would

not join with you.

National Oaths and Covenants are Matters of great moment: We have deeply inffered by raffinels in such already: And should any of them prove falls and wicked, and the Nation be stigmatized with Perjury, you might more sadly write, Lord bave Mercy on us, on the Land, than on the Doors where the most dreadful Plague prevaileth.

CHAP. LIV. POINT KL

Of Swearing an Abborrence of taking Arms against any Commis-

M. This also I have said enough of in the Case of the KI. I Ministers, and told you that we are far from scrupling it in Disloyalty; but in Loyalty, only, a Lest the Keepers of the Seals may by Commissions depose the King, on deliver up the Kingdom to whom they please. 2. Because the Authority of a Commission, as above, and against the King's own Law, is not a matter that Lawyers and Judges themselves are agreed of, and therefore unfit for the unskilful Vulgar to determine by their Oath.

L. The end is but to secure your Loyalty.

M. The End is one thing, and the Means another: We are ready to give better fecurity of our Loyalty than this, which I before intimated to you.

Do you think in your Conscience that all the Souldiers in England, and all the Corporation-Officers, and entrusted Persons, and all the Vestry-men, and all the Ministers are so well skill'd

in Politicks and Law, above Bishop Bisson, Grovius, Barelay, and all the Tribes of Learned Lawyers, Casuists, Canonists, Philosophers, Gr. before named, as that they can take such an Oath in Truth, Judgment, and Righteonsness? Swearing Allegiance, and renouncing Rebellion, is easily known to be every Subjects Duty. But to unty knotty Controversies in Law, is

fure above every vulgar Brain.

Why was not this way found out to prevent all the Civil Wars in the days of the two Williams, of Stephen, of Henry the 1st. and 3d. of K. John, of Edward 2d. of Richard 2d. of Henry 4th. and Edward 4th. and Henry 6th. and Richard 3d. and to prevent the Informations in the days of Q. Mary, and Q. Elizabeth? Why do they not this way decide all the Controversies at Liege, Colen, &c. to make the People determine them.

by Oath?

All Politicks agree that the Difference between near Subjects and Slaves, is, that the former have propriety which none can take from them, but by their Confent, at least in their Wives, Children, and Lives; and that Slaves have none such, nor may resist a Commission to take them away, though causless, and Laws are there but the Will of the Lord, who may cross them at his pleasure; and that a Ruler of Subjects, and an Owner of Slaves thus differ. Now if it be a Controversy, Whether the English be meer Subjects or Slaves, the ignorant Vulgar are no sit Judges to decide it, and that by Oath?

CHAP. LV. POINT XIL

Whether all Trafted in Corporations may declare, That there is NO OBLIG ATION on them or any other Person from the Oath called, The League and Covenant.

M. I Spake to this before but a little on the by; it being no XII. I part of the Ministerial Conformity. Ministers are only to subscribe, or swear, that the said Oath bindeth no man to endeavour any Alteration of Government; but the Corporations are to declare. That there is no Obligation at all, from that Oath on them, or any other. I have read much of the History of Heathens, Mahometans, and Christians; and I confess, I remember not that ever I read the like to this. The likest to it that I remember.

remember, was in the long Wars and Contentions between the Pope and the German Emperour, when they Iware and un-Iware, and Iware again, as either Party got advantage: And that Popes and Councils have Decreed the disfolving of Oaths of Fidelity to those Kings whom the Pope Excommunicates, is commonly known; but Protestants know no such power.

L. This Declaration is to be expounded by the many following Acts, which only fay, there is no obligation to Change the Govern-

ment.

M. That's gratis dictum without proof; that several Acts have the same meaning when the words so much differ, is not to be prefumed. One of them is an Universal Negative without the least exception, and the other a particular Negative only. . 2. And the Acts were made at feveral times, to feveral men, and the Parliament in the latter, never pretended to limit or explain the former, which fure they would have done if they repented of the Terms. 3. And Parliament Men tell us. That it was mentioned that the Non-Obligation of the Covenant should be limited, and it was pleaded against it, That if men believe that they are bound by it to any thing, some will think that they are bound to all that is lawful, and that it's lawful to take Arms against the King, and so there is no securing them from Rebellion, as by that Covenant, but by renouncing all its Obligation: And this carried the Caufe. 4: It is not lawful for Subjects to put a particular Sence on Universal Words imposed, unless the imposers first so expound the Terms; which they have refused to do after twenty years complaint of the Dissenters, and do justifie the universal sence to this day. Therefore such forced Expositions of our Rulers words in fo tremendous a matter, are not to be feigned without good proof.

L. We fay Bonum est ex Causis integris: There is Evil in that

Covenant, therefore it is an Evil Covenant.

M. That's mone of the Question; it may be Evil in that part that is Evil, and the thing it self may thence be denominated Faulty or Evil, and yet not all that is in it be Evil, nor it Evil simplicites but secundum quid. Do you think all is Evil that is there Vowed?

L. If it be Evil, no one is bound to keep it.

M. No, not in the Evil part? But do you think that the conjunction of fome III things in a Vow or Covenant, doth difeblige a man from all that's good in it? If so, mark what will follow.

1. Man is so ignorant, and imperfect, and faulty, that he doth nothing that's good without a mixture of some evil, how can finless perfection come from sinful Imperfection? And so we should be bound by no Vow, or Oath, or Contract at all.

2. If Knaves once learn this Lesson, they will be fure to soilt in some ill clause into their Vows to GOD, and their Covenants with Man; that so they may be bound by none.

The Oath of Allegiance or Fedelity to the King, and the King's own Oath at his Coronation, in the time of Popery, had ill clauses in it for the Papal interest; doth it follow that neither

of them did bind?

4. If an Irish Tory should, on the high-way, meet an English.

Lord, and take his Purse, pretend that he is against the King, and should force him at once to take an Oath to be true to the Ring, and to give him his Estate, and conceas his thest. The latter is evil, and yet even that Oath bindeth to be true to the King.

ordination was null, and obliged them to no Ministerial

6. If the Clergy in former ages, or in France or Spain, befworn to the King and the Pope, doth it follow that this binds them not to the King, because it binds them not to the Pope?

Words and Claufes, or lately in England by Julices in new) terms, was such marriage null?

Estate, and put in some unlawful clauses appealing to Angels, or wishing you to pray for the Souls in Purgatory, do not think you would take that Willion Deed for a pullity and a soul.

9. If in Popery or here, tome Clauses at Baptism provebad,

it doth not millife the Baptismal Vow.

and to deliroy or plander lone uniteent men; or the Rapill Souldier figuld invertobe true to the King, and so pull down the Protestant Ministry and Bishops; the former Part binds them though the latter doth not.

L. An Oath unlamfully imposed binds no man.

M. That's only the Doctrine of Perjury, contrary to all fober Christian Casults. An unlawful imposition that is made by an Usurper without true power, binds no man to take the Oath imposed, but if he take it without being bound to take it, the Qath binds him to the lawful part of the matter.

1. If a High way Robber make me fwear to be true to the King, that Oath binds, though he had no Authority to impose

it on mend I would

2. If an Usurping Minister Baptize a man, and make him . Vow himself to Christ, his Vow binds him, though the Usurper.

had no anthority.

3. If a man make many voluntary Vows, which no man bound him to make, he is bound to keep them if the matter be lawful. And the want of authority in the imposer doth but leave you as a volunteer, unobliged to take it:

4. And I would not have a Popish Clergy tempted to say, The Hing and Parliament had no authority to impose the Oaths of Allegiance and Supremacy on us without the Pope; therefore

we be not bound to keep them.

L. But the Covenant was forced, and no man is bound by a Pro-

mife or Oath which he was forced to make.

M. That's a Doctrine of gross Perjury: It's true, that no man that without authority forceth another to promise any thing to him, can lay any just clame to that which he forced a man to promise. For no mans own Crime can give him right to a Commodity; Nemini debetur Commodum ex proprise culpa, and the promiser is not bound to give it him, because he hath no right to receive it; but if you be injuriously forced to promise or vow your Duty to G.O.D., or the King, or your Neighbour, that you and promise doth bind you to perform it.

1. If it be done without right by Prince or Prelates that force men to be Baptized, yet that forced Vow doth bind them.

2. If Bishops unjustly force unfir men to the LORD's Supper, their Vow there made obligeth them. 3. As I faid, if a High-way Robber force you to livear to be true to the King, or to reflore ill gotten goods, or to recaut a flander, that Oath doth bind you.

4. If the King should unjustly force you to Marry a Woman,

the Covenant binds you.

The Reason is, because man hath free will, and doth all that he doth by that choice, which is true freedom. It sup proper force of his will that moveth him, though we call it force from anothers act, who doth his best to force him; a man may refuse though he die for it. He that casteth his goods into the Sea to save the Ship, is urged to it, but may choose. He that giveth a Thief his purse to save his life, might have chosen. Do not the Martyrs freely lay down their lives? and if any deny Christ or his Canse to save his life, and say I was for-

ced, that will not fave his Soul.

y. And your Doctrine will fet up all unfaithfulnels and rebellion. All men that under Penalties are commanded to swear Allegiance, or to take this Corporation Oath, or the Militia-Oath, or the Oath to the Bishops are hereby taught to say, We were forced to it by the Kine and Prelates, and did it all against our wills, and therefore are not bound by it. Such principles loose the bonds of all Societies, Loyalty and humane Converse; and married men will put away their Wives when they are weary of them, and say, I was forced against my will by my Parents, or by Poverty.

L. But this Covenant was unlawfully taken, as well as unlawful-

ly imposed, and therefore bindeth not.

M. This also is Permicious Doctrine against all sober Casuists. If the matter be good, the causeless and unlawful act of taking it, dock not nulline the obligation to perform it. He that voweth an indifferent act, should not have done it; for a vow must not be causeless; but he must keep it when it is made.

He that finned in marriage when he ought not, yet must perform his marriage Covenant.

He that in meet hypocrific maketh the Baptifmal yow, did

fin and yet is bound to keep it.

The truth is, wicked men have so much of ill principles, and ill ends, that they do all sinfully that they do oft as to the sub-stance, and ever as to the manner: But they are not disobliged

from all their Contracts and Vows, because they sinfully made them. Elfe they will purpolely do all finfully, that they may,

not be obliged.

So that. 1. If the Act of Impolition. 2. The Act of Swearing. 3. And part of the matter Sworn, be all unlawful; yet a. man is obliged to that part of the matter that is lawful. But part of the Vow in question was good.

L. What part of it was good as to the matter?

M. 1. The renouncing of Popery. 2. And of Schilm. 3. And of Prophanenels 4. The Obligation to defend the King 5. The Profeilion to Repent of fin, &c.

But all this we are bound to otherwise before.

M. I ben you confess that it is good; and then the Vow in ques Rion binds us to it. I hope you are not fo ignorant as to think that 2 Vow binds not a man to do that which he is bound to before. I told you before, tho' a man be bound by his Now in Baptism to Christ, his renewing it at every Sacrament layeth more and more Obligation on him. .. If a man have taken the Oath of Allegiance, every time he taketh it, he is again bound to the fame thing. One may have a thousand Obligations to one and the Same Duty.

L. Bus one thing is unanswerable: Na. man is bound by a Vow that had not a felf-ubliging nower : But the Subjects of England and Scotland, had no felf-obliging power to take that Covenant, because the King was against it. The 30. of Levit provesh this at large,

M. Indeed if the Act of Vowing were not only finful but a meer nullity, that Vow being no Vow, could not bind; Bet that Levis, 30, doth no whit prove this, Thave fully manifelted in my Christian Directory in the chap, of Vows, to which I refere you part 3 cap g. Where the whole case of Vows is so

largely opened; that I will here only lay this little.

Mollo son the vest fare -

The text of Levis. 30. doth exprelly speak only of Women, that are in a Parents or Husbands house, and only of Vows made freely to God of doing or offering something to him: Yea it seemeth limited to them, of which many reasons may be given. And many reasons I have there mentioned Par 33. why it doth not extend to Princes and Magistrates for releating their Subjects from their Vows, tho' some pretend a parity of reason. But thefe things are certain.

That even the Parents make not the Vow null at its first making, bot only relax it after, and stop the Confirmation of

it verl. 4. 4. 7. 8. 10, 11, 12. 7

That this Power is about vows to God, as good or hurtful to the inferiours; and that some Vows are so certainly necessary to the inferiours good, that the Father or Husband (whose Power is only for their good, and not their hurt) cannot dispense with it. As Dr. Sanderson, saith Praless. 4. 5. 5. 19: 104, 1091 it belongeth only to that matter in which one is under another Government, which hath 5. 6. a double limitation, One in the person of the Swearer, viz. There is searce any one that hath the nie of ranson, that is so fully under anothers Power, but that in somethings be is sai surface of fully under anothers Power, but that in somethings be is sai surface, being bind bimself, without consulting his Superiour, so as that by his some Astronomy of a Superiour Licence, be may hind himself. 2. As no she consent of a Superior— a tacit consent antecedent or consequent suffices—Quasi discret, si disconsum sum vel uno die disconsum votum in perpenum stabilivit.

and to Rejets of Sin, are things to necessary, and to much for every persons good, that no Parent or Husband can either forbid or nullify such a Vow: No man can hinder any from Vowing in Baptism to be a Christian, and to forbear Murder, Adultery.

Theft Idolatry, co. nor can disoblige them after.

he die, and the next superior (e.g. a Husband) do consent, or when a Woman makes the same Vow, it remainerh obligatory.

And it is certain that if a Parent or Husband make the fame Vow himself, he cannot disoblige himself. And if once he

confent, he can never after pollify it.

Men rook and imposed this Oath, when they were neither conftrained, nor acknowledged the Kings Power to dissolve it.

2. That thousands in the Nation knew not of the Kings pub-

lished Profession against it.

3. That thousands, yea the far greatest number in England,

took it after the death of the King.

4. That they thought the present King took it himself, and owned it by a Declarantion; in which, tho for my part I doubt

not but the Sents finfully about Him and the Kingdom, yet that alters not the cafe of the Subjects obligation by that Now altern

5. That multitudes of Lords, Knights, and others took it that had adhered to the King in his Wars: All which undoubtedly

puts it out of the cafe of diffolution in Levis. 30.

Besides, the common Protestant Dostrine is, that beather Popes, Princes or Prelates, can dispense with Nows made in removessing. Could Kings disobline all their Subjects from their Oaths and Vows, it would make a great change in the Religion, Morality, and Commerce of the World: So that hitherto we have no fatisfaction.

L. But this was a League and Covenant between man and man, who are dead or changed, and not a Votoco God; as you presend; ou

which you lay the firefs of the Obligation: " and it and many

M. I have nothing to do with it as a League of Men, to do any action towards each other; but only as a Vow to God, and Covenant of Duty to God: And the the name of a Vow be not in it, I think him not worth the difficing with that on deliberation denieth it to be a Vow to God. Whom think you elfe do men make these promises to, of Repensance and Referenceion, and opposing Prophaneness, &c. The words signific as solemn a Vowing, as can well be made by words.

L. Tou would make all the Corporations of England conflianced by the groffest Perjury that men can be guilty of, even by disobliging or justifying themselves; and all orders in three Kingdoms whom they never saw, in the violating of a Von against Herety, Schism, Popery, and Prophanenes, and Impentiones: When as you know that our Cler-

gy cry down Schifm every (day.

M. I leave all Men to answer for their own actions: I only tell you why the Different date not take these Oaths: I meddle not with other Men. And you know a man that saith, This fine binds not, may yet hold that something else binds as against the same thing. But if I were for Schisse, and should argue from this topick of the non-obligation of the Vow, I know not how you could answer me.

L. Let us try, What is your Argument & input noille ong Le gi

M. That which is no fin, is not to be avoided as fin.

Schism is no sin.

Ergo Schistn is not to be avaided as sin, which as Remember that I do but plead their principles?

L. I deny the Minor.

That which a man Vowing to avoid it is not by that Vow

bound to avoid is no for:

But Schism (and so Prophaneness, and Popery) is that which a mini sewing to avoid it, is not bound by that Vow to avoid so and salam ton or moda velatio

Erro Schism is no fin.

L. I deny both Major and Minor, and first the Major.

M. A Vow to avoid in alwaies bindeth.

Ergo that is no fin which a man vowing to avoid is not thereby bound to avoid : eft or non eft are contradictory Terms.

L. I deny the Major, and distinguish, a lawful Vow to avoid sin

ever bindeth; an unlawful one dobb mot

M. Unlawfulness is, 1. In the Act of Swearing. 2. In the Act of Impoling. a. In the Matter Sworn. An Oath unlawfully Imposed and Taken, bindeth to a Lawful Matter. But for an Oath against fin to be Materially unlawful is a contradiction : For to be Sin and to be Unlawful is all one.

1. I deny that a Kow again & Schifm binds not

M. The Wow called the Covenant bindeth no man.

The Vow called the Covenant, is a Vow against Schism (Propanenels, and Popery;)

Ereo a Vow against Schifm, (Prophaneness and Popery)

binds not, HI II

L. Ton argue à particulari : Tho' this Von do not, another

M. I arque de effentia particularis ad communem effentiam. If this Vow have all that is effential to a Vow and yet binds not, then no Vow as such effentially doth bind. If the anima hujus bovis velovis, be not anima rationalis, and yet have all that is: effential to the anima brutorum, then it is not effential to any anima brati to be rational: And it cannot be accidentally fo here. If the Vow against Schism and Prophaneness have all effential roa Vow and yet bind not, then no Vow bindeth qua talis as a. Vow, And if Vows bind only by accident, or by fomething elfe that's an adjunct, that's nothing for their own effential obli-

And fo much of the Corporation Declaration.

CHAP. LVI. Of many agreed Tremendous Circumstances and Principles which affright many from Conformity.

M. There are also many general and colisteral and circumstantial considerations that make men fear the guilt of Conformity the more.

L. What are those? I believe you will find as many of that fort of reasons on the other side to move you to Conform, if you consider

them.

M. I will tell you what I mean, and then I will hear all that you have to fay for it.

I. We are all agreed that no fin must be done for any commo-

II. We are agreed that to pretend Gods Service, or Name for our fin is a heinous aggravation: To fay, I must do evil to please God, to Preach and to win fouls, is Prophaneness and Hypocrify.

III. We are agreed that it is worse in a Minister of Christ than in others; because he is bound to be an example to the

flock, who are apt to imitate him.

IV. It is granted that God is jealous about his worship, and that the profaning of Holy things, and sinning openly in the Sacred Assemblies is, cateris paribus, worse than meer miscarriages in our Conversation.

V. Lying is by most acknowledged a great sin, as overthrowing humane credit and converse: But especially in a Preacher,
because it will tempt men never to believe him; And to say
that we assent and consent, and that are animo when we do not,

is heinous lying.

VI. It is granted that man bath not a despotical power of his own understanding, to believe what he will: And that if any of his errours be vicious, vice and errour must have better cure than meer commands: And if men could know and believe what they will, they should will to believe nothing but what hath credible evidence, without a carnal biass.

VII. It is agreed that all men have errour, and therefore that erring men or no men must be tolerated in our Communion; and he that thinks otherwise condemneth himself, and teacheth

all men to condemn him.

VIII. It is granted that it is a very low degree of knowledge that the univerfality of valgar Christians do attain, who hardly learn the common Catechian, much less can it be expected that they flould all be able to understand all indifferent things to be indifferent, and to be judges of the minutissima.

1X. If any errours be tolerable, it's like to be the errours a-

bout things indifferent and small.

X. St. Paul hath exprelly determined the Controverly about

loving and receiving fuch, Rom, 14, 0 15.

XI. To encourage by compliance a generation and delign of men that overthrow Christ's and his Apostles rule of Communion, and by invented impositions of their own, would make Church-Concord impossible, and would propagate this way of certain Schism, and stablish it in the World, is to confederate for tearing the Church of Christ, and making Schism, common and uncureable.

XII. It is granted that he that after his greatest study is perswaded that unnecessary Oaths, Subscriptions, Covenants, Coremonies are sinful; is condemned if he go against his Conscience.

tho' he should mistake.

XIII. Those therefore that make such snares for Souls, and then tell us [If you go against your Consciences you are damned for that, and if you do not you are damned as Schismaticks, for disobeying us] are far unlike the Ministers of Christ, or Men that help to save our Souls.

XIV. We Non-Conformists offer car Tolemn Oaths that we have by Prayer and earnest search and study, laboured to know the truth herein: And as our worldly interest would perswade us to conform, so we would readily do it, did we not believe that it is sin against God: Yea we take it (as to us) to be no small but heinous sin, by the aggravations which I am mentioning.

XV. Seeing then the way of our Condemners is either to cast all Christians out of the Church, that have not a greater degree of Knowledge herein, than I have, and all men of my Rank, or else to bring all men implicitly to believe all to be lawful that is commanded them, we cannot consent to either of these two

Meafures for the Church,

XVI. It is agreed that Perjury is so heinous a Sin, as that sew are greater: It so taketh God's Name in vain, as to en-

Fe

gage

gage his Justice in a special Revenge. It deprive the Kings of due Security for their Lives and Crowns, by the Oath of Fidelity. It destroyeth all Trust, and consequently all Commerce among Men; as well as all Hope of publick Justice: It exposeth the Estates and Lives of all men to the will of Perjur'd men; so that he that dare be perjur'd, may be supposed liable to any other Wickedness how great soever. Therefore if we Ministers should be perjured; we should make our selves utterly unmeet for our Office.

XVII. It is agreed that to fin deliberately by a Covenant under our Hands, is one of the most heinous forts of sinning; and if it be done knowingly, sheweth the person to be a wilful Servant of sin. To fin by the sudden surprise of a Passion is too bad; but to stady it, resolve it, and covenant it, is most dangerous: To engage a Mans self by Covenant to be once a Month drunk, or steal, or commit Fornication, is far worse than the

bare Aft.

XVIII. It is granted that Repentance is the condition of Forgiveness, and for a man to swear or covenant that he will never repent, or endeavour to amend, or alter any thing that is smifs, is to renounce Forgiveness.

WIX. It is granted that publick, common, national Sins, are far worse than private and personal, in sew; and if beinous, they are: Prognosticks of the sorest sudgments; and to promote them, is

to be the Enemy of the Land.

Differers fear is such, and the Kingdom should be stigmatized by it, there could scarce be any greater Shame and Danger befall the Land, to make it odious to GOD and Men, and Recorded as such to all Generations. As I said, The Oaths and Covenants to endeavour no Alteration in Church Government, is imposed on all Corporations, all Souldiers in the Militia, all Vesteries, all Non-conformist Ministers that will take it, and all Ministers as to covenant in the Act of Uniformity: And he that (without accoung others) only studieth to be innocent of so mortal a (feared) Guilt, sure is therein excusable, if the fear of God, and the love of our Souls, and of the Church and State, be not an unexcusable Crime. Apply this no farther than I apply it.

XXI. There is so much written for a foreign Jarifdistion over England, in Church Affairs, by Arch-Bishop Land, Arch-Bithop Bromball, Dr. Heylin, Mr. Thorndike, Dr. Saywell, Bifton Gunning's Chaplain (and many others) whose Words I am ready to produce) as may affare us that it is in the fame Mens thoughts to introduce it as the only way to Concord, and that they therefore defire the Ejection and Ruine of fuch as we, be: cause we are against it. And how far, and how soon God will let these men prevail, we know not : But we are past doubt, that to subject a Nation to a foreign Jurisdiction, is to figmatize it with the most adious Perjury : Seeing as the Outh of Supremacy sweareth all expresty against it, so the foresaid Corporation Omb. Peftery Oath, Militin Oath, Oxford Oath, and Uniformity Subscription, have Iworn or engaged the Nation never to endeavour any Alteration of Government, on Church or State a And if a foreign Jurifultion be no Alteration, we know nothing capable of that Name. And when we fee fome of the fine men at once endeavour to make us take futh Oaths on pain of Ruling and to defign to bring all under the Guils of Steaking them. when we have done, men think it belt to take no more of them than is necessary, till they see whether they must be kept or broken.

XXII. Plagues, Flames, Povetty, Convultions that have befaln Corporations of late years, makes us the more afraid of the fins which are like to be the Canne: And the Earl of Argues Case makes us afraid of freetching Expositions of Oaths: And the Landoners have speed so ill by such freetching Expositions as confirmeth us in our Perpose to avoid them.

XXIII. If we wilfully fin on pretence of Liberty to preach the Golpel, we cannot expect God's Blefling on our Labours :

And then what is our preaching worth?

days endured the Flames, rather than grant the real Prefence is the Majs: And we that fear far greater fin, must rather suffer than commit them.

XXV. As we dare not Conform against Conscience, so to lay by our Ministry while we can exercise it, we take to be Sacrifice, Covenant-breaking with God, and Treathery and Cruelty to the

Souls of Men.

XXVI. We are fure if all the Ministers should conform, it would be so far from healing the Church, that it would widen the Breach: For the Disserting People would be tempted to go the further from us all, and think that none of us were to be trusted; as many have turn'd further already on some such Accounts.

X X V II. We are Commonly agreed that no men have right from God to Silence all the Ministers in the Land: And we are fully satisfyed that Conformity to the things aforesaid being a Sin, all the Ministers in England ought to have been Non-Conformists,

and then the Act of Uniformity had filenced them all.

XXVIII. Laftly, the dreadful effects of Canonical and the like Impositions, the fufferings of Godly Minsters Congregations Cities, Countries and Persons thereupon + our doleful divisions, especially among Ministers, the evil Spirit that pollesseth multitudes to cry down Love and call for Vengeance, and the prospect of what is going on, do affright us from approving. confenting to, or using the Engines that thus divide us, and the Canons that are battering down our Peace; and confequently to be guilty of all the Atheilm, Prophanenels, Malignity, Popery, Persecution and Calamity to this Land, which are like to come in at the breach of our Walls, which the battery of those Canons and Engines make. A Jayl, and a Fire, or a Gallows is an eafier place, than a bed, where Conscience shall charge such Evils home upon us; much more then the Judgments which the True and Righteous Judge of the World will thorsly execute on Lyers, Malignants, or Persecutors of his Flock; yea, of the least of those that Christ will call Brethren at that day.

Lhave oft said, if any Church-History of one man be credible, St. Marin wrought many Miracles; and when the Bishops about him being bad men, to get down the Priscillian Gnosticks (worse than our Quakers) did, 1. Seek help of the Magistrate's Sword; 2. And bring strict Godly persons under suspicion of being Priscillianists; Marin renounced their Communion by resolved separation to his death; save that once at the Emperour's desire, he Communicated with them on condition the Emperour would spare the lives of some condemned as Priscillianists; and even for this was rebuked and chastized by an Angel, if his Scholar and Companion Sulpirius Severus, a Learned

Godly man, be to be believed.

to the contract of the contrac

CHAP. LVII. Of the Reafons for Conformity:

E. NOW I will tell you what I hear faid against your Non-Conformity, and I will give you leave to answer the Obje-Etions as we go on.

Obj. I. Vital commonly faid, that you are Fanatick persons that build all your differe an your own private Spices, and presended Impul-

fes and Laspinations, delengish

M. Have I pleaded with you any such impulses or Inspirations, as the Reasons of our Diffent? Is there any such thing in above 1001 Books that I have written? Did we use any such Argument in our Dispute with the Bishops? but the Papists call every mans Faith that is his One, and not taken meetly on trust as the Chibeles Build, by the Name of a Private Spirit.

Li Obji 11. They fay you make a Schifm and Stir for meer trifles and chings indifferent, viz. Ceremonies and Liturgy; confessing

that they work walawful. To bear a grant attent

M. 1. And what if they fay that we are Tark or Heathers, or have Morns and are Brutes, what remety have we? To their honger be it spoken, we would not hope to consute them.

and the sold eafier Impositions, we protested to the Bissiops in our Persions for Peace, That we mould yield to day thing the Sin against Substant we endeavoured to prove Conformity sufful? And do they well agree with themselves, when Dr. Stilling steet saith, that I would represent Conformity such as should make them

feem a company of Perjured Villains?

I pray you cell me, whether the 52 Points now opened by me, be nothing but diverge and Geremonies; and whether you take them all to be shings indifferent. Is it not an odd fort of Accepter that we have that sometimes say we suspect the Nation of common Ferjusy, and the Church of Subverting, Corruption, and overthrow of Discipline, and Excommunicating Christs Faithful Servanes; and shortly after say, We Dissent only about things indifferent? God have mercy on those miserably Souls that take such things for Indifferent.

Is it we? Did we devise them? Do we impose them on any,

and Tay, Use our things indifferent, or we will Silence you, or Exerm. municate you, and lay you in Jayl with Rogues? Be such things imposed as Indifferent?

L. 111. They say you hold your Opinions in obstinate wilfulness, and have no Reason to give for them, and therefore are not to be born

with as weak Brethren.

M. So said the Arians of the Orthodax, and the Heathers of the Christians it's a fine World when ignorant singuly Lads are heard tell such men as were Dr. Reignolds, John Fox, Amelius, Blondel, Dailee, Chamier, &c. We can't allow you so much as the esteem of weak Bresbren: I do not think but some of their School-boys might soon be taught by a Bishop to say thus to their Masters,

any good evidence, that in other things they are so much Wifer & Learneder than the Difference? Are they all of greater Learning than John Reignolds, or better Hebricians than Hugh Broughon, or better Logicians than Sadeel, or Ramme, or Sahnine, or of greater Resding than Bloodel, &c., with it will be A.

3. Dothey know as better than we our felves to Wie offer our Oaths that we hold what we do by the Cogency of appearing E-

vidence, and are willing to know the truth.

4. Have I here and elsewhere given no Reason for our Dissent Have they answered my Treatise of Episopacy my First and Second Blue for Rease, my Apology, my Treatise of the Torons of Clarch Concord, or any one ching that I have written for our Cause, save two orthree by disputes, which when I have vindicated they have let fall the Disceptation? What from have these men then that say we Dissent antibout giving Reason for it. But you know how long the Pressuran shut against our Writings; and yet then they that would not endure his to Speak, acquired us for being Silem.

L. Obj. IV. They fuy you are Non-Conformifes meerly to make good your former Errows, because you will not confest that you did amiss, but will make the People justify you.

of the things before described, I confuss it is because we will not renounce them: If it be an errour to be against their Churchs corruptions, and cruel Excommunications, and denying Christendom to the Seed of the Faithful, and Communion to faithful Christians

Christians, I confess we will not recant these errours, till they have better proved them such. The Papies that swarm with Errours, as a Beggar doth with Lice, yet burn the Prote-

fants as for Errour.

2. I pray you wish those infallible men, that in the ditch of dirt are delivered from all the uncleanness of errour, to send only those that are without errour to cast the first stone at us, or those that have no worse errour than ours to stence, excommunicate and destroy us.

3. Have we given them no reasons of our distent?

all into the case that we are in, was thus given us 1664, and oft since in Print? [If we abate them any thing, they will say that Read the Lord our Church was faulty, and needed that Reformation] who St. Alban's then is it that hath divided us to avoid confession of any ons of Reconformer faultiness? Tho' good Bishop Hall pronounceth a ciling and Ediheavy Sentence on them that will justify the miscarriages fication of the of the Prelates.

Advertisement on the present Church-Controverses, and see whether he thought there was no need of Reformation: And Judge Hales Papers of Religion.

L. Obj. V. They say that you took part with the Parliament against the King, and involved the Land in Blood, and have still

the same rebellious principles.

M. 1. I confess there were some among us that were of the mind of Hooker, Billon, Grotius, Barelay, and the common sort of Casusts, Politicks, &c. and that thought that as in a doubt about Physick, the College of Physicians were most to be trusted, so in a doubt about Law, the Parliament had been most credible: And when the Irish had murdered two hundred thousand Protestants falsy pretending that they had the Kings Commission, and threatning to finish their works in England, there were many formerly tempted to sy in sear to the Parliament for safety; being ignorant that the Kingsbare word, notwithstanding the Papists strength and interest, was more to be trusted with our Laws, Lives and Religion, than all the Lawyers, Courts and Pariament; and that if all the Protestants in England had been used as those in Ireland, they ought to have died patiently, unless the killers would have given them time to fend to the King

to know whether he would have them live ordie: They were ignorant that a Lord Proprietor may do with his own as he lift. Who accuseth the owner for killing his own Sheep? But the times of this ignorance are past: The Long Parliament that made the Act of Uniformity cured it: And shall not the Act of Oblivion be permitted to reconcile us, and continue our peace?

2. But, Sir, Who be they that were thus deceived? I told you, 1. That of near ten thousand that had Churches under the Parliament and Cromwel, there was but two thousand that refufed to Conform: And is not feven thousand Conformists more than two thousand Diffenters. 2. Many that were in the Parliament's Army Conformed; and some that were for the Kings Death, when the generality of those called Presbyterians abhorred it, and the Engagement; and brought in the King on reafons of meer Conscience.

3. I have told you that we will take it thankfully, if only those were silenced that had any hand in that War, believing that it will not now be twenty Ministers in England. And why are the rest that were Boys at School, accused for other Mens opinions or actions? For the time to come, you need not fear them. I heard some tell the Members of the Long Parliament that called them Rebels for faying, that a Parliament may use defensive Arms againft the Kings Commissioned Souldiers; that if that would ferve, they would promise, that if the King would but send a dozen Iriflamen to kill them all in the house, they would never be guilty of taking Arms to defend them, nor perswading any elfe to do it.

L. Obj. VI. But they fay that thefe Non-Conformifts, tho' they had no hand in the tate War, yet have the fame Principles that

canfed it, and that is, Non-Conformity.

M. This is an argument a baculo ad angulum: A man is against the Cross in Baptifm, or a Lay-Chancellor's excommunicating Men for a Ceremony, &c. ergo he is against the King, and for Rebellion. The other fide fay, that the Irifb Principles and the Popish were the cause; and must we therefore conclude all Irish or Papists to be against the King? They were Papists that raised the Wars on both sides, in the aforefaid days of King William, K. Seephen, H. 1. and H. 3. and Ed. 2. and Rich. 2. and H. 6. and Rich. 3. and Ed. 4. &c. Doth it follow that all rapifts are rebels? 2. But when that War begans were of the Church of England, and Conformifer is and it's ftrange that any flouid have the face to deny its while fo many are yet living that know thems. Whielocke tells us in his Memorials, that they voted, that every County flouid have a Pifeo and his Prestyeery: And were those then against Episcopaty? One would think that a County flouid being enough to keep Episcopacy from dwindling to nothing a every Bishop of old had but one City: Many Counties have sen, or near twenty Towns that were then called Cities. But when Papists dare say that all are against Kings that are against the Pope, who is the ruler and deposer of Kings; it's no wonder if every Bishop, or Chancellor, or Official, So, will say, If you be not for us you are against the King; since all are sworn against altering the Church-Government before that of the State.

Mr. Martin that lost an arm in the Kings service in the War, lay in Gaol at Warwick, for preaching when silenced; and Mr. Francis Bampfield that was against the Parliament's War, lay seven years in Gaol for preaching as a Non-Conformist, and died in New-gate. Non-Conformist that have been, and are

most for the King, do fuffer as much as others.

3. Read my second Plea for Peace, in which I declare our political Principles; and tell us what you there dislike.

L. Obj. VII. But why do you refuse to renounce all the Obli-

gation of the Covenant, if you are not disloyal ?

M. If you reduce your objection to an argument, it must run thus; (All those are disloyal to the King who think that this vow binds them to be against Prophaneness. Popery or Schism, or to endeavour any amending or alteration of the prelatical way of Church-Government: But, &c. I deny the Major. Is he an honourer of the King that dare aftern this?)

We are ready to renounce all Obligation from that or any o-

ther Covenant, to any difloyalty what ever.

L. Obj. Vill. They say that your Preaching is unnecessary; there being Ministers enough without you, and therefore that you Praceh bine to keep up a party, and your own Reputation and Interest inshem.

M. And I think that Priest fitter for tears than for disputes, that so little knoweth England, or the work of the Office they undertake: If I know the Church that hath such a Pastor, I shall

FF

be paid doubt that they have need of help. If would as soon believe him if he undertook to build a Gathe alone, and faid he had no need of field; or that forty thousand of the poor of Lowdon have no need of relief, and that it is rebellion to relieve them; as that the forementioned two hundred thousand have no need of reaching or Ministerial help for their fouls.

L. Obj. 1K. There interfement as have written state is Pride and Constitutions to but maken you Mon-Conformists to free goally, and

to get livings for your Bous . will be word and one and I

M. Satan is so impudent a disputant, that I am aweary of answering him, the in self-desence: If it be pride to be scorned and toss'd about as Rogues, why will these humble Lord Bishops and Masters so be vogue as to make us proud? Men use to take down that which seedstheir enemies pride; I pray get them to restore us from poverty, and prisons, and scorns, and slanders, to take down our pride.

And for coverouses get them one year to take our turns, to have all their Goods and Books taken away, on the penalty of 40 !. for every Sermon, and that to be levied on their hearers which they cannot pay, and in this case to ly in Gaol, and tell us when they have tried it whether it gratified their coverous-

nefs.

As to mens Charity to our selves or Som; 1. I have said heretofore that the 2000 that were ejected were fit for Bedlam, if
they would go out and finster, that so forty Mens Sons that
conform against their Fathers wills, might get benefices. 2. They
will take it for a disgrace to their Church, if we should not grant
that the Lords, Knights, Rich Men and Patrons, are far more
of their Church, than of Dissenters; and therefore liker to preferr Conformists Sons, than others: And a Living for the Father, another for the Son, is more than a possibility for one, But
brazen-saced impudence cannot be consued.

Li. Obj. X. They say you are more Hypocrites, that have learns a shew of Holiness and Justice, but have fatse, proud, self-concessed,

rebellious Hearts.

M. And truly we cannot confute them any otherwise than by an appeal to the Judge of Hearts: We cannot shew them our Hearts: And if they say that they see and know them, let Men believe them as they see cause. They did not crastily so much to praise our out-side; for those that take them not for Gods will hardly believe that they see any deeper.

But.

But as far as funderstand, their meaning is. That no man on earth is truly honest and godly; and therefore all are Hypocrites that profess it. And so they confess themselves Hypocrites in professing Christianity, if Profession be the mark of Hypocrites.

L. Obj. XI. They fay that you are Rebels and Schifmatichs and gainft the Church, and that's as bad as Murder, or Adultery; and

to you are more vicious whan shop

M. It's well they made their Chancellours, Officials, Commiffaries, &c. the Church first: I confess I am not unapt to believe that they take it for worse than Adultery, Murder, Persecution or Perjury, to cross their wills and worldly interest. And if calling themselves (rection to) would make any needy or ambitious pack of mens, the matters of all mens confesences, and persons, and estates, I should not wonder if more than Papills and Presatists strove to be called, The Church.

L. Obj. XII. But they fay all antiquity condemnet b Schifm, and you are they that will not fuffer the Church to have Unity and Peace, in disobeying the Bishops; and Rebellion is at the sin of

Witcheraft, aud difobedience as Idolatry.

M. The sence of this last Clause is [God calls it like Witchcraft and Idolatry to Obey Him, and Rebel against His Laws: Ergo it is like Witchcraft and Idolatry not to Rebel and Disobey Him

if Bisheps command us.] Prove the consequence.

2. Do not Papilts call them Schifmanicks and Hereticki too, as long and as loud as they call us so? And will this prove them such indeed? We appeal to the common reason of mankind, whether they that make a multitude of sinful Canons, to persecute Christs Church and Servants, and add to his Laws an hundred forty one of their own with inhumane penalties, casting out those that obey them not, be not rather Schifmanicks than they that fay, We will hold Concord in an that Christ commandeth or his Apostles practised, but we dare not obey you against God. Read my Search for the Schifmanicks and The English Schifmanick Described and Confished and their blame the Schifmanick Described and Confished and their blame the Schifmanick Described and Confished and their blame the Schifmanick and space not be supplied to the Schifmanick and space not be supplied to the Schifmanick and the English Schifmanick Described and Confished and their blame the Schifmanick and space not be supplied to the schifmanic to the supplied to the Schifmanic to the Schifmanic to the supplied to the schifmanic to the supplied to the supplied

concelled, Pad; revelled to proper some befriend or yellow yen, and the

lover People are for them

7 cpc

These things are unsit matter for a controversy with any English Men of this age, but only with Foreigners and Strangers. I thought once it would never have been so hard to know good Men from bad, as these would make it. But I remember how bad Christ and his Apostles were thought by their persecutors. Wherein doth such mens badness lie? In not coming to their Churches? Thousands do, and the rest go to other Churches: But thousands of our adversaries go to none,

but very rarely.

Is it in any orber Vice? Why do they then charge them with avoiding Vice in Hypogrifie? And what is that Vice? Here are, fome fay, many hundreds that practife Physick in London: The Polls, Walls, and Gates are stickt with Physicians offers to cure the Lecherous pox: To day, I read Kirke's Bill, that faith he hath cured eight hundred of that disease. I dare bet with you all the Money I have, that if you enquire, not eight, or perhaps two of that eight hundred were Paritans, or such as you now cast out for Non-Conformists, (unless you call Papists or such other, Non-Conformists) except any of them were Wives that catcht it of Husbands that are of your Church or Parishes and not of us, or Husbands that catcht it of such conformable (or Papist) Wives. But of these things we need no defence.

3. But if our hearers be bad, they have the more need of teaching; and whether more are converted from ignorance, fenfuality, worldlines and prophanenes, by their teaching or

ours, ask others and not us.

L. Obj. XIV. But they fay that it's by you that we are, in danger of Popery, because you keep up their hopes of a roleration by your di-

visions weakning us,

M. They may of the two fay more probably it is we that bring in Prelacy, Lay Excommunicators Ceremonies, Liturgies: For of the two, we have done less against these than against Popery, and stand not at so great a distance from them: The impudency of some men is the shame of depraced humane nature. They know that it is for being, more against Popery than they are, that our ruine is so implaciably endeavoured. They know that the Papists are our chiefest prosecutors, thinking that if they could destroy us as their greatest adversaries, they should bring the Church of England to their will, and that it is but appropriating the name of Popery to the Italian Fastion that set the

Pope above Councils, and calling the rest by a better Name, and cutting off a few shreds named by Heylin in the Life of Arch-bishop Land, and it's done. They know that it is for drawing fo near to Popery that the Non-Conformifts Diffent from them; and take it for granted that those men that are labouring to bring in Popery, are the forwardest to make this putid accusation of us; and that it bath been their labour these two and twenty years to have forc'd us to yield to an universal toleration, and to petition for it, that they might bring in Popery, and then fay it is we that did it, and that for denying this and being unreconcilea. ble to Popery, the Papilts are so unreconcileable to us, as that nothing will fatisfy them but our utter extirpation, of which they would make blind, fenfual, debauched, malignant men that call themselves Protestants the instruments. And how many of their pillars have written for a foreign Jurisdiction and defend Grains, I have told you before. And to this day that Priest that is nearest to Popery, is the bitterest enemy to the Non-Conformitts, and most preacheth for their destruction: And these brazen-faced men cannot endere an honest Conformist that doth but preferr Protestants that diffent from their fetters before the Papilts: And those Bishops and Arch-bishops and the very Church of England in their times, that were most against Popery. are their forn and hatred, as you may fee in Heylin's reproach of Arch-Bishop Abbot, and the Bishops and Convocation, except fix Bishops in his days: And by the base scorns that they now pour out against good Arch-Bishop Grindal, calling such men as would Arengthen us against Popery by reconciliation, by the names of Grindalizers and Trimmers, and fuch as would betray the Church: And how they reproach and use Dr. Whithy for his Protestant Reconciler, and Mr. Bold for his Sermon, and the Author of the four Pleas of the Conformifts for the Non-Conformifts. and fuch others, you know: The Author of the Reflections, and the Samaritan they have not yet found out. Mr. Tho. Beverley feareth them not : The Bishop of Hereford, Dr. Crofes (the first man that ever I saw go forth with a Troop raised by his Brother for the King, and his Sermon in my Pulpit the first that ever I heard against the Parliament, when the King was in Torkthire, and he himself had been a Papist, and is still zealons for their Church cause) because he wrote the Book called Naked Truth, to heal us and firengthen us against Popery, they gnash

the Teeth at him; and so they do at Dr. Barlon Bishop of Lincoln, that wrote of the treasonable principles of Papists, the these Birthops are too big for them yet to vilify, and openly oppose.

L. Tou may be more against Popery than they, and yet bring it in

imprudently by dividing us.

M. Who do you think in your Conscience is liker to bring it in, we or they? Who hath done and suffer'd more to keep it out? We lay down all that we have to that end: They will not part with a Ceremony, or one Oath, or a Re-ordination, or an Excommunication of Christs Members to keep it out; but plainly tell us, that they had rather Popery came in than abate a jott of their Self-made Religion or Impositions, or than such as I should

Preach the Gospel-

But I confels I am not able to deny it, that the Non-Conformifts may be the occasion of bringing in Popery, by way of Antiperifialis; some men hate us and all serious Godliness so much, that they are like enough to be for Popery, because godly men are against it: And I fear lest they that see the Non-Conformists would reform their Prelacy and Church-Caurts, and reduce them to the Primitive Episcopacy, described by Bishop Ulber, will be so much afraid, lest they sole some of their Wealth and Domination by it, that some of them will hearken to the Papists, that will promise them an Escrease of that which they so essentially. And indeed it is already no strange thing to hear them say, They had rather the Papists came in with Popery, than the Dissenters with their Reformation.

I think ere long you are like to be convinced more effectually than by Writing, which Party is liker to bring in Popery, and to turn Papilts. In the mean time I begin to praise Stephen Gardiner, and fuch others, for their Modelly, that when they burnt Cranmer, Ridley, Hooper, Philper, Rogers, and the rest, that they did not charge them with bringing in Popery, and fay we burn

you for that.

L. XV. They say that you stretch the Words of the Oaths, Declarations, Subscriptions, Liturgy and Canons, to an ill sence, by a rigorous sincepretation, which was never she Meaning of the Anthors;

and on that you ground your Diffent,

M. 1. I hope you will grant, that when the things that men fear (whether justly or mistakingly) no less it an deliberate Lying, Perjury, and Controlling by Justification the Guilt of

many hundred choulant Perjuries, and Swearing or Covenansing never to repent or endeavour that the Nation frould repent of heinous Church-Corruptions, or amend them, and the mullifying of the Ordination and Ministry of thousands, and Unchurching almost all the Protestant Churches, and more fuch like, a men should not play with Matters of this moment. nor take God's dreadful Name in vain, nor foort with the Confurning Fire And I hope you grant, that Words in Ouths and impositions, are to be taken in the propereft afual fence, unleft the Authors otherwise expound them. And you know that: they have been fo far from expounding them otherwife, as that these twenty years they have refused it, and in Scotland fensenc'd the Earl of Argue to die for expounding them as fome would have us do. And what do Oaths or Covenants fignify, if . the Takers may put what sence they will on them, and if the most express Universals, yea, the express Exclusions of all Exceptions, may be taken in a particular fence with Exceptions, fuch Swearers and Subscribers give their Rules no fecurity. Is it not enough to tell you we will willingly fland to Bishop Sander fon's own Rules in his Excellent Prelections de Juramento, for expounding Oaths and Promifes?

Such as these Expositions of stretchers, make Oaths to be mone, viz. [11's unlawful] that is, against the King's Law but not against God's [no take Arms against the King] viz. As King, but say the Papists, when the Pope excommunicates and deposeth him, he is no King, [on any presence what soever,] that is, any unjust presence, [by his Authority against his Person] viz. It is to be done by Gods Authority and not by his, [or against any Commissioned by him,] viz. Lawfully Commissioned, of which we are differenting Judges. The same I may say of all the rest: As Affenting and Consening to all things, except many things: Swearing Canonical Obedience in Licitis & Honestis, when we judge ten or twenty Canons, if not the very frame to be Illicita & Inhonesta, &c.

L. But as you have said that those Great Men, Grotius, and Bis shop Jeremy Taylor were for proseable Lying, so you know that Worthy Latitudinarian Dr., who was wont to say, That if false Knaves would turn him out of his Ministry and Living, by enforcing impositions, he would take the Words in the best sence he could subdue them to, whatever the Authors meant; and it was as Lawful for him to defend himself against Knaves with his Tuneue, as with his Hands and Sword.

M. He

M. He is newly gone to his Judge: Nobis non licet — I told you that in my Catechifm on the Ninth Commandment, I have given unanswerableReasons against Lying, for any Benefit what-

ever.

Some say that all our Articles of Religion, are but Articles of Peace, and we subscribe not to believe them true, but not to preach against them. At this rate men need not stick at any Oath, and may shake off the Oath of Allegiance, or any other when they have taken it. And if we are thought worthy to be hated, and ruined as Rogues, for resusing self-saving, prudential, deliberate Lying, and Perjury, when Oaths and Veracity are so much of the security of the Estates, Names, and Lives, of Kings and Subjects, and so necessary to all humane Converse, we patiently commit our Cause to Him that shortly and righteously will determine all.

CHAP, LVIII. Whether Communion with fo Faulty a Church be Lawful.

L. I Shewed what you said against Conformity to a Friend, and when he had read it, he said, What a Self-contradictor is this Man, to lay all this Charge on the Church of England, and yet himself to hold Communion with it, and perswade others so to do? Can we touch Pitch and not be desiled? And indeed if all this he as had as you fear, I cannot see how any Separatists are to be blamed, or how any may Communicate with so had a Church.

M. Sic stultivitia vitant, drunken men reel from side to

fide; to keep one right tract, or to cut by a thred, feemeth impossible to them.

I. You must distinguish between the Diocesan Churchs as constituted by their Courts of Government and Canons, and the Parish Churches.

II. Between those Parish-Churches which have godly or tole-

rable Pastors, and those that have not-

III. Between Ministry and Lay-Communion.

IV. Between flated and occasional Communion.

V. Between preferring their Churches before better, and not avoiding them as null, or as unlawful to be Communicated with-Understand these five distinctions well, and I shall fatisfy

FOU.

1. Apply them, and let us bear your judgment,

M. I. The Diocesan Churches, as they depose all inferiour Biospops and Churches, and Rule by their Lay-Civilians, Church-Censures, I disown and hold no Communion with in those errours,
but only in their Christianisy. But I peaceably submit to them,
and would live quietly under them, it I might.

II. Those Parishes that have notoriously uncapable Priests, either through meer insufficiency, heresy or hurrfulness, doing more herm than good, I own not to be Organized Churches, nor have Communion with their Ministers as Ministers, not owning

them for fuch.

the term now required of us; and therefore I have no such Ministerial Communion with them.

IV. I preferr them not before better.

V. I hold not fixed Communion as a fixed Member of their Churches, with all that I hold occasional Communion with.

1. What Communion is it then that you hold with them?

M. I. With the Diogefass and their Officers, I hold mental Communion as a Christian and a Protestant in all the effentials of Christianity, and that Reformation which they own.

11. With the Parish-Churches that have true Ministers, I hold mental Communion, as true particular Churches of Christ, (tho)

Saulty.) and Joeal Communion on just occasions.

Mil. With the Parish-Assemblies that have intolerable Miniferr, I hold mental Communion with the People as Christians, and will not refuse on just occasion to join with them in any good

exercise as Laymen.

IV. With those Churches that have Ministers and Liturey, as ours that need reformation. I profess to join with them as Christians and Protessants that own all the Scriptures, and that promise to preach nothing as necessary to Salvation but what is contained in it, or may be proved by it: And when I Communicate with that Church it is as a Society so professing: But if their Sermons, Liturgy, or Liveshave any faults, being not Idolary, Herefy, Blasphemy, or such as rendreth their whole Worship, and affembling unacceptable to God, I disown Communion in any of those faults, tho' I be present.

V. When I can have better, cateris paribus, without greater hurt than good, I preferr it; and only use occasion

gara to pas of and

nal local Communion, with the Lieury - Churches, as I would

do with strangers were I in foreign lands.

VI. Where I can have no better, without more hurt than good, I Communicate constantly and only with the Parish-Church where I live, as to Local-Communion-

L. But how can you do wither of thefe without guilt, when they

are as bad as you have deferibed?

M: a I have not charged the Parish-Churches with that which I have charged the Diocelans & their Courts with; many honest Ministers, never troubled nor excommunicated a true Servant of Christ, nor ever owned intentionally the doing it by others. They lament the impositions, and would be glad we were united by their removal: They would fain have good men restored; and they do their best to promote godlines. And the Ordinary Lords-Day part of the Liturgy tho' not faultless, containeth things true and good, and it was a very great and excellent degree of Reformation, to make that Book: And the most of all its faults are in the By-Offices, Baptism, Confirmation, and Burial, & the Rubricks, which the Lords-Day common Worship is not concerned in nor do the Congregation approve.

2. Sin hath brought woeful faultiness into all the Churches on Earth: And there are very few on Earth that have not worse Doctrine and a worse Liturgy than ours: What then? Must we either own or hide all their faults, or else disown and repounce them all? No neither, but disown what is evil, and own what is good; and separate from none of them further than they separate from none of them further than they separate from none of them.

rate from Christ.

3. But I pray you answer me a few questions :

1. Do you think any Church on Earth to be faultles?

L. No For All mon are faulty that the difference is great.

M. No doubt it is great ! But, 2. Do you think that you are guilty of all the fields of the Church that you join with?

L. They fay, no, not of the fecret Faults; But of the open they

Say we are partakers by our preferee.

M. Do you think there is any Church on Earth that hath no open Fault? And will you join with none?

L. But they fay, It is not Faults of Conversation that they

mean, but in Ministry, Doctrine, and Worship.

M. I am fure Conversation Faults are oft alledged for separation: But is there any Minister or Church that hath no open Faults in Ministry and Worship?

L. They

L. They mean not small Infirmities, such as weak, faulty expressions, methods, disorders, duliness, &c. but gross, intolerable Faults. M. So then you are come to what I hold, I profess that if I fee or hear any such Blasphemy, Idolatry, Herely, or Malignity, as renders the Worship abhorred of God, I will abhor it and avoid it.

L. Is none of all that such which you have described?

M. Nothing in the ordinary Lords-Day Worship, which the Congregation must join in: Yea I dare not say that their Byoffices, viz. Baptism it self, notwithstanding their kind of Godfathers and Crossing, doth frustrate the Sacrament to the capable.
And the Sacrament of the Lords Supper is very Piously Administred in the words of the Liturgy: And if they force men
thither or admit them that are unsit, that maketh not the words
of the Liturgy unsit for the Faithful, and their faults in Discipline
are none of mine.

L. But Faults known before hand become mine if I join with

such a faulty Wor (b.p.

M. Then you must join with none on Earth: You know before hand your own faults, that you will be guilty of in prayer: Must you therefore forbear to pray? Suppose I have a teacher that is an Anabaptist, an Antinomian, or hath some known tolerable Errour, which I know before hand he holds, and then to vend in his Praying and Preaching. Is it unlawful to join with such? Then Presbyterians, Independents, and all that differ in judgment, must still run away from one another.

L. But so commit a Fault themselves makes it but their own, but

if they impose it on me, it's mine if I be prefent.

M. You should have said only, his mine if I commit it. If you were commanded to burn a Martyr, your presence maketh you not guilty if you do it not, nor consent to the doing of it.

2. It's one thing to impose on you the committing of a fault, and another thing to impose on you to hear another man com-

mit it.

3. And it's one thing to impose that which you can refuse,

and another to force you to do it.

When an Anabaptift, or an Antinomian, or a Preacher of indecent Expressions or Disorder, teacheth in the Assembly, he imposeth on them all to hear his Faults, but not to approve them or do the like: Or if he command them to believe his Errours, it is a refuseable imposition, and they may choose.

Gg 2

L. Bat

L' But in the Congregation I must do as they do.

M. What must you do that is sin? Must you say all that the Priest will say? Must you believe all his missakes? Must you put up any unlawful request to God?

L. Tes, Say they; we nuft gray for Bishops.

M. I think verily they have need of prayer: But they form to be very humble petitioners themselves when they bid you pray for them, but as to a God that worker great Marvels. But mulb you needs own every petition in the Assembly? By what Obligation? Do you undertake to own every petition that your own Preacher will put up, before you know what he will say? Yea, or if you know he would speak amis? I have elsewhere told you that one of the zealousest Non-Conformists against Prelacy, was old Mr. Humphrey Fin of Coventry, and he was wone after every Collect in the Common-Prayer to say Amen aloud, except the Prayer for the Bishops: And he thought his silence was sufficient notice of his Dissent.

L. But the broken Responses are ludicrous and intelerable.

M. Prejudice may make any thing feem so. But, v. The Jews Church used such as the Scriptures tell us. a. They are the oldest part of all the Liturgy, used by the Church, when Holy fervency would not endure to be silenced or restrained to a bare Amen. 3. And if it were not that prophane Men use them unreverently and so bring them into disgrace, but they were again used fervently by zealous Christians, they would feem quite anorther thing. 4. And we do the same thing in effect, when all the people sing the Psalm, save that the tune keepeth them better in time and order, and avoideth the consusion.

L. But my Priend saith that the Apostle saith, with such no not to Eat, and from such turn away: And you seem to suspect them (tho' you only say what you avoid your self) of Lying, Perjury, Perfecution, &c., And is it not a fin to Communicate with such?

M. 1. I never told you that I took all the Parish-Ministers or People for persecutors. No, nor for Lyers: For when they say that ex animo they hold that nothing in the Liturgy or Geremonies, &c. is contrary to the Word of God, they speak as they think. But it would be a lye in me who am otherwise perswaded.

And for Perjury; it's one thing groly to be perjured; I charged them not with that: And he's another thing to say or do
fone what that may make a thin some way gailey of other mens
perjury: This is it which afrights me from Conformity; but as
ro them, they understand the words of Oaths, and Promises and
Impositions otherwise than I do (as I told you the Earl of Artyle did) and I doubt not but many worthy men, such as Mr.
Gurnal, and others known to us, were drawn in, by having leave to declare that they took the impositions in such a sence
as they thought Lawful (as the Arians at the Council of Selencis,
and the Accesans drew many in to them by giving them all leave
to subscribe in their own sence): And though I can justifie none
of this; yet what ever their words were, and though they were
not faultles, their hearts abhorred Perjury.

But I pray you ask your friend these Questions. 1. Did none that are for separation from the Church of England take the Corporation-Oath and Declaration? You know that many of

them did.

2. Do they ever fince avoid Communion with all those men? You know they do not. And yet none of the Ministers Subferiptions to me seem half so frightful as the Corporation Declaration. Do they not then here shew Partiality, and themselves justify our Communion with the Conformists?

Yea when Mr. Eaton, and some other Independents wrote against the obligation of the Covenant, and of the Oath of Allegiance, and many called the Covenant an Almanack out of date;

did the reft avoid communion with these?

E. But briefly tell me why you Communicate in the Parish Churches.

M. Briefly, 1. Because Christ hath Commanded us to live in the utmost Love, Union and Concord with all his Church on

Earth, that we possibly can.

2. Because they hold all the essentials of Christianity, which constituteth them Members of Christ's Church, and no errour that nullifieth their Christianity, or maketh their Communion

uniawful to me.

3. Because my own edification hath required it: I have long lived where I could have no better Communion; and after I found Communion with both forts most profitable to me; I found the Liturgy in the main, fit for my serious desires and praises to God.

God, and the Preachers that I heard were profitable Preachers, and if some words were amile, I palt them by,; and the very Concord and Presence of Christians (though faulty) is pleasant to me.

4. I lived where and when shele Parish Churches were slandered by mistakes, to be such whose Communion was unlawful; and my constant avoiding them would have made me seem a consenter to the slanders, and so to be guilty of scandal.

5. I lived in a time and place, where the Rulers and Laws Commanded Parish Communion, and to forbear it against such commands and penalties, seemeth plainly to tell the world that

I hold it unlawful, which is an untruth.

6. I had seen whither this extream of separation had brought this Nation, formerly, and of late; and what a hopeful Reformation it shamed and destroyed, and that by such Rebellious and mad actions, as made them, and accidentally others, the scorn and hatred of the World, and have occasioned all our suffer-

7. I lived where men that I thought guilty of our finful perfecution and the danger of the land, did differn the mistake of them that overcharged the Liturgy and Parish Communion, and thereupon took them for a proud Fanatick fort of People, worthy of all that doth befal them, and think they do God service

in ruining us all, as if we were fuch: And I durft not thus scandalize and harden men in grievous persecutions.

8. I was loth to misguide others by my example; and I doubted not but when necessity drove them to it, many would see cause to Communicate with the Parish Churches. And I was willing that they should sooner see these Reasons, and not

feem to do it only to fave themselves.

9. I had read the writings of those excellent men of God against Brownists, or Separation heretosore; who then were the Non-Conformists that did sesser so much for Reformation: He that will read what is written by Mr. John Paget, Mr. William Bradshaw, Mr. Gifford, Mr. Hildersham, Mr. Brightman, Mr. John Ball, Mr. Raibband, Dr. Ames First and Second Manuduction, &c. and lately Mr. John Tombes, the Pillar of the Anabaptists; and for hearing by Mr. Philip Nyc, may see enough for just satisfaction; especially in Mr. Ball's Trial of Separation. And though the case of Conformity be since made much

much harder to the Ministers, to the Laity the change is not for great as serein afters the case of Lawfulnes or Day.

as having among them men Carnal, guilty of Schism, standering the Apostles; guilty of linful Law-Suits, and defrauding each other, bearing with incest, differenced, profane and drunk at Sacramental Communions; some decryed the Resurrection, of the Calablast Bear more to be accused than they, as depraying the Christian Doctrine. The Cooffans faulty; almost all the Seven Churches of Asia charged with grievous corruption. And yet in all these, no man is commanded to separate from any one of these Churches, nor blamed for not doing it.

who was certainly finless, held open Communion with the Jewish Church in Synagogues and Temples, and Commanded the people their duty even to the fally obtruded Priests, and to hear the Pharifess while they delivered Moles's Law; though he condemned and separated from their falls Doctrine, and supersti-

tions traditions and corruptions

- These are my Reasons for Lay-Parish-Communion.

L. But you did not answer [from theh turn away, and with

fuch not to Eat, &c.]

M. The answer is obvious; I. It is the duty of the Church to east out wicked impendent men; and this Christ commandeth them; but he never bids particular Christians to separate from the Church where some such are because the Church omits its duty: For then you should separate from duty, from Gods Worship and holy Communion, on pretence of separating from sinners.

2. But for Family and Private Converse, every Christian is judge himself, and must refuse all familiarity with scandalous Christians which encourageth them in fin or seemeth to own it.

God Commandeth no man to do that which is not in his power; to put a scandalous impenitent man from the Church, is in the Churches power, and so that command belongs to them; it is not in your power, and therefore belongs not to you, save to admonish men, and tell the Church; but to put men from your table and familiarity, is in your power and belongs to you.

Suppose the King write to the City of London to put all Rebels out of their Company and Converse; its easie to understand fland, that every one must do it only in his own place. Every fingle man cannot turn luch out of the Common Council: The City Relers must do that, and till it be done, fingle men may not deny obedience to the Governours: But all may turn them out of their houses, Thops and familiarity.

L. But they fay is is a receding from our former Reformation, and

M. The Separators pulled it down with a witness; but it is no such thing: Did the Governant or our Protession ever bind us to take the Litergy to be worse than it is, or the Parish Churches to be no Churches, or their Communion utterly unlawful? Or did it bind us to prefer a desertion of all publick Communion before it? No, it did not; but if it had, it had been inful, and to be repented of: But, r. We were bound by God's word (and no Covenant, or Practices bind us to any more than Scripture binds us to) to avoid all that is sin. 2. And when we have our choice to prefer the best; he that doth either turn to Sin, or prefer a less good, when it is so, before a greater, goeth back; but he that preferreth we Publick Worship, before the Parish Worship, goeth back indeed, and breaketh the Covenant, by profuneacis and Schism. God's word is a clearer and surer Test of our Du-

When Ministers were changed, 1647 many places got out some tolerable weak Ministers to get in abler men in great Towns. When the Bishops returned their abler Ministers being dead or ejected, they took the old ones again. Did these go back from Covenant Reformation or Dury, when they could have no better? Had not those been the revolters that would rather have

ty and Controversies, than any humane Covenants.

had none?

L. But why go you to the Parifle-Churches when you might have better?

M. 1. All Non Conformilts Preach not better than many of them; yea, the Liturgy is better words of Prayer, than

some weak or faulty Non-Conformilts oft use.

a. A brown loaf and a white one both, may be better than a white one alone; I found both belt; and I knew it fin to renounce Communion with any Church for weakness, because they are not as good as others.

3. That is best ar one time and place, that is not so at another; Praying in it self, is better than working, and eating,

fleeping. And yet in their proper time, your lervants working, and your eating and Oceping is better than praying at that time. One that is a Son, a Servant, a Wife, who is commanded by ahe Master of the Family to hear a tolerable Parish-Minister, may then find it better than diforderly and difobediently to hear an abler Man; that may by variety of conditions be one mans

Duty, which is anothers in.

But alas! I fear that Communion with a Non-ConformitChurch will dolckly in England be for are, as will end the controverfy which you should preferr, and you must have Parish-Church Communion or none: 'As it was before 1638, when there was scarce more than one Non-Conformist that held-any Church-Communion but Parochial, in each County. I think, God's Judgments will foon filence this dispute with all that will not renounce all Local-Church Communion."

I will conclude with another reason of my practice. Almost every Church on Earth hath a worfe Liturgy (as I faid) and People than ours: But'I dare not separate from almost every Church on Earth: And therefore not from one for a reason

that is common to almost all.

CHAP. LIX. A Drauth of ten Articles containing that which the Non-Conforming Reconcilers defire, to unite us, and heal the Church, when GOD feeth this Land meet for fo great a Mercy.

L. T Have one thing more to defice of you, That you will fo far an-1 Swer the common question, What would you have? As to tell it is punitually, as to chose thirtes which you take to be necessary to our agreement : It may be bereafter they may be regarded and used,

the not in our days.

M. Do you mean as to the ends and things defired of us or a form of Words to be the containing means? As to the former; i. Wedefire nothing but the promoting God's Glory, Kingdom and Will, according to the three first Petitions in the Lord's Prayer, for the Information, Sanctification, and Salvation of the People; by the Pure, Plentiful, and Powerful presching of the Golpel, the True and Spiritual Worthipping of GOD, and the doe Exercise of Church-Discipline according to Christ's Laws. And that herein all Christians may live in Love, and Hambing to Perce

Peace, and as much Concord as they can: And to that end that they may take God's Word which they are all agreed in as the Test of their Concord, and as inflicient for all things necessary to Salvation, and the only universal Law: And that the Churches may not be forn by the imposition of Mens Canonical Engines as pecessary to Liberty or Communion, in which all never did nor can unite. And that such course may be taken for the Choice of Church-Pastors, that the Flocks may be guided and fed by Truth and Love, and not familhed nor oppress by Malignants that hate the serious practice of what they Preach.

It is not Wealth nor Honour, nor any thing but this, that we

defire; but see small hopes of attaining by Men.

In The desires are honest, but you all profess just desires in gemeral, and de fine: Rut I desire you to leave to the World in writing the ipsissiona Verba, which you would wish in a bealing Law, with as

lipele change as may be,

M. I shall do it, premising, 1. That such a yielding form rouse contain but what's of necessity to our Concord, and not all that our well-being requireth, 2. That the words must not be too many, lest they feem too tedious, nor too few, lest they be not intelligible. 3. That they that will defeat them will pretend but to change the words, and thereby cross our sence and necessities; but take them, tho' men give us no present hopes.

A Breviate of the Ten Articles desired by such Non-Conformists as treated for Concord, 1660. and 1661. for such a Resormation of the Parish-Churches as is needful to our Union.

It Hat the Profession of the Christian Faith, and consent to the Baptismal Covenant, by Parents or Adopters for Infants, and by the Adult for themselves, he the Terms of Church-Entrace by Baptism. II. That of the Adult, be, That they have perfonally somed and renewed folening the faid Christian Covenant, and are not proved to have nulled that Profession by Apostasie, Heresie, or an inconsistent wicked hise; And that they understandingly desirable said Communion.

III. For the necessary notification of such understanding, consent and destre, the Pasturs that know them, after due Carechizing shall try them; and upon Approbation admit them to the Communion of the Adult, or upon a just Certificate that they have been so approved and received

by any other Orthodox Paftor.

IV. Such as are proved to violate the Baptismal Covenant, by Apostaste, Here sie, or a wicked Life, the Pastor must (wisely and compassionately) admonish to repent and amend. And if after private and open Admonition such remain obstinately impenitent, the Pastor shall publickly declare them Persons unfit for Communion with the Church; or where so much is not permitted, shall at least forbear to give them the Sacrament; but shall receive them when they credibly prosess Repentance.

V. No unnecessary Oath, Covenant, Subscription, Profession or Promise, shall be made necessary to Communion or Ministry; such being Engines of Division and Persecution; (what promises are necessary, is further to be opened) nor is Re-ordination or Re-baptizing to be forced on the truly Ordained and Baptized.

Hh 2

VI. The

VL. The just Ordainers must needs be the discerning Judges whom they shall Ordain to the Ministry as such: And the Magistrate is Judge whom he shall approve and maintain as publick Teachers; and whom to tolerate, as tolerable: And every man is a discerning Judge to whom he shall every man is a discerning Judge to whom he shall every man is a discerning Judge to whom he shall every man is a discerning Judge to whom he shall every man is a discerning Judge to whom he shall every fician he shall use for his Life; to which Self-love and Self-government, do Authorize, and Oblige him; and merring judgment of Superiors can disoblige him; much less every Patrons Choice.

VII. Truly Ordained and Called Ministers, must Preach to their Flocks, though they have no other Licence; and are by Office Authorized to choose their Subject, due Method, and Words .: And if a Form of Liturgy in Prayers, Proife, Palms, be imposed by Agreement or Authority, (that all the Church be not left at utter uncertainty what worship they meet to offer to God, till it be pass'd out of the Minister's mouth) let it be agreeable to Scripturedirection in Matter, Method, and Words; blamelefs, orderly, and without just cause of suspicion or offence; and let it not be made a fnare for Contention and Division, by the rigorous urging of needlefs things; nor worthy men be filenced and cast out that cannot Declare, Assent, and Confent to all things contained in it, and prescribed by it, by fallible men; nor for every omiffion or abbreviation, through scruple or necessitated bast; or for not officiating in a Surplice: And let the Canons 6. 7. 8: 9. and others that unjustly unjustly fetter the Ministers and Flock, be Altered or

Repealed.

VIII. Let no Minister be Silenced, Suspended, or Ejected for not publishing Excommunications pass'd by Bishops or Lay-Man, against any of his Flock (best. known to the prefent Paftor) whom he judgeth not guila ty, or for scrupleing a Ceremony, especially upon such Canons as the 6.7. 8. &c. that Excommunicate, ipso facto, every man (not excepting Parliament-ment, Lords, Judges or Juffices, Parents, or Wives, or Children,) that do but affirm, "That any thing is unlawful or res "pugnant to the word of God, in the Liturgy, or Ce-"Penionies, or Ordination, or in the Government of the " Church, by Arch-Bishops, Bishops, Arch- Deacons, and "the Beft that bear Office therein ;] feeing Wife and Godly Ministers judge such things too light to defer ve an Excommonication, and dare not fo dishonour those Superiors, whom God bath commanded us to bonour; yea, and think all Excommunicating, iplo facto, fine sententia Judicis, to be finful, and contrary to the use of Excommunication, which supposeth Impenitence: And let no Minister or People be forced to publish or execute any Exe communication Arbitrarily Decreed, or against their Consciences: And let none be sorbidden to Preach the Gospel; who do not more burt than good, while Justice may be done by other Penalties.

IX. As Christianity, Baptism, and Sacramental Communion are gifts from God, of unspeabable value; so none have any right to them: Therefore no unwilling person should be forced to Baptism on the Lord's Supper, and so to prosane Gods boly Ordinance, and corrupt the Church: But in each Parish the meer Auditors of Catechized, must be distinguished from the Communicants; as meer Catechising and Teaching is from Pastoral Oversight, and Church-Conduct.

X. The Kingdom consistesh of Ministers and People Approvable, Tolerable and Intolerable; though the publick Temples and Maintenance be at the Dispose of the Sovereign Power and Magistrates, yet are not all Laymen that can but Buy or Inherit a Patronage, Advowlen, or Presentation, either Authorized by God, or Qualifyed with sufficient Wisdom and Piety, to choose such as Pastors, to whom (though Ordained by a Bishop) all men are bound to commit the Pastoral care and conduct of their Souls (as is aforefaid). Therefore to this Relation, the Peoples Choice or Confent is necessary: And because Parish Churches are by fixed Neighbourhood, the most convenient Order, the Rulers Should either make those the Publick Teathers, whom the People can take for their Pastors, or the People after take those for their Pastors who are truly Capable, Whom the Rulers first choose for the Publick Teachers: But in case they cannot so agree, each man must be Toderated to choose and join with some other (Parochial or more private) Minister for his Paster; Sobe it, 1. They profess fefs the effentials of Christianity, and Church Communion.

2. And live peaceably and loyally, in their Preaching and Practice.

3. And pay the publick Teachers and Magistrates their dues; renouncing Herefie and Popery, and all Foreign Jurisdiction and Treason.

The TEST, or Profession of the Maintain'd Ministers (supposing the amendments of Discipline.)

Do lincerely, as befoze God, Profels, that I believe the Canonical Scriptures of the Old and
Mew Cestament, to be the Crue word of God, and
chipposing the Light and Law of Mature) the Divine
sufficient Kule of Faith and Poly Living, according
to which we shall be Judged, which no humane Laws
or Power can Adrogate or Suspend, being all but suborpinate thereto. And I will Preach nothing as nesessary to Salvation, which cannot be proved or watrances thereby.

And more particularly. I believe all the Articles of the Creed, called the Aposlies, as a hummary of our faith, and Consent to the Lozd's Prayer as the hummary of our Delires, and to the Decalogue, as exponence by Christ, as the hummary of our obedient practice; and Lectolivedy Consent to the Gospel-Co-venant, with God the Father, Son, and Poly Chost, which these hummaries explain, and which is celebra-

ten in Baptifin, and the Lord's Supper.

And I do one, and honeur the Courch of England; that is, This Chaiffan Reformen kingdom, containing the Approved Paffors and their Flocks; both the

Publickly

Publickly Maintained, and the Duly Licensed and United under one Christian Resourced Sovereign, and Renouncing all Foreign Jurisdiction. And I do honour, with thankfulness to God, the Resounction and Concord of this Church, in Doctrine, Working and Discipline, and will labour to preserve its Anity and Peace, renouncing all Creasons, Sevitions, Popery, Foreign Jurisdiction, Peresp, Schism and Protonenels: And I do promise to Creases my Minister with diligence sor the edification of the Church, and the saving of mens Souls.

The Ministers being of three sorts. 1. The Maintained or Promoted. 2. The Licensed to Preach as Candidates, or Letturers without the publick maintenance, or Helpers to Incumbents who desire them, or occasionally (such as sound Pratestants continging Non-conformists should be.) 3. The Televated that have only a Grant of Protestion and Peace, without either Mainterance or Appropring License. I leave it to Superiours how much of the aforesaid Profession shall be required of the two laster.

This would much reduce the Kingdom to a Holy, and Happy Unity and Peace, which yet containeth nothing that Protificants have any just cause to reject: And we are not forward to medile with more publick Church matters without our Superiors invitation or consent; but we may say that it is our judgment that these additions following would greatly strengthen the litte-

selt of Religion, Church and Concord.

I. That the Parish Churches be acknowledged True Churches, and their Ministers such Overseers as are necessary to Essentiate True Churches; that is, I hat all Presbyters be Episcopi Gregis, Overseers of the Flock, and the Incumbent the President among his Curate Presbyters, where there be such and that the Diocesan is not the sole Essentiating Church

Church Pastor; and the Diocesan Church, the lowest particular Church; and the Parish Assemblies, but his Chapels or Parts of the lowest Church; and the Parish Ministers, his Curates, and no true Pastors.

II. That no Luy-Blder, Chancellow or Civilian, have or use the Decretive Power of Excommunication, or Ab-

folution, called the Keys.

III. That New, and more Peaceable Canons be made (instead of that Book, which now obtaineth) according to the Scripture Canons: Or that there be no Canons but

Scripture, besides Statute Laws.

IV. That Bishops have no Forcing Power, nor the Writ de Excommunicato Capiendo, or any Force by the Sword, be Annexed to Excommunication as such; but that the Magistrates hear and judge before they punish; and Obedience to Bishops be unconstrained and columnary.

V. That Bishops judge Church-Causes in Session with their Presbyters, and not alone, nor with some sew of their own Choice, or with Lay-men, but in regular Synods; and Ordain there by their consent, and after sufficient trial of them that seek Ordination; (And so of Institution.)

VI. That Diocesses be not greater than the Diocesan is able to Oversee; and that be forbid not the Parish Passers their particular works, but only use his general oversight and power on Appeals.

VII. That Bishops oft visit the inferior Pastors and Churches, and instruct the Juniors, by direction and

E

Example how to Preach, and guide the Flock, and re-

ligent.

VIII. That the Bishops be Chasen by the Diocesan Synod, and Gomsented to freely without force, by their City flacks, where they reside; and Invested by the King, who hath the power of Temporal Privileges,

IX. Thus the City, and neighbour Pustors be the Cathedral Dean and Prebends; at least where City Churches want maintenance; or that they ambulate.

rily Preach abroad where there is most need.

X. If Arch Bishop Usher's Form or Reduction of Government to the Primitive State, or else King Charles the Second his Declaration about Ecclesiastical Assairs be but setled by Law, it will be a Healing and Great Resormation; inserior Synods not hurtfully settered bearing allowed under the Diocesan Synods: And whether the Diocesans be Called Bishops or Arch Bishops as Successors to the Apostles and Evangelists, in the ordinary parts of their Office (a general care of many Churches) the mame is to be left to each mans free judgment.

As to the ignorant clamors for a real or feeming Re-ordination; r. I have faid so much against it in my Treasse of Episcopacy, and my Disputation of Ordination; in my Dispute of Church-Government, and my Christian Concord, that while the objectors by contempt resuse to read and answer them, it will be no cure of their pride and partiality to repeat the same again.

But

But I say, that I have fully proved (unanswered) that they that were Ordained by Synods of incumbent Pastors, and specially those also then approved by the Westminster Assembly, had a better Ordination, and that by true Bishops, than either Papists or meer English Diocesans that are not Arch bishops can give:

And yet they Re-ordain not Papifts.

L'Either they take the Parish-Churches that is, the Pastor and Communicants distinct from the meer Anditors and Catechumens, and from the Aliens) to be true proper Churches in political Sense or not: If yea, Then those Churches have Bishops; For Ecclesia est plebs Episcopo adiunata, & ubi Episcopus ibi Ecclesia: Their own Principle is, That it is no proper political Church without a Bishop. There are three degrees of Bishops.

1. All Presbyters are Episcopi Gregis (by the consent of Papists and Protestants.) 2. The chief Incumbents that have Curates or may have, are Episcopi Prasides: The Ordination without Diocesans was by these two sorts of Bishops. 3. True Diocesans are Arch-bishops, Episcopi generales planium Ecclesiarum; We refuse not their Ordination; but Men have true Episcopal Ordination without it.

But if they say that the Diocesan is the lowest Bishop of a particular Church, and that the Parish Incumbent Rectors are no true Bishops, and their Assemblies no true political Churches formed of Bishops, but only parts of one Diocesan Church instini Ordinis, we abhor such Tyrannical, Schismatical Diocesans, and their presence of proper power to Ordain; and the Primitive Church had never any such Ordainers or Bishops: And I advise all ministers neither to be Re-ordained by such, nor to yield to the appearance of such an evil, by coming under their equivocating imposition of hands; less they take God's Name in vain, and harden Papists and Church: Tyrants in their sasse in vain, and harden Papists and Churches. If it be want of a legal right in England that they pretend, let the Magistrate give you'd Licence or Legal right. I write not this for my own interest, for I was Ordained by a Diocesan, and am past all hopes or sears of Man.

Can curdes would reider their inconsiderable, he uncapable being dangerous to our pears

CHAP. LX. The Reasons of thefe ten Articles.

L. YOU must give me leave to tell you what objections are like to be raised against your proposed Articles of Reconciliation. And first your own party will be unsatisfied in them, and so they will do no good, because bore is not a word against Arch bishops, Bishops, Deans, Arch deacons, and the reft that bear office in their Courts, which yet is the thing that you your felf leem most to diffent from, and

n bich the Covenant did renounce.

M. 1. We have so much swearing and unswearing and forswearing, that I will meddle as little as I can in things that look like Perjury. You know that as the last Generation was sworn against Prelacy, this new Generation is sworn to it : Yea in a manper the whole Land is fworn or covenanted never to endeavour any alteration of it : And how much foever I am against that Oath, yet I will meddle as little as I can in urging men to that which they take for Perjury.

And I have elsewhere told you that the Covenant renounced not all Episcopacy; many of the Assembly of Divines declared their diffent from any such renunciation, and had entred their protestation against it(as Dr. Cornel. Burges told me) had not the Explication been added, which confineth the Renunciation to the English frame. And that the present Non-Conformists would have thankfully received the Primitive Episcopacy, they shewed

by their motion, 1660.

2. We offer this form on Supposition that we may not have what we think best, but what we can joyfully submit to, for our

Concord and the Churches fafety.

3. I have inferted those restraints of the hurt and abuse of the Diocesan form and their Courts, as may do much to secure Religion: And if they be kept from doing barm, we have most of our end. Their faults are not ours who cannot remedy them.

4. And you are miftaken in thinking that this form of Reconciliation will cause no Concord: Less was accepted with published thanks to the King for his Declaration, 1660. And I be-Meve that the Differers would be fo few, as that the Concord of Confenters would render them inconfiderable, or uncapable of being dangerous to our peace.

D. But, I. what makes you put in so much that no body denieth?

Do you not seem hereby to intimate unjustly that all this is de-

nied you !

M. 1. I have no hope of Concord, but by disputing ex conceffu, and improving mens own principles: Do you think I am so foolish as to expect that Adversaries so herce should change their judgments by any thing that I can say, if their own interest or

sheir own principles by inference change them not?

2. We want nothing more in reality and practice than that which is most granted us in shadow and general words; who grants not that we must obey God before man? And must love God above all, our neighbours as our selves, and must do as we would be done by? And must bear with and receive the weak, and persecute none, &c. And if this were done, we were all agreed: O happy England if all were granted practically and indeed, which men in general words approve! But if they grant this, and yet will not grant it, but seek to ruine them that seek it, are they not unexcuseable?

L. Why begin you with the qualifications requisits to Baptism, as

if you spake to Infidels ?

M. Because I cannot build without a foundation: This is the chief thing necessary to our Reformation, that the Church may consist of capable persons.

L. Why name you Parents and Pro-parents instead of God-fathers

and God-mothers?

M. Because it belongs to him to covenant in the Childs name or behalf, whose will may justly go as for the Childs will; and that is they whose he is, and who have the power of him, and whom God hath commanded and authorized to this office, and who are obliged to educate him and seek his well-fare: By Propurents, I mean such as by Adoption or otherwise justly take the child for theirs; which when Parents leave them Orphans is usual. I say nothing against God-fathers as seconds, presupposing this much

L. But I pray you who shall be judge whether the profession be Understanding which must be made by the Adult, or by the Parent? By this trick you will make the Priest the chief Governour of the Courch: and he shall been out whom he pleases, as for mant of under-

Standing the words of the Covenant.

M. 1. I confess that this is the first and most momentous part of the power of the Keys. But it tells us that it is not only flishops that have that power: But either some-body or no body

must have this power or trust.

1-If No-body; Tarks, Heathens, Sadducess, any may be baptized the in fcorn; and may be members of the Church at pleature, and the Church shall indeed be no Church, being confounded with the World. A Parrot may be taught to say those words. A man of about 80 Years of age in the Parish where I taught, being ask'd who Jefus Christ was, pointed to the Sun and said, That was he; and ask'd, Wh was the Holy Ghost? Said, he thought the Moon. What wiseman will be the Pastor of such a Church?

2. Christ hath instituted an Office for this judgment, and given them the Keys: Therefore there must be some such judge:

2. If Some-body, who must it be? 1. If it be every expectant for himself, it will be as before; and there will be no Church. Any Heathen may come in. 2. If it must be the Magistrate, you call him to attend this Service, and to teach and try all that are baptized at age, and all Parents for their Children: And if you say on him the Pastors Office, you make him Pastor. 3. If it must be the Bishop, it is impossible, and no Bishop will do it; when he doth not so much as know or see one of an hundred in his Dioces: It must then be the Parish-Minister, or No-body.

3. Those must be trusted with it whom Christ hath appointed for speh trust: But that is the Ministers: To them he hath

committed the Keys of his Church or Kingdom.

4. Those must be trusted with it, that must Execute it: It is the Minister that must Baptize: And therefore he must judge whom to baptize, or else he is but an Executioner, like one that washeth any one that's foul; and not a judge of what he doth, nor must answer for it.

s. The Universal Church ever fince Christ's days hath agreed

in this: And shall we now overthrow it?

And as to the exception against the Power of the Pastor.

1. All power may be abused; shall we have no King, no Judge, or Justice, or Bishops, because they may abuse their power?

2. It is so natural to men to consult their own carnal interest, which will here most lie in pleasing the people, and so athfully to

emit fo costly and troublesome a duty, that it's enough to foretell that a hundred will fin in making the door too wide, for one that maketh it too narrow; and doleful experience tells us this: We are consounded by the contrary extream.

2. He that is refused wrongfully byone Minister, may find

enough that will receive him.

3. We grant appeals to Rulers in case of Male-administration.

4. The fetting open the Church-doors without an examiner, will be an hundred fold worse: And you must be like the Woman that would never have her house swept, lest her servant should chance to sweep out a pin.

What think you of all the ancient Churches that taught the Adult long as Gatechumens before they would baptize even them

that begg'd it?

L. 2. In your second Article again you give the Minister a power to judge of mens Understanding: But I need no further answer to that.

But do you not thus make Examination by Ministers necessary to the Sacrament?

M. I make it needful to Confirmation or Adult-Communion; but that is but once in a Man's life.

L. But is it not enough that Children own their baptismal Covenant by coming to Church, and not denying it, without any Con-

firmation or other Profession?

M. Many come to hear that are no Christians; Children are born in Nescience, and when they come to age must be made knowing Christians, and believe and obey for themselves if they will be saved; and they cannot consent to their baptismal Coverant if they understand it not: This is the thing that hath corrupted the Church: Those that were Infant-Christians, and at age were no Christians, have filled many Congregations. Yet I confess that where Confirmation and Personal Trial is by the sin of Pastors omitted, the peoples ordinary attendance at Church and desiring the Sacrament, is an obscure profession, and may serve to the being of a Church, tho not to the well-being.

L. But some of your Non-conformists will as much blame you for requiring too little to Communion, in that you demand not an account

of Mens Conversation.

M. 1. He that truly consenteth to the Covenant of Baptism, is Conversed or Sanctified; and he oweth the Church no account

of that confent but his own Profession, not nulled by word or deed. And if you for ske this rule, you will never know what terms to take up with.

2. And as to the time or circumstances, whether God Sanstified him in Infancy and he grew up in grace, or when, or how

God wrought upon him, he oweth no account to others.

L. 3. In your third Article again the chief exception will be a-

gainst this trying men by the Minister for Communion.

M. I have proved to you the necessity of it, ad bene esse. And may I not hope that the Bishops will be for it? When, I. They order that the Minister catechize and send to the Bishop such as are to be confirmed. 2. That he give the Sacrament to none but those that are Confirmed, or are ready and willing to be Confirmed: And how can they know this without trial? 3. When they know that the Bishops are not able to do it for all, or the hundredth part themselves. Why then should they be against it?

L. If all the Bisbops in England be for it, the Parish-Priests will some be unfit for it, and few will be at so much labour and felf denial

as it will coft them.

M. If the Bishops put or take in bad untrusty men, I cannot help that: Let them be encouraged to do well that will.

L. But why must they make their profession before others ?

M. Not but that the Pastors word may satisfy the Church, but it is much fitter that he that is to have Communion with all, should be known to some besides the Minister to be a professed Christian. I should have desired that it might be done as Saptism in the Congregation, as best, but that of these men we must ask as little as may be.

. L. But many ignorant people have not words to express their awn

belief or thoughts.

M. It is not ornamental unnecessary knowledge that must be demanded of them: They that want other words, may by Tea, and Nay make known their mind, when the Minister expresseth the matter in his question. And the Church requireth that they can answer as catechized.

L. Some other will say that you are too lax in admitting all con-

firmed formerly by Bishops, or by other Pastors.

M. If we crave that each Minister may try his Flocks, we cannot in modesty refuse those tried and received by the Bishop or other Pastors. All Churches should live in such Communion

with each other, as to receive each others Members on just occafion, without trying them all again. And if Bishops or any do it superficially, that's their own fault.

L. Why do you impose the Registring of Communicants?

M. I impose it not, but only commend it as a help to memory. He that hath no more Communicants than he can remember, needs it not. But Bishop fer. Taylor in his Pref. to Treatise of Repentance, saith, That a Man cannot take a Pastoral charge, or give an account of them that he knoweth me.

L. 4. Your fourth Article fees Ministers on such work as few can well do, and few will ever faithfully do. And yet for every young, raw Priest to have such power will never be granted by the Bishops or the

Laity.

M. You may as well speak out and say, that Christ bath appointed such a Ministerial office as the World will never endore. Why then do they call themselves Christians? This is the proper work of a Pastor, as it is of a Physician, to look particularly to the sick and not only to read a Physick Lecture to them. If Bishops will put in ignorant lads or unfit men, I say again we cannot help it; not must we for that deny the Ministerial office, any more than we must put down Preaching and Praying because some are unfit. The weight of this work should rather make all careful to get only fit men into the Ministry.

L. But Shall every Priest have power to put men from the Sa.

crament?

M. If every Priest must administer it, he must judge to whom: It is not a common food for all, but the Childrens Bread. If every Priest must judge whom to baptize, so he must whom to give this Sacrament to. 2. The Canons allow this as to the scandalous, so we do but present them to the Bishop or Chancellour; and it's less offensive to suspend them without such prosecution. If he wrong any, they have their remedy.

L. But why do you mention no more follemn Excommunication, but.

this Declarative one and Safpension?

M. Because I would ask no more than needs of men that will not grant so much. And I know no just Excommunication but a Ministerial declaring according to God's word that a man both made himself uncapable of Church-Communion and of the bedefits of Gods Covenant, and binding him over to unswer his the penitence at the barr of God, and requiring the Church accordingly to avoid him.

K k

L. 5.

Lo y The main charge will be againft, your fifth Article, that cuts

of fo wany Oathe Subferinions and Professions,

M. It's pity that thele will not ferve the curn, whenas they are more than Christ, or his Apoltles, or the Church for 390 years imposed. And yet must we have more, even as necessary to Ministry? Will not the experience of 1300 years yet teach in his foresaid us to forbear tearing the Church by unnecessary snares? Yet I deny per but the Ordainers may try the ability of Ministers, in more than the words that they must liblicibe to: And if any will draw up fuch Articles as none (ball Prouch against, I oppose them not: The greater Concord the better: But we must not cause perpetual discord, by unakilful, and impossible terms of Concord.

In But for onabe. I fee you will let in Papifts if they will but take the Oath of Suraneth and renormice all in general that is contrary to

the Scriptmeand their Profession.

See the Lord

Racon about

Confiderati-

ons Dedica-

ted to King

Fames.

M. And all Churches will let in Heart-Papiffs that renounce Poperty : Who knows the heart? But, 1. The Oath of Supre-macy is a most express abjuring of the Effence of Poperty; of becially as extended against the Ecclosaffical as well as Civil Power of the Pape 3, And is not God's Word a sufficient Rule of Religion? Dany this and you will torn Papills to keep out Papifts. 3. If it be, if Popery be against Scripture it's here renounced: If not, why fould we be against it?

L. But should they not distinctly renounce Transubstantiation. Purganery, Image-Worfnip, Merit, and the reft of their Errows ?

M. There is no end of enumerating Errours: They are mmerous like Maggots in a Carcale. A thouland will be unnamed when you have done your best at it. But the Rule must not name every Errour against it: The confrariety will be differnable. It is enough that men profess a perfect Rule, and renounce all contrary, and be refountible to the Church and their Rulers when they corrupt Religion contrary to the Rule and their own Profession. An Errour not manifested bares not others, and none is punishable till proved. If Herely be kept fecret, the Church must not make new Laws and Tests to make men confessit; but punish it when it is vented.

hen it is verged. Le Ber field Adinistars make no profession but what a Papist or a

Herwick will make !

M. No, if a Papilt or Heretick will professall that is needfary, elfe we must make more. Ataly we make new Greeds or new Scriptures as oft as differentiers will fallely profess that ulready made? This was the compession to these multitudes of Greeds by which Councils distracted the Churches, which Bidary decryoth.

Li. But the Riffipps will seven take down the Outh of Canonical Abretionce and all the atter Daths and Subjections that are for m-

ed to their Intereft.

M. I cannot help that: Over-doing is un-doing: If ever Epifeopacy be cast out, it will be by fuch over-doing, which will not for men live in Pests that would det molest them.

the choice of Pastors, when hefore you seem so there much against

them ?

M. I have nothing against the Ordainer judging of the siness of the Ordained, nor of Magistrate or Patrons disposal of Temples and Tubes: And because nothing that necessay will weigh down the great inconvenience of maintaining distinct Patrons; while a set of Lecturer in that he Temple and Tithes, therefore I suppose that the Bishop and Patron will have their Votes: And I suppose you know that it is vain to motion to Patrons to resign this power, were it works than it is; else Advonson would not be sold at such rates as they are hymany Patrons: And my silence where speaking will do in good, is no sign of any approbation.

The But deposition when the Communicants shall be are nega-

tive Vote in aboofing Haltors of versus to well's a manufactor

10 A Ithink they will dot till God maife up better mengithan many Patrons are: Bus I am past doubt that God's Law of Nature and Scripture, and the sabelle confent of sheight Churches, Eutheis and Councils, are for it : And methinks swere i not carnal Interest Aronget with them than Religion; men that are profesfeely for God's Last, and Chirch-Canons and Cultons, should not obstinately oppose themall: Yea, the highest kipiscopal Men are in this abainst thomas Mr. Thorndike faith, that sill the Glergy and People again choose their own Bishops, there weeds no sother reason be given of the contempt of Episcopary a. Yes, I have proved pall denial oft, that ho Non-confester can be a -member of any Palboral Church, nor anyman be a mans Pafor that doth not confent. It's reason then to speak for L. But -the Flocks Confenting Vote. Kk 2

B. But they may be forced to confest; 10 1. 11

M. I shall give you a reason against that anon

L. Do you shink the ignorant valgar are fie to choose themselves a

Puftor ? The most are usually the worst.

M. If the Church-men will make the uncapable rabble Communicants, and then deny them Church-privileges, because they are uncapable, they condemn themselves for taking (yea foreing) in such uncapable men: Even as the Bishops that Ordain Ministers that cannot Preach, and then by their Canon forbid them so Preach.

2. And yet I will fay, That I never knew any places, in City or Country, that have ofe had better Pastors; for Learning and all Worth, than where the Communicants were the choosers: Yea, even the ignorant usually have a gust, that discerneth and valueth good and able men.

3. And yet, I speak not so high as for their Power of first Choice, but only of Consent; nor yet to choose who shall be a Minister, but who shall be their Pastor. The Bishop ask-

eth not their confent at Ordination.

The Ordainers, the Patrons, and the Communicants, they may

never agree; and frustrate all.

M. Humane faultiness puts inconveniences into all actions:
But we much not cure it with a worse e is you would take no
Physick will three Physicians agree, it's a less mischief than to
give any man that can buy that Power, a right to impose
what ignorant fellow or enemy he will to be your sole Physician.
Three Locks and Keys in three hands, to so great a Trust,
may be better than one in an untrusty hand. Shall every
Papist or Atheist choose me a Physician as sitter than ??

ping at his own part of The Ordainers have done their part; and the Patron hath chosen a Teacher for Auditors, and a Pastor for such as will accept him; and the People that trust him not may go to one that they can trust; and this is better than worse.

The she Patros will prevail egainst them, as long as he must nominate, shough the Bishop and Resple had a Negative Vote: for if they refuse one, he will still name another of his own com-

plexion.

M. Uncurable evils I cannot help. I can but wish that no Patron had ever built Churches, or given Glebes at fo dear a rate, as thereby to buy from the Church its Privileges.

L. But can you think that the Bishops will ever abate Re-

ordination of those ordained by Presbyters?

M. I think not; and therefore I have no hope of concord by their Concession: But I know that former Bishops would have done it, and the Church of England still owned fuch fince the Reformation; and God may fend England fuch again; and for fuch an age I write, and not for this with any great hope.

And if you would not have the Land confounded with doubts, whether they be Baptized, or whether they had any valid Sacraments, and whether the Papifts or Protestants be This was the true Church, &c. it concerns you all to regard the de- written 1683.

cilion of this Cafe.

L. But you speak only against Re-Ordaining those that are already Ordained, and nothing for the time to come.

M. 1. You know it is hopeless to move for that. 2. And it's meet that Ordination should be well regulated. 3. And when all the unjust impositions are removed, as is here desired, few moderate men will scruple Ordination.

L. VII. Your 7th. hath so much reason that I can say nothing against it, but that I doubt the Bishops will never abate their Ceremonies, or any part of their Liturgy, fo far, to endure any to

disuse it, though they meddle not against it.

M. I know what's necessary and just, but I know not what men will grant; I am of your mind of those in possession. except some few: But if any man will make and keep up any instruments of division and hurt, on pretence of concord or decency in God's fervice, we can but wish and speak for better.

L. But they say if nothing unlawful be imposed, it is disobedience to refuse it : And if disobedience be endured no Govern-

ment can ffand.

M. 11- Judge by what is faid whether no Sin be imposed.

2. Obedience to God being more necessary than to man, all just Rulers should encourage a due fear of fin, and do nothing that tempts men from obeying God.

is. God bimself dothmot silence, eject, or condemn men for all disobedience, else none could be laved: All sin is disobedience to God. There is disobedience in single things as well as in great; and of ignorance and infirmity, as well as of malicious wilfulness: And what smaller matter can there be than Humane Forms and Geremonies? and where is ignorance more excuseable than in things so minute, and so uncertain and hard, that they must all be wifer than you and I that know them to be lawful? and what Unity will be in that Church and Kingdom, that will endure none but such as are wifer than you and I?

L. 8. Your 8th. Article preventeth all the objections against Ministers power and liberty, while all are under Law responsible; But what if the Rulers be Bishops or men shat distaste your desired

discipline?

M. We are not choosing Rulers (by the sword) but only Pastors to guide us by God's word; and if we shall have bad ones, we must patiently suffer; we cannot remedy such inselicities.

L. But both Papists and many others say, That the Judgment of Ministers Doltrine and Ministry, belongeth not to the Magistrate,

but to the Church.

M. Judgment is as various as Execution, e.g. If one be a Heretick or turbulent in Schism; I. The Magistrate is judge whether and how he shall be Corporally Punished. 2. The neighbour Churches are Judges whether they will owne his Communion as approved. 3. His own Flock are discerning Judges, whether he be sit to be trusted and owned as their Pastor, or to be for saken by them.

We must not imitate Papilts in exempting Ministers from

the Magistrates Government.

L. 9. I confels your Reasons against Constraining Thicels so Profess Christianity are undensable, and agree with the sence of the Antient Church and Futhers; But the Papists, and many Protestants hold that when once men are Baptized, they may be forced to Communion and all other Christian Duty.

M. What if they openly apolitative and turn Infidels, Jews, or Mahometens; will they yet force them to Communicate in

the Lord's Supper?

L. No, but they will put them to Death as they Burn Herericks.
M. That's

M. That's their way, but not Christ's way. Why should they put Apostates or Hereticks to death, any more than la-fidely that never believed?

L. Because they break their Covenant; and because they fin a-

gainft the Laws which they confented to.

M. And doth not finning against God's Law, in neigher Confening to not Obeying it, deserve as bad? If God, by many years Preaching, call one man to Christianity, and he derides it to the last; and another took is up but by Education and the Law of the Land, and never heard and understood the Reafons of it, and turneth from it, being taken prisoner by the Turk, which of these is the greater sinner? God binds them to Believe and Consent that do not; and they sin against God's Law, which is more than to break their own Covenant as such: But both these deserve death and worse from God: But if it were Christ's way, to have men put one of them to death, I see not but why they should do so by the other. Torment or Death is no fitter way to make an Apostate believe than other Infidits.

It's known that all the ancient Churches abhorred this forcing and punishing way. I have wondered at the impudence of Beronius, Binnius, and other Papills that justifie Martin for feparating from the Communion of the Bishops that were for punishing the Proscillations by the sword, and Canonize him as a Saint, and condemn these Bishops for it, and yet are for far more cruelties themselves, to far better men than the Proscillations But where Fleshly interest is a mans Religion, no wonder if it have neither consistency with Rea-

fon nor Medelty.

In But if none but Volunteers be Christians or Communicants.

most will despise the Church and it will be empty.

M. All that are fit to be there will come in: And those few will give the Pastors more comfort and lesser trouble than the multir tude of the uncapable. If your purse be not quite full of Gold, will you fill it up with dung or stones? The uncapable will do better for themselves, and the Church among the Andience or Catechumens. It is their forcing in the uncapable, that hath corrupted the Church, and deprived the Flock of their due privileges (choosing their Pastors, &c.) because it's made up of men unworthy of them. And doubtless if you but countenance and preferr

preferr the Communicants before the rest, it will draw in more than are capable, without force.

L. If the Excommunicate be no further punished, her forced to re-

for an Excommunication?

M. This is commonly faid, and much of it is true: But, t. Can you force men to Repent, or rather Lye? You make him Repent that he brought himself into your hands and into suffering? But that is not to Repent of Sin. Will you tell a man before hand, If thou wile but say than repentest rather than tye in Gaol till death, we will pronounce thee absolved and forgiven in Christ's Name? Who can think ill enough of such an Absolution?

2. Do not they fcorn Christ that fay he hath advanced his Church to the Dignity of Government, by putting into their hands a Reed for a Scepter, and a Leaden Sword that will do nothing without the Magistrate's Sword of Steel? Hath he fet up

an ufelefs mock-power in the Church?

3. Did the Primitive Churches for 300 years aleany Sword but Spiritual? Or did they find it so uneffectual and vain?

4. Yea for some hundred years after there were Christian Magistrates, did not the Church abhorr such a thing as forcing the Excommunicate to repent by imprisonment or the Sword?

5. No man is meet to be a just Member that careth not for a just Excommunication? And still this sheweth what a wickedness it is to force in the nameet, that despite God's Ordinance and the Church that they are in: And then God's Ordinance

must be debauched for their unfitness.

6. The Sword doth the Keys much more hindrance than help, when it is thus annexed to them; for then it cannot be discerned whether Excommunication do any Good or none; or whether it be only the Sword that doth the cure: And do not they that profess Excommunication to be vain without the Sword, teach men to call them as Church Governours Vain, and to despise them? And is it not all one, as to say, if any good be done by Church Government, it is by the Magistrate's Sword, and not by ours by the Keys?

4. And is it not then ridiculous contradiction to differe To hard about Church-Power, and against the Presbyters claim, when you confess that it is an useless shadow that you differe

for, and it's not it, but the Sword that doth the deed.

8. Is it not an odious defacing of Princes and Magistrates, to fay, That they are bound to Imprison and Ruines man as a meer Lictor or Executioner of the Judgment of the Clergy, or of a Chancellor, without ever hearing or trying his Gause; and to punish him again because they punisht him before, or because he hath not got their pardon?

9. If Excommunication be grolly unjust (as against Christs Members, for doing their duty, or for common humane infirmity) they do well that let by it no more than it deferveth, and pretend not to repent when they do not, nor cap-

not, nor ought to repent.

L. Though you call it no Punishment, to keep all the Non-Communicants from publick power and trust. I think it will pass

for a notable Penalty.

M. I grant them as much as I can, as knowing how little they will yield to; and indeed it's my opinion that to deny all Non-Communicants Magistracy and publick Trust, would be to Reform the Common-Wealth in the Foundation, if the Keys were but justly exercised: But then by this I exclude none but the Incolerable, that Neither Communicate with the acproved or the Tolerated Churches.

L. But Rulers will turn this against you, and sout out the To-

lerated with the Intolerable.

M. I cannot help that: Must I not tell men what is Right,

because they will do Wrong?

L. But you are for having all the Subjects forced to be Catechifed, and to be Auditors: And do you think that this will-not force

N.n-Conformists to bear against their Consciences?

M. I say not that they should be forced to hear in the Parish Church; but either in a Parish Approved, or a Tolerated Church: How have they a Toleration, that may not hear their Tolerated Pastors? And he that is not for hearing as all, is not to be Tolerated: And indeed, if those that are no Members in Communion of any Church, but meer Catechumens or Anditors, should be forced to hear in their own Parishes ordinarily, if there be meet Teaching; I oppose it not.

L. But is it nos as injurious, to force men againft ibeir Con-

fciences to Hear 4 to Communicate?

M. No, the Cale is greatly different. Nature bindeth allmen to learn, that they may know what is good or evil to
themfolved Learning and Knowledge is the common Duty end.
Interest of mapkind; and though no man can be forced to Beliew and Repem; he that is forced to Hear, may hear that
which may make him Voluntarily Believe and Repent: You,
must force your Children to Learn, but not to Communicate
I told you that to give a man the Sacrament, is to give him
a scal'd Pardon and Gift of Christ and Life, which no unwilling man is capable of, but he may be capable to Hear and
Learn.

And this being only to those that are refusers of all Church-Communion, or are uncapable, and are in none, either Appeared or Tolerated, what Conscience can such pretend against hearing, or against being restrained from crimes and profaning holy things, or reproaching Religion, though they be not

confirmined to what they are ugcapable of?

L. I am fully fairsfied, that your way of dividing all the Subjects into the Approved, the Colevated and the Intelectable, is of
abfolius necessity. And to conclude, I am satisfied, that you NonConformists have a Canse so good, that you do well to suffer for
it; but were I in your case. I know not what I should do my self.
The Flesh and World are strong; and it's easier to be convinced that one should be a Marry, than to submit to Marry dom:
God be merciful to our weakness.

M. He trusteth not Christ that thinks he shall be a lofer by him; and he that will fave his life from him by fin, shall lose

it; and he that lofeth it for him, thall fave it.

L. X. Tour 10th. for some Toleration, will never be endured, though the truth is, your Reasons for it are unanswerable, and your Limitations so strill, as prevent most of the Objections

that might be made againft it.

M. God's Law requireth forbearing and forgiving one another, and receiving the weak in Faith. And they that cannot Tolerate the Tolerable, methinks should fear the thoughts of death, lest then God will not Tolerate them, but cast them out as they cast out his Children.

E. I confess you convince me, that know what most Patrons in England are, that it is unsit that all the Peoples Souls should be so far at the mercy of those Patrons, that seem to care but listle for

their own, as that no man must have any other or better Pastor than they will choose for bim; and that all mane duty, to care for his Salvation, and all the judgment of the Church of Christ, from the Apostles dayes, till a few bundred years agoe, sould whely give place to the pretended right of any fellow that can buy an Advontion or Prefendation : And it were to be with that it were windly eaten from sham, and left to the Clergy and People along the Magistrate being Judge whom he will Approve or Tolerate. But there is no longe that Parrons will let go their Supposed right, if an Angel from Heaven should speak against it; but in all reafon they should grant the Communicants that fmall confequent Vote of Confent or Differe, that you pleased fory but if they will not do that, shey flould give them leave so go to another Pariff, on to choose a Tolerated Pastor whom shop will maintain. But when dre To fet on their own mays, that they banift all fenfe of others cafe, and of what conducesh to the common good.

M. We can but bring the truth to light, and hew a felf-wounding people the Balfam that must beal them, and stay

till God will give them a heart to ule it.

L. But you are so careful, not an offend olim by mortoning the mide a Taleration, that I doubt it will do listle good. For, a some will scraple some of the Subscriptions, or Ourbs, which, you grave, shall be imposed on them. 2. If all the Tolerand must be responsible for their Dollrine and Ministration, is two to one, but the Kulerano whom they must give account, will be so

contracty enobem, observeley will have no peace on factor parties at

M. How would you have these dangers and inconventences be avoided? An authorized Teteration of the Intelevable, is it fell intelevable, and you can devise no faser limitation. The engagements which they are to take, tell you the Terms of Toleration; and if men will Preach against those Terms of their Alegiance to the King; or if they use thair Meetings, to destroy Love and Peace, they sught to be referained; and there are lower punishments than depriving them of their Toleration, which are for lower faults. a. Due if Rulers will oppress, we cannot help that, and must not therefore be sugoverned.

CHAP. LXI. Whesher the Extingation of the Non-Conformifts, be not rather to be attempted, than an Union with them. by thefe means.

L. TT's long fince our farmer Conference; and now there is difcovered a Treasonable Plot against the King and his Broeber ; This was and a multitude, of Addresses tell my that it mas the Plot of the written when the Duke of Diffenters, and the Product of Converticles, and therefore crave. Monmouth's the extrination of thermally and that they may no more be trust-Treason was ed, as having Principles were concileable to Monarchy and Submost noised, jestion a and the laudest cry now rans that way. and the Dif-Senters cryed M. What is the Treasonable Plot? down /

L. To Kill the King and Duke, and raife an Army, and to. Change she Government, or Governmers at leaft.

M. Who do they mean by Diffenters or Conveniclers?

L. All that Conform met to the Church of England as it is now feeled by the Liana them tale me

M. The Law fetleth the Effentials, Integrals, and Accidents of the Church : Do you mean every one that difliketh any one Office, (as Lay-Chancellors use of the Keys) or any Ceremony or Form? If fo, I do doubt most that come to Church and Communicate with it, diffent from fome fuch Circum-Rances

L. Well, Suppose it be those that feparate from it.

M. There are now these following forts of known Differ-

sers, called by many, Conventiciers.

1. Those that like the way of Episcopacy and Litingy best, as here feeled; but yet will also, occasionally join with other Churches, as the Franch, Durch, Lumber an, or fome Non-Con-

II. The Pacifick Non-conformift, who at the King's Return. Retitioned for Arch-Bilhop Ofher's Model of the Primitive Episcopal Government, and thankfully accepted the King's Declar ration.

III. The Presbyterians, who are for Government only by Synods of equal Presbyters Teaching joined with meer Ruling ones.

IV. The Independents and Separatifts,

V. The Anabapsifts (who are half Arminians, and half not). VI. The VI. The Fifth-Monarchy Party (most of which are Ambapiles also).

VII. The Quakers.

IX. The Infidels, Jews, Hobbifts, and Arbeifts.

Is the meaning, that all these are the guilty Rebels to be

deftroyed, or which of them is it?

icts: But you know the Papists are not numbred with the Dissenters or Conventielers.

M. Say you to? Do those that differ but about a Ceremony, or Lay-mans use of the Keys, or the largeness and paucity of Bi-mops Churches, differe movement you than the Papills, that would bring King and Kingdom under a foreign Jurisdiction, and introduce all the Mass and doctrinal corruptions of their Church. Read Bishop Downham's Catalogue of Popish Errours de Ani-Chirsto, or Dr. Willer's, Chamier's, Jewell's, or any such, and judge.

And do you think that the Massis no Conveniele, or more law-

ful than the forbidden affemblies of Protestants?

1. Well, But it's Protestant-Diffenters that I mean;

M. So then, You would have Protestant-Dissensers rooted out, and not Papists or Infidels?

L We would have those roused out that were in the Plot, which the

Papifts were not.

M. No doubt but such a Plot as you describe deserveth the extirpation of those that were guilty of it: But I pray you compare not the innocency of Papiss in their Principles, with the Protest anti: Ok read Bishop Barlow's and Iden. Foulis's Books, and Prin's History of Bishops Treasons, and judge as you see eause But it's none of my business now to accuse the Papiss. Do but grant that the innocent should not suffer for the crimes of the guilty, and we are agreed.

L. But is it not justly supposed that the whole Party is guilty of those Principles which have caused particular mens-rebellions; and that it is their Preachers and Conventiclers that have caused all ?

M. You that are a Lawyer should know somewhat of the Rules of Justice, or Humanity at least. Come on, and let you and I consider soberly of the case.

And first, to your face I challenge you to name and prove any

Concord at the King's Restoration, or the party of meer Non-Conformists, and the Protestants of the Church of England, in their Principles about the Power of Princes, and the Subjection and Pasience of the People. Mame any difference if you can?

L. You would make one believe that great Mumbers are inbumanely impudent that obarge them with fuch heinens difference, if there be none,

M. Why do you not name the difference, if there be any? Contrarily, 1. We all take the same Oaths of Allegiance and Supremacy.

2. We Subscribe all the same Articles of Religion about the

Power of Magifrates.

3. We have often protessed our consent to all that is written for Magistracy and Subjection in all the Scripture, in any General Council (save what is for the Papal Tyranny over Princes and People) on in any Confession of any Christian Church, Greek, Papaist, or Protesson, that ever we saw; and for all that (for the Power of Kings, but not all against it) which the generality of Fathers, Historians, Philasophers, Politics writters, Lawyers, Canonists, or Divises, are for. And is not all this yet enough?

4. I have oft sold you where; e. g. Bishop Andrews in Torrara Torri, Sir Fran. Baton, Lord St. Albans, and many others, have vindicated the principles of the English Nanconformists, as the fame with the Church's in soint of Loyalty against the Papis

accusations.

L. Bur do not you know who more the Political Aphorisms or Holy Common wealth, sendemned lately by the Oxford Convecation?

At And do not you know, 1. That the Author had never

leave to confute his accellers about it?

2. Do you not know that he bath divers years ago written a large Book salled his Second Plea for Press, fully opening the Principles which he and his Confenters hold; and no man hath written one word against any of them, that I henrof, to this day? Is this fair dealing then to filence what at large he owners, and name only a writing 29 years ago, which he never was heard about?

a. Dayou not know that the Famoully Learned The Whitee

4. The

charge that on the Berry of Papillet :

4. The Historians Rule is Diffinger in temporibut, Do you know in what times that was written? And know you not that few men then living wrote and spake more plainly against the

Ulbroation than he did?

5. And you'de that the Oxford Convocation condemn the writings and principles of the Doctors of the Cherch of England as well as others? And as for Knox and Buchanar we are no more guilty of their words than of Jenek's, Bilfon's, Islooker's, Land's, or any such.

L. Burifyon differ not from the Church of England in-Principles of Loyalty, why do you not take the Oxford-Oath in the Ast of Confinement, and she Subscription in the Ast of Uniformity?

M. I have told you fully before: Not because we differ in Doctrine, but in expounding the words of that Oath and Sub-

scription.

2. Were neither Arch-bishop Abbar, nor his Clergy, nor the Parliaments of those times of the Church of England, as well as Sibeborp and Maintains? Were not the Laws made by those Parliaments, made by the Members, if not Representatives of the Church of England? You know that our late great Defenders of the Church, describe the Church of England to be shope that Warship God according to the Law: And were the Parliaments that made those Laws none of the Church themselves?

Chillingworth would not Subscribe without a limitting Profes-

Son : Was he therefore none of the Church?

Was Bishop Bisson none of the Church? Was R. Hooker some of the Church? The first dedicated his Book to Queen Elizabeth, and the latter is Bullicated to our King Church the second, and Praised by his Pather. And yet the Author of the Holy Common-wealth hath largelier than any maniconsured

Hooker's Popular Principles.

When William Barchya Lawyer defended the King of France his Temporal Power, against the Popes Usurpation of a Power to depose and referain him, he is fain to profess differ the contrary opinion was so common that he was taken to speak some firange and singular thing. And yet none doubts but he was of the same Church of Rame.

I again challenge you to name that point in which we differ in

this Dectrine from the true Cherch of England.

L. You hold that Rives may be refifted by Arms.

M. Not so much as the aforesaid Bishop and Doctors of the Church of England did, or the Parliaments that made Church-haws. Again, See our second Plea for Peace; how far we disclaim it. I profess that I am acquainted with no meer Non-Conformist Ministers, that hold it at all lawful for Subjects to resist the King, or any Supream Power by a War; except in case that he notoriously declareth that he will if he can destroy the Common wealth, or deliver it up to a foreigner or destroyer that hath no right.

L. Sure the cry would never be for Extingating the Different, for

this Plot and their disloyalty, if they were not gusley?

M. Nay, if that be your argument [Strangers to them fay they are disloyal and guilty; ergo they are fo] I leave you to God's answer, for I will not undertake to answer you.

But will you use Sobriety a little further?

1. It is now twenty feven years fince they were ejected and cast out of maintenance and countenance, and left to beg or crave their bread: Long have they been laid in Gaols, and fined deeply, the Law laying on them twenty and forty pound a Sermon : Their Goods, Beds, Books taken from them and they left dellitute: How many in all these years have ever been accused and proved guilty of one difloyal or feditious Sermon or Word? I know of none: Certainly it was not for want of will in the Accusers. Those that by Oaths have brought them under Convictions and Warrants for diffress of five, ten, and much more forfaitures, even divers hundred pounds at once, before they were ever fammoned to speak for themselves; would fure have fworn fome difloyal Words against them, had they been able. And can many hundred Ministers have a fuller proof of their innocency, than that they had no fuch profecution twenty feven years from fuch a fort of Adverlaries, in fo great Sofferings?

and many Countries and Corposacions have accused the Different of it, and cry them down to Extirpation: And to this day I cannot hear of any one English Minister (or at most not of two) that is either an Episcopal or Presbyterian Non-

Conformilt, fo much as accused, or named as guilty.

Presbyterians. Yet no man accuse them for being in Plots; and yet must they also be destroyed?

But, Sir, if any one or more of the Epifcapal or Preshperian Non-Conformifts, Minifers or People, had been found spilty, would you condeton thousands (or any) of the guilders for their lakes? On what account: Is it for their Relation to them? They are mostly frangers to one another. Come, and let us

ery your rule of Justice.

I: Is thereany Relation nearer than that of Father and Son? And can any Minister be supposed to have more interest in, or influence on his Heavers, than a Father bath on his Son? And you know that the chief man accused is the Kings eldes Son : I hope you will not for this, charge the King, as if he principled him for Treafon against himself: Nor as if he were to fuffer for his Sons faults.

II. The Judges have oft declared that many refuies and Papiffs were Plotters and Traitors, and they died for it. I hope you will not make all Papifts guilty of their trime, nor extirpate them for it. And yet the Papills are Conventicling Differers

COO.

III. The Lords and great Men accused of this Flor and Treason (how justly God knoweth) were of the Church of England; and shall all the Church of England be destroyed for their fakes

Dr. Whithy, and others now blamed by the Oxford-Convocation; and Biffiep Biffon, Mr. Hocker, Oc. were of the Church of England; and small all the English Clergy be accould of their

words?

IV. Many of the accured were Hobbils and Insidels, and font common iff living Proteffants: Shall all the Hobbifts and Infidels, and ill-living Processants be extirpated for their faults?

W. Many Contemen of fome late Parliament are accused mot yet tried, and proved entity : Shall all the Parliament

men cherefore be extirpated as gainty?

VI. Some Lanyers and Students at Law are accused: Shall all Lawyers and Studens therefore be extirpated?

V. I. Divers of the Wobility are accorded: Must all Wobie

men be the ether reproducted? actured is his Council therefore to be diffraced of detroyed?

IX. For-

IX. Formerly many Judges have been guilty: Are Judges

therefore to be dishonoured?

X. By this juffice you may next conclude, They were Englishmen that were accouled, therefore let all English-men be rooted
out; Or they were Protest and Christians; therefore away
with all Protest and Christians: Whereas I think it an unjust
conclusion, that because they were Irish-men and Papist; that
murdered two bundred thousand in Irish-men and Papist; that
murdered two bundred thousand in Irish-men and Papist; unless you will inferr, They are men
that commit all sin; therefore root out mankind.

If it had been men that here ferious Godliness, and are the seed of the Serpem and of Cain, that are at deadly enthity to the true fear of God, and thirst for the blood of the innocent, that are accused of this Plot; and if People had petitioned to have all this fort of men rooted our for it, it would have fallen on more than you and I are willing to name, or to have destroyed.

But can you bear with me if I tell you truly and plainly what this Excirpating motion of all called Diffenters and Conventiclers.

fignifieth, and what it tendeth to?

L'I have had fo much reason from you, as obligeth me to bear

what, you can further fay.

M. I. The motion is so apparently from Satan, that it will tempt those that are Chaistians indeed, and know the devil's will and works, to take you for no other than his listed fouldiers against Christ, if you set your selves to do this work. Truth, Love, and doing Good, are the Christian State and Life. Lying, Harred, and Hurrfulness, are Diabolism, or Satans work and image. All the Art of prating and deceit of Clergy or Laity in the World, will never so far blind true Christians, but that by your Fruits they will know you. The pricks of Thorns and Thistes will be known from Grapes and Figs., in despisht of all the crast of Diabolists. And if Children, know not a Wolf in Sheeps, clossbing, they will know him that see him tear the Flacks yea, or that see his bloody jays.

And are you willing to renounce Justice, Humanity and Chri-

fignity, and openty to profess Diabolism ?

Men will fee how malignity greedily picks quarrels with honeft innocent men, while it beareth or cheritheth the debanched angodly, paconfrionable ratible, whole God is their belly, or the world.

And

And methinks men, to proud that they cannot bear with any that differ from their ignorant dictates, flould scarce be willing that History should list them among the Cainites or Diabolift.

any more than among man-eating Cannibals.

your worst. 1. So great a number will be there, if you do your worst. 1. So great a number will be there to their Conficiences, and suffer what ever you insist on them, as will take you up much time and crouble, and render you more odious to she rest.

2. The common People that were indifferent, will enquire what these men have done, and will be turned from those that afflict so many of the innocent; especially their neighbours, who

know them better by experience, than by your reports.

Those that filt not Prisons, or die not as Martyrs, will but Consect their minds, and not change them. And they will then be out of the reach of your hurting power: When they communicate with you, and give you no outward matter of acceptation, what can you do to them? Or what pretence can you find to exfirm the thom? The heart you know not: Their actions they will keep out of the reach of the Law: If you try them by Oaths or unjust Professions, by increasing the number of Sufferers, you will increase your infamy and odium: And they that for fear do swear against their Judgments, will hate you the more when they feel their wounded Conscience smart.

more freely, and will Educate their Children into a harred of your ways. And if you could defirey them, two for one will

foring up as their fucceflours.

Who would have thought that the great French Maffacre should have rather incredsed than diminished the Protestants? On that Queen Mary's bone fire should have extinguished Popery? Or that the two hundred thousand murdered by the Irish should but have hashed the overthrow and raine of the murderers? In short, you cannot extingue them.

11-11- The intore you attempt its and the intore you ficeed,

1. Is not the King more fafe, and ftrong, and honourible, and easy when he hath the Hearts of all his subjects, than if he hale a People by divisions and perfecutions flustered like a broken Glass, or living in profecution or fear, and battered of one shirtles.

M m -2

as if they were fall in a flate of hosbility, and lived attend their dangerous enemits? If either Christ or fatante to be believed, a divided Kingdom in camity cannot flated.

L. Methinks you argue against your falf . For therefore it is that; me would have all Differers reproduct, because of the danger of divi-

from by colorated Different was more of . I disout the

A find you read a Book called, The Sommeron, and another called. The True and only Torms of Church-Concord, you would need no further answer to that objection. If you would have all of one mind and may it stuff be in thet which it is recoffery and politile for all men to agree in, and to make things someroffery on smpoffile your terms, is the certain way of permicious divitions. Will you entirpate all that differ in Controverses of Law, of Philosophy, Alexandy, Physick, Grammur; otighet differ in Reafon, Coultivation, Status; ? etc. Do you think that all will confent in all the Mysberies of Divinity, while knowledge in so low and rare? Wea, do you think that even in circumstances and things doubtin, all good Christians will ever be so much of one measure of knowledge asso agree? How happy are we if we all agree in great, plain, ancollary things? But to understand also all little circumstances and humane Orders and Phrases; which be lawful, and which not, supposeth all Plow-men, Trades men and Work-men, to be far more perfect in the knowledge of Divinity, than the most learned Philosophers are in Physicks, who agree in little but a few principles, and things within the reach of sense: When yet also, we can scarce teach half the vulgar, the meaning of the Creed, or Baptismel Governant.

If the King would extirpate all Lawyers, Phylicians, or Philofophers, who in a multitude of little and controversed points,
cannot tell which fide is in the right; he may have fo much the
more foccels in it, by how much the more men will be bald to
diffemble their opinions in matters of fach a nature. But about
the matters of God and Salvation, Confeiences will be more afraid to firetch and diffemble. It's far less wife to take this
way, than for School-masters and Theors to destroy or cast
out all Scholars and Pupils, that have not just the fame degree

of knowledge.

And at what age must this perfect knowledge begin, which must extend to the most minute things that men will command? At fitteen years of age you campel them, volumes, to re-

ceive the Lords Supper, and do you think then that all at finteen years old. In Section thould be preferred to know more of the Lawfulness of all your impositions on them, than I can reach to know as 60 (now near 14) after the long and hard Study of my life? If you compel no more Men, Lads or Women to Communicate, but such as really understand the Lawfulness of all such impositions, which I judge unlawful, fure it will make a great change in your Communicate and Courts.

L. By this talk you feem to intimate, that the people must not be confirmed to obey in Circumstantials or Little things, because they may to them be doubtful; and who wor sip of God

can you perform misbone fuch little Circumstances?

M. Little or Great, God in mercy hath made all Newford things intelligible and plain? It is the Unaccoffery things that are most controvertible and doubtful. There are many Checamplaness that are so Neverlary to actions, that they cannot without them be performed; o.g. He that will Preach, must open his mouth; he must speak audibly, he must nie a Language understood, he must have some capable Place, and convenient Pime; Pfalms must be sung in some Tune, over some Clothing, some posture of Body must be used; and all men know that to choose these according to the general Rules of Charity, Edification, Order, and Decency, belongs to the Guides of the Assemblies. And where do you see any great division about any such things as these; except in cases of accidental scandal?

And if any should be so childsh or ignorant, as to think is unlawful; s. 2. to be Uncovered, or to Kneel at Prayer; due Instruction, and gentle Rebnke may easily cure such weakness; and is meeter than an extirpation. If any were so filly that they strupted; e. g. singing our Metre or Tunes of David's Psalms, but are only for the Cathedral singing of the Prose; it's fitter to let them be filem, or let them go only to Cathedrals, than to Excommunicate or Destroy them; and if any be so weak, that they think a Lawful Form or Gesture unlawful, it's fitter, if they cannot be convinced, to let them be filent, or Worship God among themselves in another Form or Gesture, than to Ex-

communicate or Extirpate them.

the Ceremonies upnecellary even as senere? What are they to the Vows of God-fathers without the Parents, or to the dedicating Symbol of the Crois, or to adhering to a bare Reader, when themest Parilh bath an able Teather whom the ignorant have great need to be instructed by to say no more now of all the Oaths, Declarations, Subscriptions, Covenants, and Professions required of Ministers? I again say therefore, if you extirpate all Subjects that cannot unite with you in all things required by the Rubricks and Canons, you will wrong the King by weaking his Kingdom, and robbing him of more of his Subjects, than He or the Welfare of the Land can spare.

And you will keep the Kingdom in a liate of division (and like Antioch, that was so oft and terribly shaken by Earthquakes, that it was in continual danger of ruine) and even honest Irajan lodging there, did hardly scape through a window, while the father houses killed his Soulders.

a window, while the falling houses kill'd his Soulders.

And the Differers are not all of one mind and temper. All that are wife and good, will fuffer patiently and peaceably; for I incline to think, that the expolitors militake, who apply Soloman's words to Sufferers Coppreffice maketh Wife men mad]; and that as some Craticks fell as, it rather meaneth Rulers Cthat an affeltation of an oppreffire power. rice exercise of se, makesh wife Kulers forges the stery obcious Reasons of Morality and Interest, and to all at men diffraated]. But there be Dillenters of dangerous Principles, which are fitter to be restrained, than by disperation to be emayed; as hap is, the Behmenists and Quakers are against War, and the old Anabaptifts were to reported; but fome of them have thewed a contrary judgment; but it's known part doubt, that the Papifts are a fort of Differting Conventiclers, who have fo strong a back beyond Sea to encourage them, and are so instructed by multitudes of learned Clergy-men and Friars, and so taught by General Councils, which are their very, Religion, that many of them will think it metirs Heaven to kill fuch. Kings as would extirpate them.

King James is deeply centured by tome, for doing to much as he did towards a Toleration, and for what the French Bithop of Ambrun writes of him. But for my part I

perily believe that he did it in fear to fecure his life: ted, and when two great Papelt Kings of Pilot, had been murdered . because she yn swere not acalous enough for the pope, and that to desperately by fingle men, that did it to merit Heaven; and when he had for narrowly escaped the horrid Gunsponder Plos and when they still told him that he hould not efcape what wonder if he were

alraid mebul to morns statilized from the coloring since And in great confidence have the Papel Clergy, in this terrifying of Kings as in constant danger of death, if they be against their Ohusch, that the Pope and disclose Adherents could never to this day be procured to disewe the Decree of theres and other Councils for Depoling Excommunicate Heretich Princes; no nor to deny the lawful-nels of killing Juch ; 162, even in France, percon himself, their Learned Cardinal, to defends the Pope's power of Depoling Kings that delerve it, that (in his Oration to the States) he professers. That, if it be not true, the Pope is Anti-Christ, and the Church Anti-Christian that hat he long owned

and practified it.

L. You feel to intimate in all this that you would have she Rapiffs Tolerased for fear leaft they should hill the King; and so the worse that Men and their Principles are, the more they must be worse that be Tolerated for fear of them : But who lived in greater fafang thin Resen Elizabeth who Juppreft them seren when the Rope had Excommunicated ber i

M. I would have the King and Kingdom (Church return and so that end, I would have Repists kept one of Government, said Mulitary, or Estlesiatist; and I would that the line of his Subjects; in By the Unity of his Subjects; in By the Unity of his Subjects; in By the Confederacies broad, by full 19 from 25 not to fear the force of Foreigness, be force of Foreigness. read, of fill is firong at not to fear the force of Foreigner; and their things being fectived, without fear of any mens centure. Lay, and the model have all demanded at their, and all Percentures and the percenture of the perce

in inchas is pecellary so the forefaid Ends piz to

fact King and Kingdom from a foreign Jarikliction, and from hyration, and to face the Scale of the People, from finders for by unceasonable liberty of Sidness 18912 OW2 1191W DUE 1991

multinudes into desperation. Left undone desperate men be carried to Revenge, of to think Treason lawful, when they

can no otherwise be saved from death and fring

Mani hath one a despotices power over all petion ! And fome passions do almost necessitate errour of Judgment or elfe fudden Action against Todgment Pake the most meek confcientious men, that knoweth the evil of Revenge, and ery his patience by buffetting hind; and it's two to one, but palion will make him frike vot again. Much more if you buffet him twenty year every day, patiente may be overcome to laft and There is Carce and Creature, Best, Bird, or Vennin, Out will use all the relatance it can in case of burt and fear of death. The Devil could fay, Shin for thin, and all that a Atlan hath will be give for his Lofe. you think that Prince or Kingdom is in fafety, that that dety, as are all the Papifts, Infidels, Jene, Andhapitts Separatifts, Independente, Presbyterians, and Epifcogal Non-conformift. as are in England? Though [think the latter for would suffer death rather than be difloyal; I am fure Rulers and Advertisies think not fo, who accuse them of intolerable distoralty And they that think to fil of them will expect ill at their hands.

It's true that the worle men are and their principles, the more need there is of imprefing them. But deferoring them is the chief means that I know to increase their principles of relitance. It's ordinary with men that never lifered to whetelf reliting Governous; and to change their definitions when shey thank they are implicably history to defer them without defers or any semestry. Draw your Swort about from without defers or any semestry. Draw your Swort about from even on a Quadry and it's two to one you will change his judgment, and make him think that fell-defence is lawful. Weap is youndeed will all the relitance in the Kingdom) it's the valuable with the international thanks made the will fell in the international thanks made with the will be the first and the relitance knowledged that the kingdom it's the valuable with the international thanks made the will fell the international thanks oner what they had thought that the constitutions are knowledged that the relitance knowledged the knowledged that the relitance knowledged the knowledged that the knowledged that the knowledged the knowledged that the knowledged the knowledged that the knowledged that the knowledged the knowledged that the knowledged the knowledged that the knowledged the knowledged the knowledged the knowledged the knowledged that the knowledged the knowledge

fiftance at the French Mallacre on Burtholomen-day, or at the greater in Ireland, had been unlawful, whoever commanded them.

Yea, the Lord Bacon, Chancellour of England, in his Difcourfes of a Holy War against the Turks, and of a War
wield Spain; thought that their barbarous Gruelties (though
the Turks give Liberty of Canscience) allow other Princes to
War upon them to vindicate mankind; the World being one
Kingdom of God in which every man oweth charity and
due help to others; which is honoured in Tamerlane, when
he relieved the Greek Emperour of another Religion against
Bajazee that was of his own, and this in meer Humanity and
Charity

But I fay, All the World cannot device a more effectual way, to make all men think that Self-defence is Lawful, than by greaternelly against multitudes to make it seem necessary.

And if you will cure it by forced Oaths, I heartily wish the King better deceasity for his Life, and the Kingdom for its Peace, than them conferentious keeping of those Oaths will be, unless conscience and patience were more universal and powerful than they are, and would make all forts of Diffement schoole Martyrdom, rather than desentive resistance.

And mer for Queen Elizabeth's Case, her Dangers were many, her Advantages were great, her Executions for Religion were so seek, that the Lord Bacon and many others say, none instered for any thing but Treasons or such crimes:

And God did wonderfully preserve her.

But to fay no more of the Papills whole case quite differs from all the reft, if you will make many Laws about doubtfub words, and forms and ceremonies, and then extirpate all fober, godly, peaceable Subjects, that for fear of finning against God dare not obey them, what do you but mines and pulverize the Nation, and dissolve its consisting and strength, and make it a Cock pit, or a Prey to the clover footast deceiver, and divider

The Non-conformiffs in England lived quietly till the Canons were made for their profecution. The great Counfellours of State, (Sir Nic. Bacon, Throgmarton, Sir Amias Raulet, the Earl of Leicester, Sir Francis Knowles, Sir Francis N n

Walfortham, Secretary Beals, e.c. except Hatton and Whingift, were for lenity; yes for fuch abstements as might have cause fed Unity : And Sir William Cecil, Lord Burleigh (though for Epifeopacy) almost fell out with Arch bishop Whitesfr for his hard afage of the Non-conformifts. And were all thefe fools, and you only the wife men ? But when Bascroft, left they froud increase, would ruine them, and the Canons were made, which ipfo fallo Excommunicated all People in the Land, high or low, that did but sfirm that any thing in their Liturgy, Articles, Ceremonies, Ordination, or Church offices (from the Arch bifton to the Apparitor) was repognant to the Word of God, the Church and Kingdom presently felt that folutionem continui which these tearing racks had made : And when all must be ejected and silenced that durft not subscribe that [There is nothing in the Liturgy contrary to the Word of God] (which I would not fay of the Bible it felf as in any one translation) then our over-zealous Unitery tore all to pieces, and the fhreds could never to this day be well fer together to make one piece.

Yea all the Parliaments of England have still been kriving to restore the Concord of Protestants by laying bye some of these dividing, tearing Engines: But Whitesise (though too great an Ann Aminian) and Huston, &c. prevailed still with the Open to prohibit them; and they were loth to displease her, having had so great a deliverance from Benery and Persecution by her Reign. The Lord Versian (Rosse) tells us of a Reforming Act in which himself had a hand, prepared in the Parliament, but prohibited by the Queen.

And if any Kings (who should no more divide from their Kingdom Real or Representative, than the Handard from the Wife, that I say not, Than the Head from the Bady) should so far missunderstand their own and Peoples interest, as to be jealous of Parliaments as their enemies; and if wise Kings desire to keep the Nobility from being (as Henry 4th. Richard Nevill and others have been) too strong for their Sovereigns, they cannot more cross their own ends, and serve the ambitious designs of these mea, then by affacting a great, a sober, and a religious part of the Kingdom, whom these sections men will be still serving themselves upon, by pretending to head them for their deliverance; as many factions.

chious Nobles did in Prance. It's a great encouragement to rebellious great ones when they have always hope that a great body of distressed men, will be ready, as accellitated for self-defence, to accept of their offers for inferrections or at least to strengthen faction.

L. It is for all thefe very reasons that we would destroy or extirpate all Dissensers, that they may not be the serviceable in-

fruments in Juch defigus.

M. For all thefe reasons, you should unite on the terms that Christ and his Apostles instituted as sufficient for Chrithan Love, Communion and Concord, that there may be no distressed, exasperased Diffenters among us; or only so few and sulpable by the grosses of their errouts, as that their shame and pastity may reader them no way dangerous You should not take such men of extraordinary wistlom, as Queen Elizabesh's Councellours and Parliaments, the Lord Bacen, Judge Hale, and fuch pacificators as Davenant, Hall, Chillingworth, Abbot, Grindal, Amyeald, and most of the Brensh. Dutch, German Protestants, to be all fools, and you to be wife Men A Council in Bedien could fearce advife a madder way to mot out faction, then to make Canons or Laws. that all the Kings Subjects shall be extirpated, that will not Subscribe. There is not one word in all the Seature Book, or the most Learned Law-Books contrary to the Word of Gods and that the Loudes Difperfentry tath no error in Medicinty and that in Liounfed Book hach any errous in Divis nity r Veriley if the Bilhors and Clergy of England cannot give us better proofs of their infallibility, or that their publick imposed Books are as free from errours as Adam was before his fall, then by making all fubicribe or fwear, or declare in the Church that in is for cowards may fay, your Lordform and Reserences being mover an erromous word, but few men will believe is ever the more; yet it will be the left believed, that needeth fuch a proof as this: Even as men would take him to be acrer the more an unerring Philofophoryodisweet see Phylician, who could force all the apprenaice bet stand women invishe Bown, to fiver that bois. receive Graffs Light to evercome thefe doobis; and in extent:

In Try first to make all the Kings Subjects of one opinion in all points of Learning, Law or Trading, and of one degree

of wit, and of stature and complexion, and then hope to make them all of one measure of ronderstanding, not only in the fubitance of Religion, out in all the hittle things that Bishops call indifferent, and do or may imposes of the

L. But you run upon the Errour that all must bave for great knowledge according to our rules, as to know the Lawfulnels of all Lawful things : We know no Charchmen teach fo highes But the way to Concord must be by obeying the Church in all cafes that are doubtful to the Subjection and its poil ...

M. 1. It's well that you limit it to doubiful cufer : But what if I am paft all doubt (e.g.) that it is a fin to make our fort of God-fathers the vowing Covenanters in Baptism, excluding the Parents, to cast out all from Chriflian Communion that foruple kneeling in the reception, to deny Christendom to all that refuse our God-fathers and Crossings, to pronounce all in England at Burial faved, except the unbaptized, excommunicate and felf, murderers, to profels that [It is certain by the word of God that Infants (excepting none) baptized and dying before allual fin are undoubtedly faved; To affant to a falfe rule to know Eafters day with many fuch : What muft I do in fuch undowbeed cafes ?

2. Tell us plainly, Is it all doubted cafes or some only, in which you fay we must obey? If not all, till you tell us which, and how to know them, you talk in vain. ... If all, what if men doubt whether Polygamy, Lying, Pornication, er. be lawful? Or what if a Papilt doubt whether Kings killing be lawful, and the Clergy command it, must it

therefore needs be done?

3. And I pray you tell us where and when it is that men must obey this rule? Was it a duty in England in the days of Thomas Becker, Anselm, Dunftan, &c. or in the Reign of all the Kings that were Papills ? Is it a duty now in France, Spain, Italy, Bavaria, Auftria, &c. or in the Dominion of the Turks, Perfiant, Tartarians, China, & ! Must all Subjects every where do all commanded them? If they have but ignorance enough to be in doubt themselves, fure they are bound to receive God's Light to overcome those doubts, and in error it is not obedience in Evil, but feeking sruth till they find it, that is their duty.

But.

But If you limit this Rule to Christians, is rit to all Obri-Riang d 16 por Gerhoden Bufers, Jare the Subjects lany fitted to judge; whether their Kings and Bishops are Orthodox or not than whether the things imposed be good or bad? If you dare fay. That all Subjects are bound to be of the Religion which their Kings or Bilhops fay is right, Speak out and you the Capft of San, and many other incitate no on been dline egult's granted by all feber men, that as Rulers have the judge ment of publick decision, forevery reasonable man must indue by private discerning whether his Actions be agreeable to God's Commands or not. It is not Brutes and Infants, but Men that have the of reason, that Kings and Bilhops rule. !

But if you are thiff in the contract opinion, that all men, must implicitely believe the King and Prelates, in all that ignorance can but make them doubtful of Thope you have more brains than once to dream, that ever you shall bring all the Kingdom to unite in this opinion, and to lay by their reafon, and confess themselves Ideots or Brutes, that mist not labour to know whether they keep or break God's Supream Law ; or if you must rule men on these terms; you must keep them in fetters, and not at liberty of and of and minimal

And I pray you diffeonour not the King fo much as to make him a King of Beafts and Ideots, and not of Men or Christians; or at least expose him not so much to the Power of Murpers, as to fay that his Subjects are not the Diferring Judget, who is their True and Lamful Soveraigh, and who we; and if they must judge whether all their actions be agreeable to the Kings Laws cut most left they be hang'd or punished; allow them also to judge whether or no they be agreeable to God's Law, left they be damned of oils ni at

off men bacedielines that God is not their Supresant Governour, no wondersificher believe that Kings have no Governing right, nor any are bound in confeience to obey shem; for who can give Governing Authority; or who can bind Confrience to obey it but the absolute Soveraign, the Almighty rent, ways of Worldle, that the Pope doth chiefy : 1600

L. Experience confinest sall that you have flids what Courtrib live site grapes . Unity aborthole that have proceed that lape is by wielence, and do codore and Difference is de Spaine and up by chemicires, and exercise a tritter Distinct yield

M. It feems you know not what you fay. I. The Pope, and Spaniards, and Italians allow greater differences, by many degrees than those that you condemn Diffenters for; their Jesuits, and Dominicans, Thomists, Scarifts, Nominals, Duvandssis, &c. differ so much from each others in Doctrinals, about God, and Grace, and Free-will, and Providence, and the Cause of Sin, and many other Points, that the Volumes they have written for their several Opinions, make up large Libraries, which employ the hard studies of the most Learned men in the World, and are as far as ever from being ended.

2. The Junferiffs and Jefuiss differ, not only in fisth Dofirlnes, about Predefination, Redemption, Grace, Free-will, Perfeverance, &c. but also about abundance of Doffrines, commonly called Moral; as about Murder, Perjury, Fornication, Stealing, &c. as you may see in the Books lately pub-

lifhed by the Janfenifts against the Jefniss.

And though approved general Councils, have made the doftrine of Depoing Expannumicated Princes, and Absolving their Subjects from their Allegiance, and giving their Dominions to others, to be a very part of their Religion, yet are not the Papifts agreed in it; but the Germans in the days of the Emperours, Frederick, Ocho, Henry 4th, and 5th, Oc. and the French to this day are much against it. And what Learned men wrote against it, read but Mich. Goldafur his many Volumes of Collections, and you may fee.

Yes, the Papitts are not agreed of the very effential Form and Constitution of their Church, and therefore are indeed of several Churches: One Party thinks that the supremacy is in the Pope, another that it is in a General-Council, and a third that it is in the Pope and General-Council agreeing; and yet all these so far bear with one another; as to cover over the difference with the Name of one Church, and to repute

each other as True Roman Catholicks.

Yea more, it is, by an Allemance of Differers, or different ways of Worship, that the Pope doth chiefly keep up his Kingdom When any Religious people have fallen into a different with the loofe Discipline and Conversation of the Bishops and their Churches, the Pope alloweth them to see up by themselves, and exercise a stricter Discipline, Wor-

thip,

ship, and Conversation of their own devising, which he alloweth, and no Bishop shall have power to impeach. And thus he keepeth them in dependence on himself, as the only Desender of their humours, inventions and liberties of different ways.

On fuch accounts, there are multitudes of Selts among them under the name of Friers, and Fubers, and Sifters, &c. The Beneditines, Angustinians, Dominicans, Franciscans, Jesuits, Carthusians, &c. And all have their several ways of Disci-

pline; but it fufficeth, that all depend upon one Pope.

In Rome it felf, Philip Nerins being a Serious Religious man, was unfatisfied with the dead formal way of the Bishops and Mass-priests; and to bring men to knowledge and ferionshels in Religion he borrowed a Church, and fer up a Lecture or Course of Serious Extemporate Worthip, almost like the exercise called Prophecying, that Arch-Bishop Grindal was for, and the Lord St. Albani (Bacon) writes for. Four Zealous men fpent the whole day, one in Extemporate praying, and one in Preaching and Expounding Scripture, and one in telling the people the History of the Church, and the Lives and Miracles of Saints, and one in Praying again, just like those here hated as extemporate Puritans. The Bishops said they were proud hypocrites that drew crowds of people after them for reputation of fancticy; and they per-Secured Nerin, and accused them to the Pope, and filenced him: The filencing Bifliop was presently firnck with death. and Nerius went on, Baronius being his second. The crafty Pope, inftead of calling them Fanatick Rognes and Rebels. thinks it policy to turn this stream of Pious Zeal into his own channel, to drive his own Mill; and he rescueth them from the Prelates, and alloweth their Exercises, and calleth : them by the name of the Ormeriam, and honoureth the leaders, fo that he drew them to depend on him, and Buronius so write those many great Volumes of Ecclefiastical History. which have done Rome greater service than any one Writer that I know of in the world; and to this day the Ormorians are the most fober Puritan Papists.

Thus did he make use of the Sessarian singularity of Ignatius Loyela, a Souldier turned to Superstition, allowing them (as others) to set up by themselves from under the power of

the Bishops, in dependance on the Pope alone, whereby he hath mastered Emperours, and Kings, and Kingdoms, and made great attempts on Abbassines, Greeks, yea on Congo, Japan, China.

and the Heathen World.

And I have credibly heard that Dr. Tho. Goodwin, Philip Nye, and Dr. Owen the Leaders of our Independants, did tell the King, that as the Pope allowed these orders of Religious parties in meer dependance on himself, without subjection to the Bishops, all that they desired was (not to be the masters of others,) but to hold their own liberty of Worship and Discipline, in sole dependance on the King, as the Dutch and French Churches do, so they may be saved from the Bishops and Ecclesiastical Courts.

2. But further, Do you forget that the Spaniards by their facred Cruelty and Inquisition have lost the Low-Countries,

and had almost lost the seventeen Provinces?

3. And do you not know that ever fince the days that the Arrian Gothes possessed Spain, they have been like Ireland, a blind superstitious People, whose Ignorance most sittent them for such a kind of Concord? And is there not a Concord in their way among the enslaved ignorant Muscovites, and among the Turke and many Heathers? Satan himself is against the dividing of his Kingdom.

4. And do you think that the effect of Spanish and Italian Tyranny and Concord, doth answer the cost? The Cruelty of their Inquisition hath made their names as odious as of Cannibals or Wolves, infomuch that the Lord Bacon thought that an Invasive War against them as the enemies of mankind, that violate the Laws of Nature and Nations, was just.

And the many millions that they most cruelly murdered, and tormented in Menico, Pern, Hispaniola, &c. (among whom were divers Kings) do tell the World what are the fruits of their Catholick sury, and Arbitrary Government: Their Arch-bishop Barth. de Casa and their Jesuit Joseph Acosta, eye witnesses of undoubted credit, report that which renders them liker devils than men. And Gage that lived there among them, seconds it.

And it was no small infamy to their King Philip that he put to death his Son and Heir Charles. Are these the pat-

terns that you would have us imitate?

And as for kaly, 1. Read of all their Histories, and then name that Country on earth, if you can, that for many Generations, hath been infested with so much Civil War and Blood, as Italy hath been, yea Rome it self. 2. And since policy hath settled it of late in Peace, what a Peace is it, and of what effect? It is said by travellers that no Country more aboundeth with Atheists and Insidels, that are indeed of no Religion. And truly, if it be God and Conscience that you would have banished out of England, and Insidelity, Sadduceism, Hobbists, Malignity, Drunkenness, Whoredom, Perjury, that you would have take the place, I dare say that the Devil will not sight against such Concord, but will promote it withal his policy and power, by himself and all his agents Ecclesiastical, Civil, and Military.

4. Yet further, Why look you not on all the rest of the World, as well as Spain and Italy? Indeed Japan restored Concord, but it was by so devilish and cruel Torments of Christians and those that would not accuse them, as rendreth their names odious to mankind (of which Varenius will satisfy you.)

But what France, what Ireland and others have got by cruelty,

I have told you before.

And though I am far from justifying the Hungarians (men that I know not or their case) for slying to the Turks for help, do you think that the Countries now ruined by War, and the many thousands in Austria, Silesia, Moravia, and Hungary, killed and taken Captives, and the thousands killed in Fight, and the Famine that the next year is like to come on the ruined Countries, where Corn and Hay are all destroyed, and the bloody War that is yet like to follow before the end; I say, do you think that all these are not a dear price to be paid, for hindering men to Worship God only according to the Scripture? Would leave to serve God only as Christ and his Apostles appointed and did themselves, have cost the Emperour and People, dearer than all this amounteth to?

5. And the World knoweth that (as Crombel got his strength and usurpation in England by his Liberty of Conscience, so) the Turks won Constantinopte and the Eastern Empire, much by this. For when their Emperours were become dissolute or cruel, killing and deposing one another, putting out their eyes, and thrusting them into Monasteries (forced Saints); and when ambi-

Oa

CHONS

persecuting Dissenters as not Orthodox, and mutinous Souldiers pulling down Emperous, and setting up others, the poor Christians thought that to defend such a Government against the Tarks that gave all men the Liberty of their own Religion, would cost them dearer than it was worth; and so were the more remiss in their resistance, and the easilier yielded to the Conquerours: Whereas had the Tarks done as the Papiss, who make our extermination by our own Rulers, a very past of their Religion, the Greeks would have more resolutely resisted them, in necessary self-defence.

And did not the Tunks still give Liberty to Christians (only testraining them from speaking against Mahamerism) do you think that the Greeks in all their Dominions would no more strive for their deliverance? And that Transilvania, Hungary, Walachia, Maldavia, Croates, and Cosses, Asmenians, Georgians, Circassians, Mangrelians, Nestarians, and Jacobites, in so valt numbers, would live so long quietly and patiently under

them as they do ?

All the great Conquerours of the World that are famous in Miltory, ever observed that sober policy, to let Conquered Provinces enjoy their own Religion, and mostly their own ancient Laws and inferiour Mapiferates: And then the People find the change so tolerable in the Supremacy, as they the more easily yield, with less resistance, and continue their subjection with the greater

quietnes and peace.

The Jews in Christ's time, (and till they rebelled afterwards under Vespassen, Trius and Adrian) had somuch of their own Religion and Law allowed them, as was no small cause of their Crucifying Christ, lest the name of a King sent from God (such as they expected the Messac to be) should draw the People to such Insurrections, as should provoke the Romans to deprive them of their Temples, Religion and Laws, and to destroy their place and nation.

And I hear by travellers that where the Twke yet allow their Provinces (as in Transitional) their own Magistrates and Laws, Religion prospereth almost as well as under Christian Sovereigns, and far better than under the extirpating zeal, or rather fury of perfecuting Papist Princes. I desire you therefore before you plead experience for your desolating way of Concord, to study History.

Hiltory better, and be better informed of the case of the

When I think but what men Bishop Wilkins and Judge Hule were, that on my knowledge drew up an Act for the total cure of our English Church differences, (to which those called to it by the Lord Keeper Bridgman, did on both sides consent.) I have thought it some defect of humility in some Clergy-men, that took themselves to be so much wifer than these rare and excellent men, as to judge that all our distractions, sufferings, and dangers by divisions, are not so bad as the effect of these mens

countil would have been

But I do with greater confidence ask you. Whether those men feem to be serious and understanding Christians, who think all the bloody Wars, and tormenting sequifition, and the destruction of Love and Justice, and good Works, which are caused by Church-divisions in the World, to be a less Mischief than it would be to ENDURE CHRISTIANS TO SERVE GOD JUST AS CHRISTIANS TO SERVE GOD JUST AS CHRISTIANS TO SERVE GOD ON THE TERMS DNLT WHICH HE AND THE TERMS DNLT WHICH HE AND THET DID ORDAIN AND PRACTISE? Shall we tell Turks and Howhers that it is no Wiser a Saviour that we straft in, and no Wiser a Heavenly king that we obey? And so Wiser Law and Sospel that He bath less us? And is it any wonder then if they foorn both film and is?

L. Ton are son hard for me, I will talk with you no more.

M. It is Truth and Light that is too hard for you; and woe to the foolih Enemies that are too hard for it, and overcome themselves, and their own happiness and hopes in overcoming it. And was to the World, to Churches and Nations where such prevail.

I. But I advise you, that you never think that all your Traits and Reason will do any great good on shose that are against you. For you cannot have whale to say all this to many that you have said to me, and if you should Print all this the convery neinded will scarcely Read the stile page or Content that scarn it before they know what you have said, and if they read it, it will be all the way, with a militant special of presudice and hatred, and only fludy what to say against it; and Ignorance, Passion, Interest and Presudice, will

0.0.2

Author is a Fool, or Rogne, or Rebet; and only conclude that the Author is a Fool, or Rogne, or Rebet; and, it's like enough, ansper you with an Excommunication, or Jail, where among Mallfactors you shall lie and die. If you speak for, and not against
their presconceived Opinion and Interest, they will be ar you; but if
you speak against any of their worldly Wealth, or Honour, or Granden, you may alross as hopefully dispute un hungry Dog from
his Carrion; and you must not wonder if they snart, or sty upon
you and tear you. And though I confess that all your Proposals
seem very consistent with your Antagonists wealth and greatness,
yet remember the truth of Seneca's words; That Men that have
a sore, do not only start and complain when they are toucht,
but even when they think that they are touchts though it be
not so. There is no expectation of justice from suspicious
lealousie; much less if is be assumated by Interest and Malice.

M. My expectations are not much higher than your defcription. But when my own life is so constantly a painful borden, and I am so near the Grave, I am utterly unexcursable, if I think so short and painful a life too good to sacrifice by way of Obedience to the will of God, who hath long and wonderfully preserved it; and if I do not live and sie with St. Paul's resolution, Alls 20. 23, 24. Bonds and Affilitions abide me, but none of these things move me, neither count I my life dear unto my self, so that Impet fails my Course with soy, and the Ministry which I have recessed of the Lord Jesus, so testific

the Gospel of the Grace of God.

And indeed, if all our bad Laws and Ceremonies were reformed, and all granted therein which I have proposed, alas there is so much to be done for the Reformation of the Perform that must execute good Haws (Lords, Bishops, Paprons, Priests, Or.) without whom Eaws are but a dead letter, and against whose enmity and oppositions, they are unlikely to prevail, that I die the more willingly, because I see no appearance of any such Golden Age, or smiable Reformation, and general Concord, as might tempt me to desire to live much longer on Earth. The Reformation of our Universities, Clergy, Nobility, Gentry, and licencious Youth, and malignant Haters of Serious Godliness, is a work for Omnipotency, and would afford me matter for a Volume, were I to waite

and I expected, O Lord Good, Thou present, In the mean time it giveth me matter for Groens, Lears and Prayer, but no belief of that good man's Prophecy, who confidently foretelleth ms of that Blelled Age, about eight years hence. If the Second Part of my Moral Prognofication (written with more doubt than hope) thould prove as true as the First Part did 1 of this Progress of Malice and Divisional Leanners is but some small Rudiments or Preparations for such a Blellen Change, that the men of the present times multi see, I should rejoice if They I mean Good by themy consute my sears.

CHAP. EXIL Fifty Quefions to minft Silencers.

OD IS LOVE, AND HE THAT DWELLETH IN LOVE DIVELLETH IN GOD, AND GOD IN HIM, John 4: 16. The most fundamental and comprehensive Article

of Natural and Evangelical Religion. How Angels fell from Love and became Devils, malignant Spirits, is not revealed fully to us; but that such there are, both Scripture and too great Experience certify us. .. But how Man fell, is told us in the Scripture. It pleased God to make a rank of intellectual Animals left to their understanding, felf-determining free-will, between the Brutes which are deserthird to things Sentible, and the confirmed Auguls who are determined to things Spiritual, It pleased him to oblige and allure Man by his Bleffings, to live ftill in the love of God, but within to permit the malignant Tempter, to try whether by his allurement and deceir, he could win him from this Holy Love: As if a man should leave his Daughter to be his Wife, who could win her own confent. The medignant Spirit pre-Love of SELP, Self-pleasing, Self-ruling, and Self-trusting; and having won man's Will, he is by just permission become his Prince, as long as he can keep the Will which he hath won. But infinite LOVE, first promised, and then gave his SON to be the PRINCE OF LOVE, and so the Captain of our Salvation; It is incarnation, Deciring, Life, and Suffering; his Refurrection, Altention, Intercellion and Government, are but the works of LOVE for Man's Salvation, which is, to re-COVEL

Raings

cover the Will of Man to the Reigning Love of God, from the Carnat Senfort felf-love. A war is thus commenced between Christ and Suran; Christ's warfare is to Conquer malignant Emily, and fet up a Kingdom of Love. The Devils work is to allimilate man to himfelf, and to make him a Lyer, Malicions, and a Destroyer. Thes two Kingdoms are let up in this World, which are in continued war against each other. The Subjects of each are discornible by their works: TRUTH, LOVE, and DO-ING GOOD are the works wild marks of the one; and LTING, HATRED and HURTFULNESS, are the works and marks of the other; and HOLINESS and DEFILISM conflitute this world. Cain and Abel were the leading infrances : Heb. 1.1. 4. By faith Abel offered a more excellent Sacrifice unto God than Cain, by which he obtained witness that he was Righteens, God teftifying of bit gifts | and by it be being dead get fpeateth. He conquered, but died, as did our Saviour, 1 Job. 9. 12, 14. Cain was of the wicked one, and flew his Brocher; And thy flew he him? Becaufe his own works were Buil, and his brothers Righttons : In this warfare we want live and the. Marvel not my Brethren of the world have you; though we the as Abel, we know that we have paffed from Death to Life, because we Lane the Brothren : He that loveth her his brother, whideh in dears, though as Cain, he kill and fees to conquer. All that believe nor a better life, which will abundantly make up the life of this, are his fubjects who is called the GOD OF THIS WORLD, who blindeth the minds of mbellevers, 2 Chr. 4. Devils are called. The Rulers of the Darling's of the ballets, 278. 8. 12. The Princes subo fet up a Worldly interest, against or above the Misseanly interest, are called, The Brinces of this world, the come to make, 2 Cor. 2. 6. And to be Wife built for an interest, is, The Wife on of the And to be Wife buly for an interest, is, The Wifton of the world, which is Wife limit; such God, g. 16, to. Thus Christ fighteth by Love, to win Binds to Love for the Giorious Would of Love; and the Devil by Malice to defroy Love and sparate them from the God and World of Love, by fighting as Does about their Carrion, for their lightly interest in this deceies world; but the time is finite, and the Wer will be mort, but the wellow fives, and the Wer will be mort, but is greatly to be confidenced, that is Christ's Canada surject, are contrary to the Thomas of Figure and Canada. The contrary to the Thomas fight not by Wrath and Harred.

against their Haters, but by loving them as Men (and praying for them, even when they insire by them;) And in this course it is, that they are more than Conquerous, Rom. Bont. And heap Could of Fire antheir Heads, which will been them for ever that would

nes on Earth be melted by there.

It feemeth a ftrange thing to us to fee the poor French-wen come hither from their own pleasant Land, in Ragge and Bodily Diffres: And who expelleth them? And for what? Who can-fed all the blood and banishments that have been exercised on Christians, fince the Heathen Perfections? And for what? Who destroyed those many thousands of Christians called Albigenfer, and Waldenfer, and Hohemians, in History mentioned? And for what? Who for up the loquifition as against Protestants, and Tormensed and Burnt to many? And for what? Who souled the French and Irilb, and other Maffacres and Murders? And for what? Who keep out Truth and Reformation from all Kingdoms subject to the Peops? It is men in the Secred Offices, Socioloftical and Givil: We allown Reverence to Magistrates and Paftors. Seem is not so fooligh as to do his work in his own hear or read of Perfecutors, who openly faid. [We are the Serwant of the Devil, and come against you for his Interest and in his Name, so persuade or persecute you from Christ and your shedienes to God, and your Salvation !] No, Ipis as for mickedness, that wicked men deftroy the just, and at for finning that they perfecute them that will not for It is for Religion that Religion is impugned; and for the Church that the true Children of the Church are Perfecuted: And is inter the Golpel that the Preschers of it one filenced and deflroyed? Without the Church a falfo Religion is fet up against Christianity. But within it, on lange of Christ, and of the Church, and of Coursed, and Religion, is fet up against Christ, Church, Course, and Religion; and men in the Garb of Magistrates and Pasture, do profecute the War as by Christ's Commission, and jorhis Name: And fin indefended and propagated by falle partended opposition

listhe Jesu had known him, they would not have Crucified the leard of Glory. Heather would not for Idols fight against God, nor Mahametans for a December against Christ, if they know whan it is that they are doing. Christ who was Grocifed as a Blasphemer and Rebal, for etall his Distributes, that they should be kill'd

as an act of service to God. Where the Gospel is believed, it is a crime so her ridate silence and destroy Christ's faithful Ministers, and forbidds publick Worship, and render his most conscionable Servants odious, and plot their extirpation and ruine, that none dare do it but those that know not what they do. When Christians as a Sect were every where spoken against, Paul was exceeding hand against them, and persecuted them to stronge Christ, and was instable long he to do many shings equisit the Name of Josus, Alt. 26. But when he heard from Heaven, Why persecutifs thou me? it stopt his rage, and changed his judgment.

But alas! How flender a means will ferve to deceive the wicked? A meer nick-name or malicious flander ; yea the avoiding of a fin which they think to be no fin, is enough with them to make the bettern from the worft; while Perjuries, Adulteries, Blasphemies, Prophaneness, Cruelty and Persecution, are tolerable motes in the eyes of their Companions. All the Holiness, Wisdom and Miracles of Christ and his Apostles, would not serve to make them mass for good year or tolerable mon; while

Wisdom and Miracles of Christ and his Apostles, would not ferve to make them passfor good, yea or tolerable men; while Sadduces who denied Spirits of the Resurrection; & Ceremonious, hypocritical blood thirsty Pharisees, went for meet Rulers of the Flock. And how can it be expected that he who thinks not Holiness desireable to himself, should think it any excellency in others? Or that he that thinks his own fin but a tolerable frailty, should much abhorit in the World?

Satanthen hath his Army not only among Infidels, but nominal Christians: And it is commanded by Honourable and Vonerable Names, and he pretends a good and righteous Caule, whereever he fighteth against Christ, and Holines. But by the fruits he may be known in the greatest pretenders, whatever names he call them by this the most profitable Preaching which he laboureth to suppress, and the most faithful Pastors; that he would filence; the most conscious to Christians whom he striveth to make hateful, and the more Spiritual Worship of God which he would hinders. And therefore even among Christians we have great cause to warn mento fear least they be enticed into Satan's service, against Christ and their own Profesion and Salvation. And especially in an eght of Where worldly and cross interests are set up against the interest of Christ and Conscience. 2. Where these worldly and cross interests have al-

ready wafted Christian Love, and Contentions have begun a Mental Ware 3: When thefe have prevailed by fourns and flanders to make Confdonable Christians pals for fome contemptible, criminal, or erroheous Sect; and this Repreach is fortified by Honourable and Reverend Names. Left therefore fuch Causes, too visible in the World, should draw the ienorant and rash into the dreadful Sin of fighting against the Interest of Christ, and Souls, by hindering Christ's Ministers from their necessary Work, and faithful Christians from worshipping God, I will humbly befeech all that are in danger of fuch Temptations, but feriously to exercise their own Reasons in the present Consideration of these following Questions, and to take up with no other Answer to them, which will not bear weight at Death and Judgment, when worldly Pomp and Pleafures leave them and not worldly interest, Wit, or Grandens, but the Righteous Lord, the Lover of Holiness, and Holy Souls, will be the dreadful and final Judge.

The Questions to be well Confidered.

Quest. 1. A Re we not on all sides agreed that we are Mortals posting to the Grave? Doth any Man think he shall not die? And is striving or mutual Love and Quisetness, a sitter Passage to the dust? Do not all Men, constrained by natural Conscience, at a dying Hour, repent of hurting others, and ask Forgiveness of all the World? Yea, if you are not worse than most Heathens, Are we not agreed. That Man's Soul is immortal, and that we shall all be shortly in another World; and that it shall be with us there, as we live on Earth? If any doubt of this, should not the least probability of such an everlasting Life, of soy or Misery, prevail against the certain Vanity of such a shadow as this World? Or if yet they believe not another Life, Why should they not let those live in quietness that do believe it, and dare not hazard their everlasting Hopes for nothing, as long as they do no hurt to others?

Q. 2. Do not all Christians believe. That the Knowledge of God, our Creator and Redeemen, and a holy Heart, and Life are of necessity to our Salvation? Do we not see, That Children are not born with Knowledge, nor free from fieldly and worldly Inclinations? Doth not the World's Experience tell

Pp

us, how hard; and how long a Work it is, to make the Ignorant understand the very Articles of Faith, and necessary Duty to God and man; and as hard to persuade their Carnal Minds to the hearty Love and Practice of them, and to fave them from the damning Love of sinful Lust, and worldly Vanities; and how wofully the best Teaching is frustrate with the most?

Q. 3. Are we not all Vowed to God in our Baptism, renouncing the Seduction of the World, the Flesh and the Devil? And do all understand and keep this Vow? And is not the perfidious Violation of it, a most damaing Sin? And when Thousands of full Age are yet to learn what Baptism is, and what they Vowed, Have they not great need to be plainly taught it?

Q. a. Is a Baptized infidel, or ungodly Perfon, any better or fafer than the Furky or the Salvages in America? Will the Name of Christians fave perfidious Hypotrites? Or, Will it

not be eaffer for Sodom than for fuch?

Q. 5. If Christian Knowledge and Practice be not necessary, Why pray we for Conversion of Heathens and Insidels? And, Why doth the Article of the Church of English condemn those that hold, That all may be saved in their several Religions? And what are we bester than Tarks and Heathens?

Q. 6. Are not all Men on Earth bound folemnly to worship the God that made them? And do norall the Idolatrous Heathens, and the Mahometans, offer their God some publick Worship? And mast not Christians publickly worship Christ? Are not holy Assemblies for Doctrine, Prayer, Praise and Communion, Commanded to that end; and the Lord's day separated

thereto, and all forbid to forfake fuch Assembling?

Q. 7. Let the Bills of Mortality, and the knowing Inhabitants tell you. Whether there be not fome Hundred Thousands in the great Parishes, in and near this City, more than can come into their Parish-Churches, and hear. If they would all but shew their willingness to come in, if they could, and Twenty Thousand stood at St. Marin's in the Church-Yard, and Streets, and as many at Stepney, and at St. Giles Gripplegate, and Ten Thousand at Giles in the Fields, and so of the rest, and should say, We are here desirous to come in, and cannot, What would you say to them? Are they not more miserable that are content to stay at home?

Q. 8. What would you have all these Thousands do? Multitudes

ritudes of them are hardened already by this feeming necessity into felf-excuting, and a custom of neglecting all publick Wor-thip: And how think you do they frend their time at home? Is it not the time for finful Practices, or Idleness at the best? And would you have all thefe Thousands turn Atheists, or live more imploudly than Mahometans? The And are not these to pay to the Church, that cannot come in it; and the Weak that cannot stand and can get no Seats? And are not the Church-wardens bound to prefent all thefe Thousands, that have no Room? And thalf all theld foffer as Recolains, while the Rich that can pay for Sears, efcape & If you fay they thould feel room in other Parish Churches, do you know what it is for a mun to wander into the City, with his Family, to feek room, he knoweth not where? The Tabernacies where Churches stood are small; and we fee the Church full already, where is proftable Preaching. and the Seats at least possest and the world men are, the more need they have, but the lefs they feel in and are unlikely to feek help at fuch a rate who would come hisfit were near them.

Q. 9. Are all these many Thousands that flay at home (or worse) hunted and profecuted, fined, and imprisoned for it, as feditious, and Enemies to the King, of as the Peace, as fome are that worthin God as wifely as they tan ? . And do you believe that such a Worthip of God as hatte nothing in it contrary to the Holy Scripture, or contrary to the Practice of the Apostles of Christ, is worse than none at all, or than meeting at Plays and Taverns, and deferveth foors, impelionment, and 1 . Facher, Son and Holy Choft, Harde by Bantimore of Sminn

Q. 10. Suppose it be Ignorance and Errous in fuch Minifters, as take it to be a fin against God (yea, a heinous sin) to take all the Oaths, Declarations, Subscriptions, and Covenants imposed for Conformity (while they willingly take the Oaths of Allegiance and Supremacy); and suppose it be an Errour in those People, who think their Souls need better Dafteral Helps than they can have in many Parishes; and this Errour be punished with keeping them from all God's publick Wor- .. thip, unless they will fin against their Consciences, or change their Judgmeins which they cannot change? Yes, suppose some Communica of Beremony be indifferente? which they midake for fin, will you forbid all men to worthip God, till they have no Sin or Errour ! Is there any one fuch a man on earth? Ane

Pp 2

you such your selves? And shall all the World be driven therefore from worshipping God? Little do men know how great a part of the daily Thoughts, even of Orthodox Learn-

ed men, are Erroors. 10 100119 10

Q. 11. Can there be a more horrid thing in the world, than either to condemn or excommunicate all men who dare not do. that which after their best fearch, they think to be more hein nous Sin than they dare name, left the very naming of it offend, and are defirous to have their Reafons heard; and to make it necessary, to Communion, or to their Liberty to worship God, that all Men and Women must know even all indifferent things, to be indifferent or lawful in God's Worship, which men may impose on them? Have not all sober peaceable Divines agreed, that things necessary for, all to know, are few; and that Christian Concord can never be expected on any other terms, than by agreeing in those few, plain, necessary things? And who knowent not that indifferent things are numberleft, as well-as fmall; no morsel man knoweth them all! And if a poor Chris flian that feareth the Julice of God, do take that Oath, that Covenant, that Declaration or Subscription; yea, or that humane Symbolical Badgo of Christianity, or other Ceremony to be a heinous fin, which others fay is a thing indifferent ; Must all as Athoifts be kept from worshipping God, till they know all shefe shings to be indifferent ?

Q. 12. Did not the Lord Jefus himself make it his prime Gofpel Law, That all that profess Repentance and Faith in God
the Father, Son and Holy Ghost, should by Baptism be made visible Christians, and all Christians live in Love to one another,

and Holy Communion, and jointly, worthip God?

Q. 13. Was it not the Nature of all the Old Herefies to depart from this Universal Christian Love and Unity, and that on pretence of some singular Opinion of their own, in which they thought they were wifer than others, and would have had all to be of their mind; and should those that cry down Herefie, go the way of Hereticks, if they can but get Number and Power to pretend Carbolicism?

Q. 14. Did not the Holy Ghoft by St. Paul, as plain as the Pen of Man can write, determine, Rom. 14. and 15. and Eph. 4. 6, 7, 6-c, and 1 Cor. 12. that Christians should receive each other into Communion, and live in Love and Peace, notwiths standing

standing differences about Meats, Days, and such indifferent things; yea, or Weaknesses in Faith and Holiness? And did not Christ mind the Ceremonious Pharifees, That God will have Mercy rather than Sacrifice; and that they worshipped God in vain, while they preferred their Ceremonies and Traditions to his Word?

Q. 19. Had it been Reason, or Impudency rather, in the Pharifees, if they had cryed out against Christ and his Apostles, for not Conforming to their Traditions, [You are Schismaticks, and diffurb the Courch's and the Nation's Prace, and cast all into Confusion]? And was it not Turbulent Arrogancy that made the Jewish Christians so urgent with all the Gentiles, to be Circumcifed, when they were left to their own Ceremonies, and no body medled with them, or hindred them? If men love that Diet. let them use it: But must none live that cannot love the fame?

Q. 16. Will you give men leave to open all the real Faults they can find in the Diocesan or Parish Churches? And if they prove far more than they can prove in theirs, Will you therefore filence all your Teachers, and shut up all your Churchdoors, and give over avorshipping God? Do you think that your Priests and your Worship are without Fault; yea, or the Constitution of your Churches? We are not able well to know what a Parish-Church is, by its Materials; that is, who are of the Churches, and who not. 1. If it be all the Baptized Parishioners, the Papists and Separatists are your Members. If it be all fuch as are of no other Church, then the Atheifts, Infidels, Seducers, and others that are of no Church indeed are of it. 3. If it be only those that dwell and communicate there, how small a part of your great Parishes are of the Church? Yet are not these many Thousands declared to be out, but are permitted without Genfure, and may come if they will: Not only the Members, but the Minister himself knoweth not who are of his Church, for the far greater part, till he fee them come to the Altar, and then most, if they come, must be utter strangers to him whom he never faw before. How great a Number of Hobbists, Infidels, Papists, and wicked Livers are amongst us, your own Pens proclaim. And may not these come to the Altar when they please? This is spoken only to convince you, that if all this may be born, the supposed fin of fearing fin in a thing

thing call'd indifferent, deserveth not Excommunication or Destruction.

Q. 17. Is not the Fear of God the beginning of Wisdom? And doth not this contain a Fear of finning? And is not this commendable, and to be cherished? Is not God above man, and first to be obeyed, and most feared? Hath man any Power but what God hath given him, and bath God given any against himfelf, or his own Laws, or for destruction of his Fear? If Conscience towards God be once driven away, is any man to be trusted? Will not the unconscionable do any thing for worldly Interest?

Q 18. Is it not an unmanly fort of impudence in them, that many years perswade the world, that those same men make Schisms, by forbearing only such things as they confess to be no sin, who have twenty years ago Protested, that nothing but singling is refused by them, and did then give in a Catalogue of several sins which they undertook to prove such? And should those same men that have read, or may do The King's Gracious Declaration about Ecclesiassical Affairs, 1660. and the London Ministers printed Thanks giving for it, and the many late Books, in which we have told men what it is which we dare not conform to; I say, should these still take on them, that they cannot know it, and call out still, [What is it that you fick at? And what is it that would satisfy you?] Yea, those that cannot bear that we should tell them.

2.19. Do you think that the King who then past that Declaration (in which the Non-Conformists who fought it with thankfulness acquiesc't, as in terms of happy Concord) and the Hoose of Commons who gave him thanks, or the Bishops and Clergy who after rejected it, and procured the new Act of Uniformity and such other, did take the way to have United Protestants, and

to have prevented our present sad divisions?

Q. 20. Hath not Dr. Burner in his History of the Regale fully proved that the choice of Bishops and Pastors for many hundred years was in the People and the Clergy: And do you think in your Consciences, that if a good Gentleman build and endow a Church, all men must ever after trust the Pastoral conduct of their Souls with such Priests only as his Posterity, or any man that will buy the Advowson shall present? Will not Dronkards, Fornicators, malignant haters of Holy Life choose men as fit for their turn as will be admitted? And do you think in your Con-

sciences

sciences that all the Patrons now in England, are either fit, or by Christ allowed, to be choosers of all those Pastors that all men in England must take up with : Do you not know that Preaching converteth not like a Charm, nor is the Devil cast out of Souls as by the words of an Exorcist ? It requireth great skill and care to convince finners, and inftruct, refolve, confirm and comfort Souls: There is as great difference of Teachers as of Physicians: And Souls are unspeakably more precious than Bodies. And it's faid of (too many) rash and unskillful Physicians, that the difference between them and Souldiers is that they kill their Friends who pay them for it, when Souldiers kill lut their enemies. What Power Princes and Patrons have of things Dedicated to God, as Temples and Tithes, Lands; we prefume not to dispute. But these are not to inseparable from the Pastoraloffice that the People must trust their Souls only on their Pastoral care, to whom the Patron will give the Tithes and Temples. Had men so setled maintenance on Physicians for every Parish, we would not therefore trust our lives on the unskillful or negligent, because either Prince or Patron choose him. And if you would not fay to fuch, Tou shall have the Physician which the Patron choofeth or none; Why should you say so of the Pastor?

Q. 21. Are we not of the same Religion with the Magistrates and Bishops? In what one Article of Faith do we differ (except the new one of the undoubted Salvation of all dying Baptized Infants, not excepting those of Atheists or Insidels, and this as certain by God's Word,) almost all parts of the Christian World, Greeks, Papists, Protestants, Nestorians, Jacobites, Arminians, &c. do charge one another with Heresy or false Doctrine, while Conformists charge no such thing on the Non conformists, but only different from the modes of worship and discipline which they impose. And would you have all the Christian World, forbid one another to Worship God, till they all agree? If not, where yet their differences are so great, why must they be forbidden it, who differ not in points of Faith from the Churches Articles at all?

Q. 22. It is forbidden that more than four meet to V Vorship God [in other manner than according to the Liturgy and practice of the Church of England.] If by [other manner] be meant [with any other positive manner of Worship] I see none that do it otherwise. For Reading of Scripture, Praying, Preaching, Singing Psalms,

Pfalms, are all done in the Parish-Churches. But if [orber manmir extend to every omission of any appointed part of the Liturgy, all Parish Churches where I come do it in other manner.] And shall all the Lords, Gentlemen and People be therefore punished as Conventiclers? The Non-conformifts where I come, use most of the Liturgy, (that is) The Pfalms, two Chapters, the Lords Prayer, the Creed, the Commandments, the Singing Pfalms, & some of them more. Must all go out of the Church if the Curate omit part? If by [otherwise] be meant [with any other] accidents or circumstances] the Church of England agreeth not in all fuch, and can be no rule therein to the Non-conformists: Cathedral and Parish-Churches differ : Some Parishes have Organs, Altars, Rails, Oc. and some none: Some Worship in Tabernacles, and fome in unconfecrated Places, (as fome Chappels, the Spittle, the Prison, Sturbridge, Fair, Oc.) And almost all the Christian Sects on Earth (before-named) differ in far greater matters than our difference from the Liturgy is: And even in the time when the Christian Emperours and Prelates were of greatest Power and Zeal for Concord, they never appointed one Liturgy for all the Churches in the Empire. Nor did any Bishops in Councilor out, so magnify themselves, as to write down for all other Bishops and Priests the words which they must speak to God in all their Prayers, as if none that are fit for the Sacred Office, knew what to fay to God but they, or they only had the Spirit of prayer?

Q.23. Are there not some sorts of Government antecedent in ore der of nature to publick Government, and such as no Prince or Prelate can abrogate, viz. 1. Self Government. 2. The Husbands-Government of the VVise. 3. And the Parents-Government of his Children, in order to personal and family wellfare? If Princes or Patrons on what pretence soever, would take on them to choose for all men, what Food they shall eat, what Physick they shall take and when, what Trade they shall choose, what Vyives or Husbands they shall have, as to individuals, and what Food, Raiment, Physick or Calling, they shall give their Children, o.c.. No Prince can deprive men of Self-governing, maternal or paternal Power. And is this power more concerned in any thing than in the saving of our Souls? Hath God laid our Salvation on Princes, and Patrons choice, or on our own? If we miscarry by their choice, will they be damned for us, and not we?

Isit not our own Salvation that lieth on our actions? And if another fay, you are wife to judge, what Food to eas, what Phylick
to take, what Wife to theofe, and so what Paftor to cheefe for the
conduct of your Souls; will any man, not distracted, therefore,
make a Prince or Patron the absolute chooser, and trustee for his
Soul? Or doth it follow that I need not, or may not choose a
skillfuller Pastor than many thousand Parishes in England have,
became the Patron is by Law enabled to choose the Parish-Priest?
Let him choose who shall have his Tithes and Temple, but he
shall not make me trust an unfit man with the pastoral care of my
Soul.

Q. 24. If a Wife or Son fay, My Husband, or my Father commanderb me to sake this man and not that for my Paftor: And you. fay (The Prince or Patron choofeth you another, and will imprison you if you submit not to his choice.) which do you think the Law of Nature, and the fifth Commandment will justify? Hath God made the King of France, Spain, Portugal, &c. the chooser of a Pastor for all their Subjects? And consequently the chooser whether they shall be saved or damned, according to God's ordipary course of VVorking by the aptitude of means. If this power extend not to Infidels, Heathens, Papifts, Hereticks, &c. how that1 the Subjects know to whom it extendeth? Must all Subjects be made Judges whether Princes and Patrons are Orthodox and fit to choose? I snot this more arrogancy, than to judge who is fig to be my Paftor or Physician? Is it not sufficient that the Prince and Patrons fo provide for Teachers (and Phylicians) that none may want, nor neglect instruction in the effentials of Religion; but as many as need and are able may use better than the unskilful at their own charges?

Q. 25. VV hereas some pretend that we ought to be silenced for preaching without the Bishops Licence, is that the true rause, when such are silenced and excommunicated that have Licences? Mr. Tho. Gonge was excommunicated for preaching even in Wales, where he laboured in such eminent works of charity, notwithstanding his University Licence not-forseited: For though he consormed not, he never refused Conformity, and so sell not under the Canon which makethyoid the Licences of Resusers. And I that have the Bishop of London's (Sheldon's) Licence, and hindered with the first. The same I say of Episcopal Ordination, which was no protection to him or me, or many others.

Q. 26.

Q. 26. As to the common cry that we are infly filenced for our peing for the Parliament in the late V.Vars, a. Is that the meaning of the Act of Oblivion? Are they friends to King or Kingdom that will not fuser our fores to heal; but when all are returned to the Love of Peace, still fill mens ears with the noise and fears of V.Var? 2. Did the King so judge of General Monk and his Army who restored him, who yet were hotly fighting in Scotland against the Usurpers? 3. Do not our long requests yet silence these incepdiaries, while we offer and crave but that those may have leave to Preach Christ's Gospel and V.Vorship God, who never had to do with any V.Var against the King, and that they silence only all the rest, (which we suppose are a number not very considerable)?

Q. 27. As to any other charge, is it not that which we crave our felves, that if any Non-conformilts be proved guilty of drunkenness, fornication, lying, perjury, oppression, or other immorality or Rebellion or Sedition, they may be punished as

the crime deserveth?

Q. 27. Do you believe that the great Parish Ministers need no help? Can any man think for who believeth the worth of a Soul, and understandeth but one half a Pastors work, and why it was that the Primitive Church had so many Presbyters and Deasons with a Bishop, to Churches of smaller number by far than our great Parishes? And do not all Ministers of sense and sobriety, consess their need of many to help them, and say that it is the want of Maintenance that hindereth it? and if that be it, why may not we be endured to help them for nothing, while we Preach the same Gospel, and submit to beg our Bread? Doth helping them freely deserve our destruction?

Q. 28 Whom did Christ or any of his Apostles ever Silence, who Preached only found Doctrine, for any difference about Circumstantials of mans invention? Or where did they ever command or authorize any others so to do? When some would have Christ restrain some from useing his name who followed him not, he rebuked the motion, saying, he that is not against us, is for m. When some preached not sincerely, but enviously, and contentiously, to add affliction to his bonds, St. Paul was glad yet that Christ was Preached, and professed that he did and

would rejoice therein. Phil. 1. 18.

Q. 29. Do

2. 29. Do you think that any would Silence, Imprison or Prosecute Religious Christians, for things which they themselves call Indifferent, and others think to be great sin, if they loved their neighbours as themselves, and did by others as they would

bave others do by them ?

Q. 30. Is not the Office of the Ministery to be Stewards of the house, and mysteries of God, and to give the Children their meat in season, Lak. 12. 42. and to teach men publickly from house to house, Act. 20. And is it not a calling fixed during life and ability, not to be cast off at pleasure? And this in those that are called by men? As a Priest that hath marryed Persons cannot unmarry them, and the Arch Bishop who may Anoint or Crown a King, may not Depose him, because he was not the Donor or Lord, but a Ministerial Invester; so he that Ordaineth a Minister may not depose him, till he become uncapable of the Office, and if he do, it doth not disoblige the Minister.

Q. 31. Did not the Church for 300 years, worship God against the will of Princes, and afterward, when Arrian, or other erroneous Princes forbid them? And hath a Christian Prince any more power to hinder the Gospel and Worship of Christ, and the saving of Souls, than Heathers had? or rather far greater obligations, as nursing Fathers to promote them, which I ask only in answer to such as pretend Law and human Authority, to forbid what God Commandeth; in which case saith Bishop Bilson, we must go on with our work, and patiently

Juffer.

Q. 32. Is it not a dreadful charge that is laid on Timothy (one called by men) 2 Tim. 4. 1, 2. I charge thee before God and the Lord Jesus Christ, who shall judge the quick and the dead at his appearing and his Kingdom, that thou Preach the word; be instant in season and out of season; reprove, rebuke, exhert with all long Suffering and Dollrine. Have you so little mercy on our souls, as to drive us on such a Flaming Sword, and wish us to be condemned by Christ, for discheying such a charge, and to bid us obey any contrary charge of mortal worms?

Q. 33. Is the Conformists Ministery necessary or not? If not, why is all this stir made about it, and all the Church Lands and Tythes to maintain it? If yea, why then is not our Labour in Preaching the same Cospel as necessary as theirs, to such persons as cannot hear them for want of room, and to such who say that

Qq 2

their

their true necessity commandeth them to use better Pastors than many Parishes have? And if any of them have a scrupulous errour about a Pastor, must they therefore be Ruined, Ex-

communicated or Forfaken?

Q. 34. Is not the filencing of faithful Ministers, a far greater los & hurt to the people that need their Ministery than to them ? What if it prove my fault, that all my most impartial Studies and Prayers did not ferve to make me know, that all the imposed Subscriptions, Declarations, Covenants, Oaths and Practices are lawful? Shall hundreds or thousands of Innocent people suffer for my fault, and that in their Souls? Should you for this have deprived all persons of any help, which they have had by all, my Preaching and VVritings this Twenty or Fourty years? And is it just to wish it had been all undone, and th: like of many hundred others? If we preach falle Doctrine, accuse and punish us; If the Physicians were drunkards of fornicators you would not for that forbid them to help to fave the fick. If the Country Farmers scrupled Conformity, you would not therefore forbid the Market to them, and let the poor famish.

Q, 3 s. If our not Swearing, not Subscribing, &c. be our fault, as long as we preach Necessary Truth, could not Lovers of the Gospel find some penalty for us, that did not hinder it? Is it worse than Drunkenness or Fornication? Twelve pence an Oath, is thought enough for Prophane Swearers, and is there one in many hundreds pay it? VVe had far rather be any otherwise punished, so we be not hindred from serving Christ in

the work to which we are devoted.

Q. 36. Do you think there is any fuch fin as Sacrilege in the world? If there be, is it not greater Sacrilege for me, that am Ordained and Devoted to the Ministery, to alienate my felf, than to alienate Church Utensiis, Goods or Lands? These are devoted but for the Pastor, who is Consecrated to nearer and more holy service. V hat think you of them that cry out against the alienation of large Church Revenues as Sacrilege, and use them for worldly pomp, and stessly fulness to their own pleasure, which are devoted to God's service; but with a few consident words will prove it no facrilege, for more consident sords will prove it no facrilege, for more considerable them? (For what it is in the forbidders, we leave to God and them.)

Q. 37. Dare you undertake to justify at the Bar of God, the many limited Ministers forbidden to Preach, if they obey you and cease their Ministery? Or will you answer for all the People when form drive away from their worthin of God, if they thereupon give over worthinging him, and as thousands others idle it at home, when all these say [We Preach no Dustrine, we offer God any Works, courrany to any Word of God, or in any under manner than Christ and his Apolites did or allowed]? Dare you give it under your hands that you will bear the quantificant if we be condemned for obeying you and ceasing our work? If you would, were that man well in his Wits, who should trust his Soul on your undertaking, who are so unable to save your selves?

Q. 38. Should things Indifferent exclude things Necessary, when Christ saith, I will have mercy, and not facrifice? And is neither our Preaching, nor the Concord of the Churches here

Necessary?

Q: 39. Have you thought what Christ meant, when after his Resurrection he thrice saith to Peter (and to others in him) Lovest thou me, feed my Lambs and Flock? As if he had said, As ever you loved me, feed those whom I loved to the Death: Would you unmercifully wish us to renounce our love to Christ? and when Paul saith, Necessity is laid upon me, and woe unto me if I Preach not the Gospel: Would you wish us to run upon such a Woe, upon the meer chat of such as do but tell us, that we are not called as the Apostles; and that we are under Bishops? As if none but men called the Apostles, were liable to that Necessity or Woe; or God had allowed us to forsake His Work when Bishops please, and will forbid us.

Q. 40. God hath encouraged us in our work by his undeniable blelling on many Souls. If you take it for nothing, for men to be turned from ignorance, worldliness, deceiving, lying, femality and fieldly lids, to the serious belief of a tite to come, and to the Love of God and Man, and to the joyful hopes of Glory, and the obedience of Christ, and confidence in his falvation, we take this to be worth our labour and our lives. And would you have us to unthankful to God, who hath blest us, as to cast away our Calings? Can you expect that all the threatnings of lifes, or the weekly reproach of Pauphlet-writers, should make us with all the Semions unpreach'd which we have preach'd,

preach'd, and all the Books unwritten which we have written,

and all the Souls unconverted who have repented?

Q. 42. VVhen Jonas over ran an empleating ministery, did not God overtake him with his Judgment? And if we prove Janafes, may we not expect to meet with Storms more terrible

than Jails?

Q. 43. Can all this said and done against such in the world, ever make the sober that knew them, believe, that such a man as Anthony Burges, Mr. Porter, Mr. Hilderstam (the Son) Mr. Hughet, Mr. Richard Allen, and hundreds much like them, were worthy Silencing, Imprisonment and Shame, while such as sill some thousand Churches are worthy of maintenance and honour? Or will sober Posterity who read the Lives and VVritings of such men as John Corbet, Joseph Allen, James and John James ay, and abundance such others, believe that they were as bad as their accusers make them? There is but one way to bring them under the Insamy and Odium of Posterity; and that is the Papiss way to kill all that are of another mind, and to drive Truth and Conscience out of the world, and then who would slay behind?

Q. 44. VV ho did Christ mean by the Hypocrite that seeth a mote in his brothers eye, and could not see the beam in his own? VV as it not the Pharisee that blamed Christ's Disciples for crossing their Ceremonies and Traditions, and saw not all the crimes in themselves recited Mat. 23. And is not the scrupling of a thing called by others Indisserve, a mote in the eye of many truly godly persons? I will not offend you by describing

the beams.

Q. 45. Have we not often offered, that as foon as any true reason can tell us, that our Labours are here needless, by the sufficient number, and quality, and labours of others, we will joyfully be blent, and seek for work where there is need. It then, to starve fouls is to be guilty of their damnation. And if Meering Chapels be wanting, why do not the great and rich Conformists build them?

Q. 46. Is it not a pleasing advantage to Papills, if they can see two thousand of those Minister, who are most against them, filenced, and driven from Cities and Corporations, and made a hunting game and from, and the Kingdom cracks by general divisions, as turned into Guelphs and Gibelines, weekly reviling

and

and deriding each other as Whigh and Twie ! Is it not their defign to banish Confesence and abfaline Obedience to God ? And you know who ruleth where God and Conscience doth not rate. And what is it that the unconscionable will not do, for worldly interest? And did not the Papills always know that our Love and Concord would be our firength, and their terrour?

2. 47. Who is it that was, or is able to cure all these our divisions? It never was in our power, nor yet is, unless damning our fouls by willful fin must be the cure : For we have oft offered our Oaths that nothing but fear of fin shall binder us from conforming. If our fear come from ignorance, do the Churches fuffer none more ignerant than we? But how easy were it with o-

thers, without fin, or coff to cure all?

Q. 48. Is it not God's great Mercy to our Land, that we have had twenty years peace, while other Lands have been miferable by Wars? And if it be the Preachers of the Gospel that yet will give the Land no peace, but cry out, execute, profesure, Juffer not, firite home, and their judgment be the executioners encouragment, who fay, The Clergy relisus it is our duty ; I had rather answer them with tears than words.

Q. 49. Should not the long and universal experience of the Christian VVorld be some warning to us, which these thousand years hath been broken into fhreds, by the contentions of the Clergy, and their Magisterial needless impositions, and by for-

faking the Primitive purity and fimplicity?

2. 50. Are not these words in the Liturgy before the Sacrament very terrible: " If any of you be a hinderer or flanderer of Goa's Word - or be in malice or envy - Repent of your fin, at and come not to this Holy Table, left after the taking of the Sa-" crament, the Devil enter into you as he did into Judas, and fill you " full of iniquities, and bring you to destruction of Body and Soul?

And are not the Words of our Judge more terrible: Mat. 25. Depart from me ye curfed into everlasting fire prepared for et the Devil and his Angels : For I was bungry, birfty, -a franger,-naked, -in prison, &c. In as much as ye did it not to one of the e least of these, ye did it not to me : And these shall go away into everlasting punishment; but the Righteous into Life eternal? O let me never be one of those, who for nothing shall run on fuch a doom!

ri Q.140. If yet objections or the mid-enderstanding of our traffe do frustrate all these seasons. I have universed so many objections; and so far opened the cause already, as here is not to be repeated, viz. In the First and Second Pleas for Prace, In the Apology for our Preaching, and in the Treatise of Episcopary.

And against the jadgment of those Reverend Fathers who still cry Deline nothing, and softer them not ; do Execution] I fet

the Judgment, of to your remon rulo.

1. Of the King, 4. In his Declaration from Breds. 2. His healing Gracious Declaration about Ecclerafical Affairs, 1660.

3. And that of 1662.

11. The judgment of the late House of Commons, Jan, 10. 1680. "Refolved that it is the Oppnion of this House, that the profession of Processions Differences upon the Penal-Laws, is at this time grievous to the Subjects, a weakning the Processant Minerest, and dangerous to the Peace of the Kingdom.

111. Christ's Canon-Law, 1 John 4. 8, 26. He that Loueth not knoweth not God, for God is Love: God is love, and be that

dwelleth in Love, dwellet in God, and God in him.

Joh. 13. 35. By this fall all men brow that ye are my Difci-

ples, if ye have Love one to another.

Rom. 14. 17, 18. The Kingdom of Godsis morablest and Drink, but Righteenfues, and Peace, and Jay in the Holy Ghost: For he that in these serveth Christ is acceptable to God and approved of Men. vers. 1. Him that is weak in the Paith, Receive we, but not to doubtful disputations, &cc.

I Thef. 5. 42, 13. We befeech you Breehren to know them which LABOUR AMONG you and are over you in the Lord, and to efteem them very highly in Love for their WORK fals,

and be at Peace among your felves.

1 Sam. 2. 30. Them that bonour me I will bonour, and they that siffpife-me shall be lightly esteemed.

ENGLANDS SLAVERT.

Q. 2. What is this for? And on what fart of Men?

6. 4. What is Disbolifm if this be not?

Q 1. Is not Silencing taking all we have, and lying in Jaile among Regues
from fix Handha to fix Months till we dis greater sharery than the
Trady inflict on Christians?

^{@ 3.} Who be they that have couled and continued it after sy Years Experience of the effects?

R. 5. Why is not publick Represence of it proclaimed?